SRI VENKATESVARA ORIENTAL SERIES No. 15

EDITOR:-Prof. P. V. RAMANUJASWAMI, M.A.

श्री:

क ठों प निषद्धा प्य म्

श्रीरङ्गरामानुजमुनिविरचितम् SRI RANGARAMANUJA'S

KATHOPANISHAD-BHASHYA

EDITED

WITH INTRODUCTION, TRANSLATION & NOTES

BY

DR. K. C. VARADACHARI, M.A., PH.D.

Professor and Head of the Department of Philosophy Sri Venkateswara College, Tirupati

AND

D. T. TATACHARYA Siromani, M.O.L.

Lecturer in Sanskrit, Sri Venkateswara College, Tırupati

TIRUPATI

PRINTED AT TIRUMALAI-TIRUPATI DEVASTHANAMS PRESS
1948

[Price Rs. 2.

. FOREWORD

The Kathopanişad is the third Upanişad to be published with English translation of the Viśiṣṭādvaita Bhāṣya in the Sri Venkatesvara Oriental Series, the second to be published with the Bhāṣya of Raṅgarāmānuja and the first to be published with a few words of introduction from me.

The Katha is perhaps the foremost in point of interest and popularity. It is a perfect specimen of the poetry of the ancient Hindus. The philosophy is not more clearly explained in any other Upanisad. To add to these the teaching is set in an attractive story. The story is found elsewhere in the ancient Literature and it is received and used here in more or less the same form to introduce the teaching and to indicate the fitness of a a student to be initiated in the mystic philosophy. The story also brings out clearly the contrast between the characters of the practical father and the speculative son.

The Advaita cammentaries on the Upanisads have been translated into English by several scholars before; but the Viśiṣṭādvaita commentaries have not been translated so far. The Institute has, therefore, undertaken to translate and publish them in its series and thus make the contents available to Indian Philosophers not conversant with Sanskrit. The Viśiṣṭādvaitic interpretation of the Upaniṣads has thus remained a sealed book and the merits of it have not attracted philosophers. It is hoped that the present series of translations published by the Institute will remove the disability.

The translators have been connected with the Institute till recently but now they have gone on to the staff of the sister institution, the Sri Venkateswara Arts College, which has been recently started. It is hoped the change of location will not affect their work and they will continue the translation of other Bhāṣyas with the same zeal.

Owing to their being engaged in the work of the other institution, the Editors could not carry the Sanskrit text through the press and provide the book with the necessary indexes, readings, etc. This work has devolved upon Sri K. Sathakopachari, Nyaya-Mimamsa Siromani, Library Pandit in Sanskrit in the Institute.

The Institute will consider itself amply repaid if this series can infuse interest in Viśiṣṭādvaita Philosophy in the minds of scholars.

TIRUPATI, }

P. V. RAMANUJASWAMI

Director

CONTENTS

---00;09;00---

| 1. | Foreword | 5 4 6 | 2 7 4 | i |
|----|--------------------------------|--|-----------|-----|
| 2. | Introduction | • • • | • • • | v |
| 3. | Cross references | • • • | • • • • · | xvi |
| 4. | Kathopanisad-bhāshya-Text | 4 | • ¢ ! | 1 |
| 5. | Tippaņi | | • • • | i |
| 6. | Various readings | ••• | ••• | xi |
| 7. | Corrigenda | \ | ••• | xii |
| 8. | Index of quatations in the Bha | • • • | xiñ | |
| 9. | Kathopanisad-bhāsya-Translat | 4 + 5 | 1 | |

कठोपनिषद्भाष्यान्तर्गतविशेषविषयस्यिका.

| | | | | | | पुटम्. |
|------------|---|-----------------------------|--------------|-------|------|--------|
| | दक्षिणाशब्दांथविचारः | ••• | | q. ◆ | * * | २ |
| | स्वर्गशब्दस्य मोक्षपरत्वनिरूपणम् | • • • • | | a a . | | १० |
| | येयं प्रेत इतिमन्त्रस्य मुक्तस्वरूपप | श्चपरत्वम् | | * * * | • • | \$ 8 |
| | अन्यत धर्मादितिमन्त्रस्य व्याख्या | द्वयप्रदर्शनम् | | | | 34 |
| | कृत्सायाः कठोपनिषदः परिशुद्धात | म स् वरूपपरत्वशं | कापरि | हारौ | 4 | १७२८ |
| | अणोरणीयानिति मन्त्रस्य जीवात्म | परत्वशंकाव्युव | (ास: | * * * | • | ३३ |
| | यस्य ब्रह्म चेतिमन्तस्य जीवपरत्वा | भावसमर्थनम् | | • • • | • • | ३७ |
| | ऋतं पिबन्तावितिमन्त्रस्य बुद्धिजीवपरत्विनरासः | | | • • • | • • | ३८ |
| | अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषः परमात्मेति समर्थनम् | | | * • • | • • | ४९ |
| | न तल सूर्य इतिमन्त्रार्थविचारः | *** | | | . • | ५६ |
| | महद्भयमितिवाकचार्थवर्णनम् | • • • • | | P + A | | 46 |
| | | | | | | |
| | कठोपनिषद्भाष्योदाहृतोत्तरमीमांसाधिकरणविचारस्चिका. | | | | | |
| | Action Birthallian | | | | | |
| | | उ.मी. | अ. | पा. ३ | भिध. | पुटम्. |
| १ . | आत्माधिकरणविचारः | | २ | ३ | ३ | ३० |
| ₹. | वाञ्चराह्याधिकरणविचारः (उत्पत्त्यस | मिवाधिकरण म् | () २ | २ | 4 | ३१ |
| ₹. | समगत्यविकरणविचारः | *** | २ | 8 | 2 | ६१ |
| 8" | अत्तिकरणविचारः | *** | \$ | २ | २ | ६५ |
| ч, | प्रमिताधिकरणविचारः | *** | 8 | ३ | ६ | ६६ |
| ε, | आनुमानिकाधिकरणविचारः | • | \$ | 8 | \$ | ६७ |
| | | | | | | |

₹.

8.

KATHOPANISAD

INTRODUCTION

The Kathopanisad is the third in the traditional order of the Upanisads and it is indeed a very important next step in the knowledge of Godhead or the Supreme Self of all. The Isopanisad mentioned briefly that the path of Karma, that is injuncted action (dharma), should be disinterestedly trodden faithfully and even mentioned that when followed with knowledge with fidelity (vidyā) it does integral the 'crossing over' Death. The Kenopanisad showed the transcendental nature and power of the ultimate Being and its supreme "desirableness" as manifested in the activities of the senses (or gods) and mind, but which these senses and mind could never know except vaguely (or subliminally?). The Kathopanisad embraces both these facts of immortality and ultimate beneficence (amrtava2 as tadvanatva). elaborates the cryptic statement at the end of Kenopanisad which describes the subsidiaries of the divine knowledge tasyai tapo damah karma ca pratisthā vedāh sarvāngāni satyam āyatanam, iv. 8.

Dr. Ananda K. Coomaraswami considers Katha to be a gnostic document which has to be studied as part of the gnostic literature all over the world. According to Sri Krishna Prem¹ "Kathopanişad is a practical treatise written to help us

- 1. The 'crossing over' is mentioned as something that has efficacy after death or dying ($vimukte\ prety\bar{a}$). It is so understood as counselling videha-mukti. It may mean 'occult passing on' according to Krishna Prem, but even Sankara does not accept this latter view.
- 2. Śreyas is the full nature of the Divine, and from this most possibly is developed the personality of $Sr\bar{\imath}$ who is stated to have her residence in the Divine Lord, wherefore His name ' $Sr\bar{\imath}$ - $niv\bar{a}sa$ '.
 - 3. New Indian Antiquary I.
 - 4. Yoga of the Kathopanisad.

achieve a very real end here and now" and the explanations he has given follow the occult literature all over the world. Thus we have every reason to take this Upanisad seriously as a 'Vidyā'. According to earlier seers and teachers a vidyā or a knowledge is a path to be trodden or followed in a particular manner and the fruits of such a path are indeed ultimate beatitude and realisation. Thus each Upanisad is a 'Vidyā', a clear and definite instruction of a particular path, integral to the realisation of the Goal, which of course could be reached by other vidyās also. Thus the Upanisads in all are said to give thirty-two vidyās, of which the Kaṭhopaniṣad deals with the Nāciketa-vidyā or Triṇāciketa or Nāciketa-Agni-vidyā.

The Kathopanisad is a very important Upanisad in yet another sense. It contains a general survey of the Vedic ritual and philosophy and eschatology. It has had the good fortune of having been much studied and written about by scholars both occidental and oriental, and stands only next to $\bar{I} \dot{s} \bar{a}$ and the $Git\bar{a}$ from the point of view of popularity. Śri Śankara commented on this work as also Śri Madhva, and Śri Rāmānuja has commented in his Śrī Bhāṣya, on certain important points raised in this Upanisad, which have been taken into consideration by Bhagavān Bādarāyana for purposes of synthesis in his Vedānta Sūtras. These points have been reproduced at the end of this work. Śri Rangarāmānuja has commented on this work following closely the steps of Śri Rāmānuja and his expounders like Śrī Sudarśaņa Sūri or Śrutaprakāśikācārya. Prof. Rawson, who is a careful scholar of this Upanişad, has stated in his work that he made no use of the Bhāṣya by Rangaramanuja because of its late date and since Śri Rāmānuja had discussed all that is necessary on intricate or important points. He however considers that Śri Rāmānuja's explanations are more in accord with the spirit of the Upanisad than those of others.

The Kathopanisad belongs to the Kāthaka School of the Kṛṣṇa Yajur Veda. We do not possess a Kathaka-Brāhmaṇa.

The Taittiriya Samhitā has both a Brāhmaņa and an Upanisad. The Taittiriya Brāhmaņa (III. 11.8 1-6) contains an outline of the Naciketa story with which the Kaṭhopaniṣad opens and is parallel to the latter which is made more elaborate. Indeed according to Raṅgarāmānuja this anuvāka of the Taittiriya Brāhmaṇa is referred to by the Kaṭhopaniṣad in I. 17. Sri Krishna Prem considers that we can see 'the germ of this Upaniṣad' in Rg Veda X. 135.

No attempt has here been made to deal with the possible relations of this Upaniṣad to the Bhagavad Gītā which contains much that is parallel to, if not precisely identical with the instruction in almost the very language used here. Nor to Buddhism. We have to point out that whatever may be the appropriateness in such parallels we have always to remember that a Vidyā is an integral instruction and it may undergo transformation under new conditions due to subordination to other Vidyās.

But one thing is certain that, even as in the Bhagavad Gitā, the Kathopanisad insists upon the necessity to perform ordained or injuncted karma (for that is dharma) the svadharma of each individual, and its performance is capable of leading to the highest abode of immortal existence, and not merely to the lower heaven. Such karma is incapable of binding the soul to samsāra. The truth is that disinterested action, or action divinised or offered to the Divine, action that reveals the glorious purpose of service to God, is capable of helping achievement of the immortal status for the soul. The question that arises thereafter is as to the nature of that soul after mukti or mokṣa, whether it continues to be separate or lost in the One Divine variously described as the Ocean or the Nirvāna state of Brahman? It is held by modern scholars that what Buddha meant by Nirvāṇa was a state of positive nature of the supremely Transcendent and not a negative state. It is clear that it is not annihilation as such or Pure Non-being. Any attainment of the Buddhistic metaphysical state of anniilation or loss of self or non-existence soul of as such has not formed part of the Upanisadic thought. It is particularly a feature of the rational method of Buddha, who in order to define the nature of the soul as held by the several thinkers, had to negate all that it is not. In this sense, Buddha went to the logical extreme of Yājñavalkya and insisted upon the apprehension of the futility of seeking to make permanent the impermanent congeries of affections, feelings, habits, desires and hopes. Truly we need the permanent, but the permanent is not all that it is described to be by materialistic metaphysics. Anyway it is not in line with the purpose of this Upanisad to investigate into the nature of the soul or self as such, but only as to what becomes of the soul or self on liberation, for it is clearly held that the soul does persist after death.

This Upanisad gives a definite answer to the question asked. It speaks in the first part of the Upanisad about the necessity to know the meaning of the Fire-altar as the Brāhmaṇas had taught it, (perhaps in the adhyātmic way too), and already the promise of the highest immortal state is envisaged in that section, though some commentators think otherwise.

But as the Upanisads are Adhyātma-śāstras or vidyās which instruct the occult truth and path towards the positive attainment of gnostic knowledge which could only be attained after a period of practice of self-control and service of the Divine (yama and niyama of the Yoga), the nature of the Supreme Self and that of the individual soul and its progressive attainment of the former are taught along with the steps of Yoga which lead to the ultimate realization. This Upanisad even like the Isa inculcates the conjoint performance of Avidyā (construction of the Fire-altar and the rituals prescribed) and the Vidya, which is the knowledge of the Tattva or Reality in respect of the three terms, God, soul and Nature. It is held by some thinkers that the final verses of the Kathopanisad are not integral to the Upanisad as it concludes earliar. It may be that these final verses reveal the culmination of the Yoga of concentration at the time of departure, antyakāla-

smarana. The antyakāla-smarana has been shewn to be very helpful by the Bhagavad Gītā in respect of determining the nature of the world that a man would reach. ()r more properly if smarana pertains to concentration on any particular deity, it will be an invocation to that deity to lead him on to freedom or Bliss. This will reveal a psychological set-up in the consciousness, a psychological set which will reveal the type of personality that the soul has been building up, whether towards liberation or towards mundane enjoyment, 'preyas' or 'sreyas' as the Kathopanisad beautifully puts it. It is an act of choice made under the great cloud of departure, the threat of death, and therefore revealing the inmost structure of the soul, its primary longing and conversion. That this choice could be made earlier and practised with assiduity is not denied, but the crucial moment is indeed the moment of departure, death, threat of possible physical annihilation. And such moments are spiritual pointers to the status of the soul in its integral being. Man's primary instinct is confronted with other desires and the balance of death decides which side is heavier. Man is then alone weighed and measured.

STRUCTURE OF THE UPANISAD

It consists of two (parts) adhyāyas, each of which contains three sections (vallis). With the exception of the first two sentences in the first part, the whole Upanisad is in metrical form. Since the first adhyāya concludes with the following passage "Nāciketam..." it is sometimes held that the Upaniṣad ends here and that the second adhyāya is a later addition. It is even claimed that the second adhyāya merely expands the teaching of the first adhyāya. The repetition of the last line (cd) in the first adhyāya confirms the above view that the natural conclusion should have been this alone. There is some difference between what is stated there and the conclusion in the second adhyāya. The real conclusion of the Upaniṣad seems to be the concluding verse of the second adhyāya (sixth valli): mṛṭyu proktam.....

FIRST ADHYAYA

It appears however that importance is attached to the story of Naciketas in the first adhyāya, whereas importance is attached to instruction regarding Reality (tattva), the means hita and the result (fruit, phala) as a whole in the second adhyāya. From this we find that this Upaniṣad contains, as is usual in all Upaniṣads, the three instructions on tattva, hita and puruṣāṛtha without which no vidyā can be followed, not to speak of being understood.

I. Valli. The story of Naciketas after his having been offered to Death by his father Vājaśravas is contained in this After having gone to the abode of Death he had to wait for three days ere Death returned and in lieu of this waiting and fasting for three days as a guest, he was offered three boous, The story after describing the first two boons which pertain to the earth (artha) and heaven (svarga) introduces the philosophical third, Moksa or liberation from the round of existence in earth and heaven. Naciketas refused to entertain the third purusartha namely desire (kāma), and withstood the temptations offered to him by Yama in this regard. This rejection of the kāma-puruṣārtha is shown to be of the preyas or mere pleasant which is other than and inferior to the śreyas, the good, which alone is to be sought, and this śreyas is liberation getting. No true philosophical instruction can have effectiveness unless the kāma-puruṣārtha is totally rejected, as Yama himself points out in this story in the opening lines of the second valli.

It may however be asked as to what difference there is between the second and the third boons inasmuch as according

^{1.} It may be pointed out here that if in the Kenopanisad the story-myth is found in the third section, which illustrates the tattva, truth, enunciated in the earlier two sections, here the story myth comes at the very beginning of the Upanisad and its inner meaning elaborated in the second adhyāya. The Kena insists upon knowing that all activity proceeds from and is sustained by the Brahman: here it is shewn that all action is to be totally consecrated to the Divine alone who is the inner inler immortal, capable of being known within the heart along with the soul, that is also immortal, as its adorable Object.

^{2.} cf. Introductions to Iśa and Kena Upanisads SVOS. vols. 5 and 7).

to Rangarāmānuja svargya refers to a svarga that ie identical with "The eternal abode of Vișnu" and should bs considered to be identical with the Kenopanisad's final lines ananta-svarga-loka-jyeye. In the Tait. Brāhmaņa-story of Nāciketas, the second boon refers to dharma that is the full effectiveness of sacrificial performance, (tato vai tasyestāpūrte nakṣīyete) for the sake of which Yama teaches Naciketas the Naciketa-Fire even as in the Upanisad here. The third boon in the Brāhmana however refers to Immortality¹—freedom from re-death—punar-mṛtyu—a point also noticed in the second boon in the Upanisad I. 1.18cd. Yama in the Brāhmana teaches that the Nāciketa-fire itself secures that end, thus confirming the view that the Upanisad has clubbed together the second and third boons there to form one here. Thus there has arisen a new question as to whether the soul exists at all in or after attaining the state of immortality, and as to how it then exists.

The third boon asked by Naciketas could not be answered without a clear understanding of what the question is about. The question about the existence of the soul after death does not refer to the existence or non-existence of the soul as such, but to the nature of existence of the soul at liberation, that is, the nature of the liberated soul (mukta) and the nature of that which it attains, and the means to that final or peak attainment (param padam). Therefore we can see that the Upanisad is a logical development of the Biāhmaṇa's third boon. Unless we take this question in this way, Yama's answer contained in the II. 12 cannot be held to be relevant.

- II. Valli. The first portion of this Valli up to Mantra 11 is also an introduction to Yama's answer to the third question,
- 1. Sri Kiishna Fiem considers that it refers to the nature of the Fieed or 'passed over' self, whether it could be referred to as Is of Is not, the Upanisad definitely answers that such a one IS (asti), for it is here that there seems to be doubt.
- 2. There is no place here for the Buddhist view of general Sūnya, for it is clear that it really refers to the existence of a liberated soul as a separate entity or whether it non-exists having utterly merged in the One Being.

as it describes the qualifications of a seeker after this. It lays emphasis not only (i) on the detachment from preyas, worldly advancement and enjoyment, but also (ii) on the necessity of having a proper teacher to teach one that truth about 'sreyas,' the highest Good.

The tenth mantra of this Valli contains the significant statement of Yama "that he performed or constructed the Nāciketa fire-altar and he attained the Eternal by means of transitory things." Srī Śankara interprets the word 'eternal' as "comparatively enduring." The meaning given by Rangarāmāmija is in accordance with the Brāhmana statement tato vai so' pa punar mṛtyum ajayet.

Yama's answer to Naciketa's question is very brief. It is contained in one single mantra (II. 12). Thereafter Yama tells Naciketas that he had already instructed him fully about that which he prayed for. But Naciketas asks Yama to tell him about the truth known as other than Dharma etc. Yama then begins to explain in detail the answer he gave cryptically in the twelfth mantra. Firstly he speaks about the Pranava, then about the nature of the soul and lastly about the Supreme soul (II. 22). In verse 20 the Grace of the supreme Creator is stated to be necessary in adhyātma Yoga for beholding the hidden Being in the cave, the Supreme Lord who is ānoraniyān mahato mahīyān. Dhātuh-prasāda is to be interpreted as the grace of the Creator (who is also the supporter and protector) and not merely as the mental peace or luminosity which undoubtedly is a necessity in Yoga for any large or real comprehension of the Divine Nature. This idea is not merely implicitly but also explicitly stated in the 23rd verse. The text of Rangarāmānuja reads it 'dhātuḥ-prasādāt' The verse 23 is interpreted by Rangarāmānuja as referring to the grace of God which is 'tated to result from loving devotion or onepointed seeking in love. The concluding verses refer to the attainment of this knowledge and presence of the Divine within

^{1.} Sri Krishna Prem's references to the Myths of Temptation are interesting and reveal a significant secret of occultism.

It must be remembered that the theory of the Mimāmsakas about the existence of strict causality or determinism between ritual and finits is repudiated or rather bye-passed when the individual is asked to surrender himself for service to God through illumined love or one-pointed Yoga without seeking any fruits for his actions or dhyānas, since this non-seeking of anything for oneself is that which snaps the causal chain, and is the meaning of true love or devotion or pure wisdom or illumined understanding and service. This valli concludes with the intimation of certain rules of conduct and instructs certain virtues that are to be cultivated for enabling one to receive the Supreme Grace.

III Valli. The third valli deals with the hita or the means to the attainment of Supreme Grace, thus expanding the instruction given at the end of the second valli. It lays stress on the necessity to control the sense-organs. It mentions further that control is to be exercised gradually in the following order: firstly on the sense-organs, secondly on the objects of enjoyment, thirdly on the mind, fourthly on the intellect, fifthly on the soul, sixthly on the body as a whole (the Unmanifest) and lastly reach through the above stages (of dhāraṇa cum-pratyāhāra) the Supreme Self, whose grace alone is capable of granting final Liberation. As many scholars hold, Yoga-śāstra might have got its fundamental clues from this section.

SECOND ADHYAYA

IV Valli. After pointing out the distinction between the seeker and the non-seeker or the indifferent seeker, this valli describes the nature of the Supreme Self as dwelling in all creatures, thus distinguishing Him from the embodied jiva who resides in a body (IV. 5-8). It may be noted that on account of the indwelling of the Infinite Person in the body of the embodied soul, the Infinite Person assumes the size of a thumb (angustha-mātra) in the heart for the sake of meditation or conceivability.

V Valli. This valli develops the instruction regarding the indwelling-nature of Brahman with special reference to

His being responsible for all the actions of the soul. (cf first Kena I.): What may be considered to be a direct answer to the third question of Naciketas in the I valli "Ye yam prete vicikitsā..." (20) is here given by Yama who says "O Gautama, surely I shall teach you now the secret eternal Brahman and what the soul becomes after departure (release)" (V. 6-7) "Some souls enter wombs for getting bodies; others take up the form of the Unmoving in accordance with karma and in accordance with Knowledge", with which we may profitably compare Śrī Kṛṣṇa's reply to Arjuna's answei (Bh. Gītā VI. 40-47). The point at issue is, it may be noted, regarding the seeker mainly, and should not be held to be a reply regarding the ordinary man who has not entered on the spirtual path or Yogic evolution.

It may be seen here that Yama promises to teach first this secret eternal Brahman and then as to what becomes of the liberated soul after its departure from its body. Yama however answers the second part first in mantra 7, and the first is answered in the eighth mantra. This again shows that the third question after all refers not only to what becomes of the liberated soul after departure but also to the Nature of the Supreme Self, Brahman (who is held to be the Self of all souls including the departed and liberated ones). The paramam sukham in mantra 14 may have a possible reference to the Anandavalli of the Taitttriyopanişad wherein this description of Brahman is developed at length. Thus if the Iśā-Ŗṣi described the realization of God as the indwelling Lord of all beings and things, as leading to non-revulsion, non-grief and non-fear, if the Kena-Rsi taught the Supreme Cause as the "Desirable" (Tad Vanam), knowledge of Whom as such makes the realiser desireable, the Katha Seer, Yama, promises a more integral realization of the "Desirable" as the śreyas, as the transcendent Bliss, paramam sukham.

VI Valli. This valli deals with the Yoga of attainment in 9th, 10th and 11th yerses. In mantra 4, with regard to the

difficulty in construction of the two lines Rangarāmānuja treats asakad as an active participle with nañ prefixed, which yields the meaning 'unable'. Like the Kenopaniṣad, this Upaniṣad in mantra 12 also takes special care to instruct that Brahman can be grasped neither by speech nor by mind fully; except through instruction received through a Guru it can never be grasped.

The Upanisad concludes with the additional knowledge that has to be learnt about the hundred psychonic paths (nādīs) of the heart wherein the thumb-sized Lord dwells, one of which (later on known as Suṣumnā) leads upwards to immortality whilst others lead to lesser goals. It is this Nādī that has to be known as the path of exit at departure. It is considered by some modern writers that this knowledge may be a later addition. This not likely since the Brihadārānyaka seems to be aware of this fact. Further the path of exit is an integral piece of instruction to be given to a Yogin.

CROSS REFERENCES.1

İ. Katha
 Same story: Tait. Brāḥ. III. 11.8
 3a=Brḥ. 4.4.11a=Var. Īśā. 3a
 : Vaśiṣṭha Dharma Sūtra 11.13
 8=Brḥ. 6.4.12
 12=cf II. 1.1
 17cd=Var. Śvet. 4.11.cd.
 21bc=Maitri. I. 2

II. Katha 4=Var. Maitri. 7.9

5=Var. Mund. I. 2.8; Maitri. 7.9

7=Bh. G. II. 29

11=K.U. III, 2 & 1.12

12b=III. 1b; 4.6c; 4.7c

Mund. 2.1.8d; 3.1.7d Maitrī, 2.6; 6.4; Bhāskalam 18

13d: Ch. Up. 8.1.1-5
15=Bh. G. VIII. 11
16=Var. Maitri. 6.4
18, 19=Bh.G. II. 20, 19=Ch. VIII. 1.5.10.2 & 4
20=Śvet. 3, 20
22=Katha. IV. 4. cd,
23=Mund. 3, 2.3

III.² Katha 1.d = Manu III. 18.5

3-5=Svet. 2.9, charioteer is either Agni=(RV: X. 51.6) or Breath (AA. II. 3.8). cf. RV. VI. 75.6; RV. X. 44.7

Maitri. 2.3-4

2-6

4.4

M. Bh. III. 2.66 (?)
III, 211.23

- 1. From Hume's: Ten Principal Upanisads; Rawson: The Katha Upanisad p. 45. Hill: Bhagavad Gītā; Hopkins: Great Epic of India: & Critical Ed. M. Bh. BORI.
- 2. Hopkins writes that the 3rd Valli of Katha is epitomised in Santi Parva. Mh. Bh. XII. 247,1ff. (?)

V. 34.59

46.5

XI. 7.13

XII. 240. 11; XIV. 51.3

Manu. II.88

cf. Plato: Phaedrus.

4=Svet. I. 8, 9, 12: Maitri. 6.10

9d=RV. I. 22, 20a=Maitri. 6.26

Rāmāyaņa = VI. 41.25d (?)

10-12: Var. Mh. B. 12. 248, 3-5

10: Bh. G. III. 42

M. Bh. 12 297. 19. ed.

15=M. Bh. 12.240.17-18

IV. Katha la = Maitri.

3d = Kath. V. 4d

3 & 5 = Brh. 5.4 -

4.: 2. 22cd

5cd = 4, 12cd. Brh. 4, IV, 15cd (?)

 $9ab = AV. X. 18. 16a-b 1 (A.K.C.)^{1}$

9. a & d=AV. X. 18.16: Brh. I. 5. 23ab

9c=AV. X. 7.38: Brh. II. 5.15

9a: Brh. II. 5.15

10cd=Var. Brh. IV. 4. 19cd.: 10.11ab: Brh. IV 4.19ab

12=M. Bh. V. 45.24 (Cr. Ed.): Brh. IV. 4.15cd

13b=cf Maitri. VI. 2

13d=Brh. I. 5.23d

V. Katha la=cf Svet. 3.18

2=RV. 4.40.15 (RV. 4.40.10)

=Mahānā = 10.6 = M. Bh. XII. 240.32: Tait S. i.

8.15

6 = Śvet. 3.7

7d=cf. Kaus. 1.2

9b=Brh. 2.5.19: Rg. V. VI. 41.18

9c = Mund. 2.1.4d

1. A.K C.: Ananda K. Coomaraswami. Notes on Kathopanisad, N.A.I. Vol. I.

12=Var. Svet. 6.12

13=Śvet 6.13

15=Muṇḍ, 2.2.10; Śvet. 6.14 cf Mai: 6.24; Bh. G. XV. 6,12

VI. Katha 1 = Bh. G: XV. 1-3.=V. 45.8 (Cr. Ed.)

3=Tait. II. 8

6 = Var: Bh. G. XIII. 30 = M. Bh. XII. 7.23

9=Var Śvet. 4.20, Mahānārāyaņa. I. 11 M. Bh. V. 45.6 (Cr. Ed.)

10=Mait. 6.30; pāda d=Bh. G, VIII, 21

11=cf Mund. II. 2.3; 3.2.4b = Mānd. 6

12=Ken. 3-ab; Mund. III. 1.8ab

14 = Brh. IV. 4.7

15 = Ch. 7.26.2; Mund. II. 2.8; III. 2.9

16=Ch. VIII. 6.6=Praś.13.6 cf Mund. II. 2.6. Mai. VI. 30

17=Svet. III. 13ab

=Svet. V. 8; Mai. 6.38 M. Bh.

V. 45.24ab (Cri. Ed.)

Augusta Mātra Purusa: M. Bh. 12.284: 175a. (?

Sānkhya & Katha: iii. 10-13; VI. 7-11; Brh. I. 4.6

Ch. vi. 3.4

Praś. iv.

Śvet. vi. 13.

श्रीरस्तु श्री श्रीनिवासपर ब्रह्मणे नमः

श्रीरङ्गरामानुजम्रनिविरचितभाष्ययुक्ता

कठोपनिषत्

प्रथमवही

हरिः ओं ॥ उरान् ह वै वाजश्रवसस्सर्ववेदसं ददौ । तस्य ह नचिकेता नाम पुत्र आस ॥ १ ॥

अतसीगुच्छसच्छायमित्रतोरस्थलं श्रिया। अञ्जनाचलशृंगारमञ्जलिमम गाहताम्।। व्यासं लक्ष्मणयोगीन्द्रं प्रणम्यान्यान् गुरूनि। व्याख्यास्ये विदुषां प्रीत्ये कठवलीं यथामित ॥

उशन् ह वै वाजश्रवस इति । उशन् —कामयमानः । 'वश कान्ता' वित्यसमाच्छति 'महिज्ये'त्यादिना संभसारणम् । ह वै इति वृत्तार्थस्मरणार्थी निपातो । फलमिति शेषः । वाजश्रवसः—वाजेन अन्नेन दानादिकर्मभूतेन श्रवः कीर्तिः यस्य स वाजश्रवाः । तस्यापत्यं वाजश्रवसः । रुदिवी वाजश्रवस इति । स किल ऋषिः विश्वजिता सर्वस्वदक्षिणेन यजमानः तस्मिन् कतौ, सर्ववेदसं सर्वस्वं ददौ —दत्तवानित्यर्थः । उशिक्तत्यनेन कर्मणः काम्यत्वादक्षिणान्साद्गुण्यमावश्यकमिति स्च्यते । आस—वभ्व । 'छन्दस्युभयथे'ति लिटस्सावे-धातुकत्वात् 'स्वस्तये तार्क्यं'मित्यादिवदस्तेर्भूभावाभावः ॥ १॥

त १ कुमार १ सन्तं दक्षिणासु नीयमानासु श्रद्धाऽ विवेश। सोऽमन्यत ॥ २ ॥

तं ह कुमारं सन्तिमिति । तं — नचिकेतसं कुमारं सन्तं — बालमेव सन्तं, ऋत्विग्भ्यो दक्षिणासु गोषु नोयमानासु सतीषु श्रद्धा—आस्तिक्यबुद्धिः पितुर्हितकम-

प्रयुक्ता आविवेश - आविष्टवती । यद्यपि यदानितकरं द्रव्यं तद्दक्षिणेत्युच्यते । एका चासौ कतावानितिरिति तदुपाधिको दक्षिणाशब्दः एकवचनान्ततामेव रुभते । अत एव भूनामकैकाहकतौ 'तस्य धनुर्दक्षिणे'त्यत्र क्रत्सस्य गवाधादेः प्राकृतस्य दाक्षिण्यस्य निवृत्तिरिति 'तस्य धेनुरिति गवा'मिति दाशिमकाधिकरणे स्थितम् । तथापि दक्षिणाशब्दोयं भृतिवचनः । स च कर्मापेक्षयापि प्रवर्तते, अस्मिन्कर्मणीयं भृतिरिति । कर्तुरपेक्षयापि प्रवर्तते, अस्मिन्कर्मण्यस्य पुरुषस्येयं भृतिरिति । ततश्च ऋत्विच्वहुत्वापेक्षया दक्षिणाबहुत्वसंभवात् दक्षिणास्विति बहुवचनमुपपद्यते । अत एव ऋतपेये 'औदुम्बरस्सोमचमसो दक्षिणा स प्रियाय सगोत्राय ब्रह्मणे देय क्रत्यत्र एकवाक्यतापक्षे ब्रह्मभागमात्रेपि दक्षिणाशब्दस्यावयवरुक्षणामन्तरेण मुख्य-त्वोपपत्तेस्तन्मात्रवाध इत्युक्तं दशमे 'यदि तु ब्रह्मणस्तदृनं तद्विकारस्या' दित्यिचिकरणे । ततश्च कत्वपेक्षया दक्षिणोक्येपि ऋत्विगपेक्षया दक्षिणाभेदसंभवाद्दक्षिणा-स्विति बहुवचनस्य नानुपपित्तिति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ २ ॥

पीतोदका जग्धतृणा दुग्धदोहा निरिन्द्रियाः। अनन्दा नाम ते लोकास्तान् स गच्छति ता ददत्।। ३।।

श्रद्धाप्रकारमेव दर्शयति—पीतोद्का इति । पीतमुद्कं याभिस्ताः पीतोद्काः । जग्धं भिक्षतं तृणं याभिस्ताः जग्धतृणाः । दुग्धः दोहः क्षीराख्यो याभिस्ताः दुग्धदोहाः । निरिन्द्रियाः —अप्रजननसमर्थाः । जीर्णा निष्फला इति यावत् । या एवंभूता गावः ताः ऋत्विग्भ्यो दक्षिणाबुद्ध्या दद्त् प्रयच्छन् अनन्दाः—असुस्ताः ते—शास्त्रसिद्धा लोकास्सन्ति नाम—स्वल्छ । तान् स यजमानो गच्छति । एवममन्यतेत्यर्थः ॥ ३ ॥

स होवाच पितरं तत कस्मै मां दास्यसीति। द्वितीयं त्रितीयं त्रितीयं त्रिवाच मृत्यवे त्वा ददामीति॥ ४॥

स होवाच पितरमिति। दीयमानदक्षिणावैगुण्यं मन्यमानः नचिकेताः स्वात्मदानेनापि पितुः क्रतुसाद्गुण्यमिच्छन् आस्तिकाग्रेसरः पितरमुपगम्योवाच । तत—हे तात कस्मै ऋत्विजे दक्षिणार्थं मां दास्यसीति। एवमुक्तेनापि पित्रा उपेक्ष्यमाणोपि

द्वितीयं तृतीयमपि पर्यायं कस्मै मां दास्यसीत्युवाच । एवं बहु निर्वध्यमानः पिता 'कुपितः तं पुत्रं मृत्यवे ददामीत्युक्तवान् ॥ ४ ॥

बहुनामेमि प्रथमो बहुनामेमि मध्यमः । कि स्विद्यमस्य कर्तव्यं यन्मयाद्य करिष्यति ॥ ५ ॥

अनुपत्रय यथा पूर्वे त्रतिपत्रय तथा परे। सस्यिमव मर्त्यः पच्यते सस्यिमवाजायते पुनः॥ ६॥

साध्वसरोषावेशहीनमीदशपुत्रवाक्यं श्रुत्वा 'क्रोधावेशान्मया मृत्यवे त्वां ददामी-त्युक्तम् । नेदशं पुत्रं मृत्यवे दातुमुत्सह 'इति पश्चात्तप्तहृदयं पितरमालोक्योवाच — अनुपश्चेति । पूर्वे — पितामहादयः यथा मृषावादं विनेव स्थिताः यथा चापरे साध-वोऽद्यापि तिष्ठन्ति तानन्वीक्ष्य तथा वर्तितव्यमिति भावः । सस्यमिवेति । मर्त्य-स्सर्यमिवालपेनापि कालेन जोर्यति । जीर्णश्च मृत्वा सस्यमिव पुनराजायते । एव-मिनित्ये जीवलोके किं मृषाकरणेन, पाल्य सत्यं, पेषय मां मृत्यव इति भावः ॥ ६॥

वैश्वानरः प्रविश्वत्यतिथित्रिक्षणो गृहान् । तस्यैता श्वानित क्वीन्त हर वैवस्वतोदकम् ॥ ७ ॥

एवमुक्तवा प्रेषितः प्रोषितस्य मृत्योद्वीरि तिस्रो रात्रीरनइनन्नुवास । ततः प्रोध्यागतं यमं द्वास्थी वृद्धा . ऊचुः — विश्वानरः प्रविशतीति । साक्षादिमरेवातिथिः ब्राह्मण-स्सन् गृहान् प्रविशति । तस्याग्नेरेतां पाद्यासनदानादिलक्षणां शान्ति कुर्वन्ति सन्तः, तदपचारेण दग्धा मा भूमेति । अतः हे वैवस्वत निकेतसे पाद्यार्थमुद्कं हुए आहरेत्यर्थः ॥ ७॥

आशाप्रतीक्षे सङ्गत्रस्तृताश्चेष्टापूर्ते पुत्रपश्चश्च सर्वान्। एतद्वृङ्के पुरुषस्याल्पमेधसो यस्यानश्चन् वसति ब्राह्मणो गृहे॥८॥

अकरणे प्रत्यवायं च दर्शयन्ति सम — आशाप्रतीक्षे इति । यस्य अल्पमेधसः— अल्पप्रज्ञस्य पुरुषस्य गृहे अनश्चन् अभुज्ञानः अतिथिर्वसिति । तस्य अशाप्रतीक्षे — कामसङ्कल्पे । यद्वा अनुत्पन्नवस्तुविषयेच्छा आशा । उत्पन्नवस्तु-प्राप्तीच्छा प्रतीक्षा । सङ्गतं सत्सङ्गम् । स्नृतां सत्यिप्रयवाचम् । इष्टापूर्ते — इष्ट यागादि पूर्व खातादि । पुत्रान् परंद्रश्च एतत् अनशनरूपं पापं वृङ्के—वर्जयित । नाशयतीत्यर्थः । वृजीवर्जने । रुधादित्वात् श्रम् । वृजिवर्जने इत्यसाद्धातो वां इदित्वान्नुम् । अदादित्वाच्छपो छक् ॥ ८॥

तिस्रो रात्रीर्यदवात्सीर्गृहं मेऽनश्रन् ब्रह्मन् अतिथिर्न-मस्यः। नमस्तेस्तु ब्रह्मन् स्वस्ति मेस्तु तस्मात्प्रति तीन् वरान् वृणीष्व॥९॥

एवं वृद्धेरुक्तो मृत्युः निवकेतसमुवाच — तिस्रो रात्नीर्यद्वात्सीरिति । मे गृहे यसाद्धेतोः हे ब्रह्मन् नमस्काराहों ऽतिथिस्त्वं तिस्रो रात्नीरभुङ्गान एव अवात्सीरित्यर्थः । नमस्त इति । स्पष्टोर्थः । तस्मादिति । तसाद्धेतोः मद्यं स्वित्ति यथा स्यादित्येवमर्थं त्रीन्वरान् प्रति—(तान्) उद्दिश्य वृणीष्व प्रार्थय । तव लिप्सामा-वेऽपि मदनुष्रहार्थमनशनरात्रिसमसंख्याकान् त्रीन्वरान्वृणीष्वेत्यर्थः ॥ ९ ॥

शान्तसङ्क ल्पस्सुमना यथा स्याद्वीतमन्युर्गीतमो मामिमृत्यो। त्वत्त्रसृष्टं माभिवदेत् प्रतीत एतत् त्रयाणां प्रथमं वरं वृणे।।१०।।

एवं प्रार्थितो निचकेतास्त्वाह — शान्तसङ्कल्प इति । हे मृत्यो मत्पुत्रो यमं प्राप्य किंकरिष्यतीति मद्विषयचिन्तारहितः प्रसन्नमनाः माऽभि—मां प्रति मम पिता गौतमः वीतरोषश्च यथा स्यादित्यर्थः । किञ्च त्वत्प्रसृष्टिमिति । त्वया गृहाय प्रेषितं माभि—मां प्रति प्रतीतः — यथापूर्वं प्रीतस्तन्वदेत् । यद्वा अभिवदेत् आशिषं प्रयुक्त्यात् (१) । 'अभिवदित नाभिवादयत ' इति स्मृतिषु अभिवदनस्य आशीर्वादे प्रयोगात । एतदिति । स्पष्टोर्थः ॥ १० ॥

यथा पुरस्ताद्भविता प्रतीत औदालिकरारुणिर्मत्प्रसृष्टः। सुख्र रात्रीक्शियता वीतमन्युस्त्वां दिश्वान्मृत्युसुखात्प्र-सुक्तम्॥११॥

एवमुक्तो मृत्युः प्रत्यवाच—यथा पुरस्तादिति । यथापूर्वं त्विय हृष्टो भिवता । उद्दालक एव औद्दालिकः । अरुणस्य अपत्यं आरुणिः । द्व्यामुप्यायणो वा । उद्दालकस्यापत्यं अरुणस्य गोत्रापत्यिमितिवाऽर्थः । मृत्प्रसृष्टः — मदनुज्ञातः । मदनुगृहीतस्सन् । मदनुग्रहादित्यर्थः । सुखिमिति । त्विय गतमन्युस्सन् उत्तरा अपि रात्रीस्सुखं शियता । छुद् । सुखिनद्रां प्राप्स्यतीति यावत् । दृशिवान् दृष्ट्वान् सिन्नत्यर्थः । क्रसन्तोऽयं शब्दः । दृशेश्चेति वक्तव्यमिति कसोरिट् । छान्दसो द्विचनाभावः । मत्प्रसृष्टमिति द्वितीयान्तपाठे प्रोषितं त्वामिति योजना ॥

स्वर्गे लोके न भयं किश्चनास्ति न तत्र त्वं न जरया विभेति। उमे तीत्वी अश्चनायापिपासे शोकातिगो मोदते स्वर्गलोके॥ १२॥

नचिकेता वरं द्वितीयं प्रार्थयते — स्वर्गे लोक इत्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन । अत्र स्वर्गशब्दो मोक्षस्थानपरः। यथा चैतत्त्रथोत्तरत्न वक्ष्यते । हे मृत्यो त्वं तत्न न प्रभवित । जरायुक्तस्सन्न विमेति जरातो न बिमेति । तत्न वर्तमानः पुरुष इति शेषः । उमे इति । अश्वनाया बुभुक्षा । अतापि स्वर्गशब्दो मोक्षस्थानपरः ॥ १२ ॥

स त्वमिश्य स्वर्गमध्येषि मृत्यो प्रब्रूहि त १ श्रद्धधानाय मह्मम् । स्वर्गलोका अमृतत्वं भजन्ते एतद्द्वितीयेन वृणे वरेण ॥ १३ ॥

स त्वमिति । पुराणादिप्रसिद्धसार्वज्ञ्यस्तं स्वर्गप्रयोजनमि जानासि । 'स्वर्गा-दिभ्यो यद्वक्तव्य' इति प्रयोजनिमत्येथे यत् । स्वर्णिडरुद्धपामेः स्वर्गप्रयोजनकतं च उपासनाद्वारेति उत्तरत्न स्फुटम् । श्रद्धधानाय—मोक्षश्रद्धावते । स्वर्गरोकेन तव किं सिद्ध्यतीत्यत्राह—स्वर्गरोका इति । स्वर्गो छोको येषां ते । परमपदं प्राप्ता इत्यर्थः । 'परं ज्योतिरुपसंपद्य स्वेन रूपेणाभिनिष्पद्यते 'इति देशविशेषविशिष्टब्रह्मप्राप्तिपूर्व-कत्वात् स्वद्भपाविभीवरुक्षणमोक्षशब्दितामृतत्वस्येति भावः । एतदिति स्पष्टम् ॥ १३॥ प्रते व्रवीमि तदु मे नियोध स्वर्ग्यमिप्नं निचकेतः प्रजा-नन् । अनन्तलोकाप्तिमथो प्रतिष्ठां विद्धि त्वमेनं निहितं गुहायाम् ॥ १४॥

एवमुक्तो मृत्युराह—प्र ते ब्रवीमीति । प्रार्थितवते तुभ्यं प्रबवीमि । 'व्यवहिता-इचे 'ति व्यवहितप्रयोगः । मम उपदेशाज्जानीहीत्यर्थः । ज्ञानस्य फलं दर्शयति — स्वर्ग्यमि मिति । अनन्तस्य विष्णोः लोकः तत्प्राप्तिम् । 'तद्विष्णोः परमं पद ' मित्युक्तरत्र वक्ष्यमाणत्वात् । अथो तत्प्राप्त्यनन्तरं प्रतिष्ठां अपुनरावृत्तिं च । लभत इति शेषः । तद्ज्ञानस्येदृशसामध्यं कथं संभवतीति मन्यमानं प्रत्याह—विद्वीति । ब्रह्मोपासनाज्ञतया एतद्ज्ञानस्य मोक्षहेतुत्वलक्षणमेतत्स्वरूपं गुहायां निहितं अन्ये न जानन्ति । त्वं जानीहोति भावः । यद्वा ज्ञानार्थकस्य विदेर्लाभार्थ-कत्वसंभवात् अग्नं प्रजानस्त्वं अनन्तलोकाप्तिं प्रतिष्ठां लभस्वेत्युक्ते हेतुहेतुमद्भावः सिद्धो भवति । प्रजानन् लक्षणहेत्वोरिति शतृप्रत्ययः ॥ १४ ॥

> लोकादिमिं तमुवाच तस्मै या इष्टका यावतीर्वा यथा वा। स चापि तत्प्रत्यवदद्यथोक्तमथास्य मृत्युः पुनरे-वाह तुष्टः ॥ १५॥

अनन्तरं श्रुतिवावयं लोकादिमिग्निमिति। लोकस्य आदिं हेतुं। स्वर्ग्यमिति यावत्। तमाममुवाच। यल्लक्षणा इष्ट्रकाङ्चेत्वयाः यत्संख्याकाः येन प्रकारेण चेत्वयाः तत्सर्वमुक्तवानित्यर्थः। यावतीरिति पूर्वसवर्णञ्छान्दसः। स चापीति। स च नचिकेताः तत् श्रुतं सर्वं तथैवानूदितवानित्यर्थः। अथास्येति। शिष्यस्य महणसामर्थ्यदर्शनेन सन्तुष्टस्सन् मृत्युः पुनरप्युक्तवान्॥ १५॥

तमत्रवीत् त्रीयंमाणो महात्मा वरं तवेहाद्य ददामि भूयः। तवैव नाम्ना भवितायमिः सङ्कां चेमामनेकरूपां गृहाण॥ १६॥

तमब्रवीदिति । सन्तुष्य महामना मृत्युः नचिकेतसमब्रवीत् । पुनश्चतुर्थं वरं ददानि प्रयच्छानीति । किन्तत् तन्नाह — तवैवेति । मयोच्यमानोऽमिस्तवैव नाम्ना नाचिकेत इति प्रसिद्धो भविता । विचिवां सृङ्कां शब्दवतीं रत्नमालां स्वीकुर्वित्यर्थः ॥ १६ ॥

त्रिणाचिकेतिस्तिभिरेत्य सिन्धं तिकर्मकृत्तरि जन्ममृत्यू। ब्रह्मज्ञं देवमीड्यं विदित्वा निचाय्येमा शान्तिमत्यन्त-मेति ॥ १७॥

पुनरिष कर्म प्रस्तौति — तिणाचिकेत इति । त्रिणाचिकेतः — 'अयं वाव यः पवते ' इत्याचनुवाकत्रयाध्यायी । त्रिकर्मकृत्—यजनाध्ययनदानकृत्—पाकयज्ञहिविधज्ञ-सोमयज्ञकृद्धा । तिभिः त्रिरनुष्ठितैरिक्षेभिः । सिन्धि — परमात्मोपासनेन संबन्धम् । एत्य — प्राप्य जन्मसृत्यू तरतीत्यर्थः । 'करोति तचेन पुनर्न जायते ' इत्यनेनैकार्थ्यात् । एवमेव ह्ययं मन्तः 'त्रयाणामेव चैव'मिति स्त्रे व्यासार्थैविवृतः । तिभिरेत्य संधिमिति निर्दिष्टमिङ्गमूतं परमात्मोपासनमाह — ब्रह्मजज्ञमिति । अयं मन्तः 'विशेषणाच्चे'ति स्त्रमाण्ये 'ब्रह्मजज्ञः — जीवः । ब्रह्मणो जातत्वात् ज्ञत्वाच्च । तं देवमीड्यं विदित्वा । जीवात्मानमुपासकं ब्रह्मात्मकत्वेनावगम्येत्यर्थः ' इति विवृतः । देवशब्दस्य परमात्माचितया जीवपरयोश्चेकयासंभवादत्रत्यदेवशब्दस्य परमात्मात्मकत्वमर्थ इति भाष्याभिप्रायः । निचायय — ब्रह्मात्मकं स्वात्मानं साक्षात्कृत्य । इमां — 'त्रिकर्म-कृत्तर्ता'ति पूर्वमन्त्रनिर्दिष्टां संसारक्षपानर्थशान्तिमेतीत्यर्थः ॥ १७ ॥

तिणाचिकेतस्त्रयमेति इदित्वा य एवं विद्वा श्विनुते नाचि-केतम् । स मृत्युपाशान्पुरतः प्रणोद्य शोकातिगो मोदते स्वर्गलोके ॥ १८॥

तिणाचिकत इति । तिणाचिकतः, उक्तोर्थः । तयमेतद्विदित्वा 'ब्रह्मज्ञं देवमीड्य'मिति मन्त्रनिर्दिष्टं ब्रह्मस्वरूपं, तदात्मकस्वात्मस्वरूपं, 'त्रिभिरेत्य संधि' मिति निर्दिष्टामिस्वरूपं च । विदित्वा— गुरूपदेशेन शास्त्रतो वा ज्ञात्वा । य एवं विद्वान् एतादृशार्थत्रयानुसंधानपूर्वकं नाचिकतमिं यश्चिनुते सः मृत्युपाशान् रागद्वेषादिरुक्षणान् । पुरतः — शरीरपातात्पूर्वमेव । प्रणोद्य— तिरस्कृत्य । जीव-दशायामेव रागादिरहितस्सन्त्रित्यर्थः । शोकातिगो मोदते स्वर्गलोक इति पूर्वमेव व्याख्यातम् ॥ १८॥

यो वाप्येतां ब्रह्मजज्ञात्मभूतां चितिं विदित्वा चिनुते नाचिकेतम् । स एव भूत्वा ब्रह्मजज्ञात्मभूतः करोति तद्येन पुनर्न जायते ॥ १९ ॥

यो वाप्येतामिति । यः एतां चितिं ब्रह्मजज्ञात्ममृतां विदित्वा ब्रह्मात्मकस्वरूपत-यानुसंधाय नाचिकेतमिं चिनुते स एव ब्रह्मात्मकस्वात्मानुसन्धानशाली सन् अपुन-भैवहेतुभृतं यद्भगवदुपासनं तदनुतिष्ठति । ततश्चान्नौ भगवादात्मकस्वात्मानुसन्धान-पूर्वकमेव चयनं 'त्रिभिरेत्य सिंध व्रिकमकृत्तरित जन्ममृत्यू ' इति पूर्वमन्त्रे भगव-दुपासनद्वारा मोक्षसाधनतया निर्दिष्टत्वात् (ष्टम्)। नान्यदिति भावः। अयं च मन्त्रः केषुचित्कोशेषु न दृष्टः। कैश्चिद्व्याकृतश्च। अथापि प्रत्ययितव्यतमैर्व्यासार्यादि-भिरेव व्याख्यातत्वान्न प्रक्षेपशङ्का कार्या। १९॥

एष तेमिनीचकेतः स्वर्गो यमवृणीथा द्वितीयेन वरेण। एत
मिम तवैव प्रवक्ष्यन्ति जनासस्तृतीयं वरं निचकेतो वृणीष्व॥२०॥

एष तेशिर्निचिकेतः स्वर्ग्यः । उपदिष्ट इति शेषः । यमवृणीथा द्वितीयेन वरेण । स्पष्टोर्थः । किञ्च एतमग्निमिति । जनास्तवैव नाम्ना एतममि प्रवक्ष्यन्ती-त्यर्थः । तृतीयमित्यादि । स्पष्टोर्थः । नन्वेतत्प्रकरणगतानां स्वर्गशब्दानां मोक्ष-परत्वे किं प्रमाणमिति चेत् । उच्यते । भगवतेव भाष्यकृता 'स्वर्ग्यमिश 'मिति मन्तं प्रस्तुत्य स्वर्गशब्देनात परमपुरुषार्थरुक्षणमोक्षोऽभिषीयते । स्वर्गर्शका अमृतत्वं भजन्त इति तत्रस्थस्य जननमरणाभावश्रवणात् , 'त्रिणाचिकेतस्त्रिभिरेत्य संिषं त्रिकर्मकृत्यति जन्ममृत्यू ' इति प्रतिवचनात् , तृतीयवरप्रश्ने निचकेतसा क्षयिफ्रह्मां निन्दिष्यमाणतया क्षयिफर्ह्मां निकेतसा क्षयिप्रह्मां निन्दिष्यमाणतया क्षयिफर्ह्मां प्रकृष्टसुखवचनतया निरविकानन्दरूपमाक्षस्य स्वर्गशब्दवाच्यत्वसंभवादिति कण्डतस्तात्पर्यतश्च प्रतिपादितत्वाच शङ्कावकाशः । ननु 'स्वर्गे रुपेके न भयं किंचनास्ति न तत्र त्वं न जर्या विभेति । उभे तीर्त्वा अश्वनायापिपासे शोकातिगो मोदते स्वर्गर्ह्मोक्षेत्र । स त्वमि स्वर्गमध्येषि मृत्यो प्रवृहि तं श्रद्धधानाय मह्मम् । स्वर्गर्ह्मोका अमृतत्वं भजन्त एतद्द्वितीयेन वृणे वरेण ' ॥ इति द्वितीयवरप्रभ्रमन्तद्वये चतुरभ्यस्तस्य स्वर्गशब्दस्य मोक्षपरत्वं

किं मुख्यया वृत्त्या? उत अमुख्यया? नाद्यः। 'स्वर्गापवर्गमार्गाभ्यां, स्वर्गापवर्गयोरेकं न स्वर्गं नापुनर्भवं, स स्वर्गस्या सर्वान्प्रत्यविशिष्टत्वात् ' इत्यादिप्रयोगेप्वपर्वगप्रतिद्वं-द्विवाचितया लोकवेदप्रसिद्धस्य स्वर्गशब्दस्य मोक्षवाचित्वाभावात् । ' ध्रुवसूर्यान्तरे यतु नियुतानि चतुर्दश । स्वर्गलोकस्स कथितो लोकसंस्थानचिन्तकै 'रिति पुराण-वचनानुसारेण सूर्यध्रवान्तर्वातिलोकविशेषस्यैव स्वर्गशब्दवाच्यतया तत्रैव लौकिक-वैदिकव्यवहारदर्शनेन मोक्षस्थानस्यातथात्वात् । नाप्यमुख्ययेति द्वितीयः पक्षः । मुख्यार्थे बाधकाभावात् । किमल प्रइनवाक्यगतं जरामरणराहित्यामृतत्वभात्तवा-दिकं बाधकम् ? उत प्रतिवचनगतजरामृत्युतरणादि ? उत क्षयिष्णुम्वर्गस्य सर्व-कामविमुखनचिकेतःप्रार्थ्यमानत्वानुपविर्वा । नवर्गेलोकवासिनां जरा-मरणक्षुत्विपासाशोकादिराहित्यस्य अमृतपानादमृतत्वप्राप्तेश्च पुराणेषु स्वर्गस्वरूपकथन-प्रकरणेषु द्रीनात्, ' आभूतसंष्ठवं स्थानममृतत्वं हि भाष्यते ' इति सारणात्, अत्रैव 'अजीर्यताममृतानामुपेत्ये ' ति मृत्यावप्यमृतशब्दप्रयोगदर्शनाच स्वर्गलो-कवासिनामेव ब्रह्मोपासनद्वारा 'ते ब्रह्मलोके तु परान्तकाल 'इति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या अमृतःवप्राप्तेस्संभवेन 'स्वर्गछोका अमृतत्वं भजन्त 'इत्यस्योपपत्तेश्च आपेक्षिका-मृतत्वपरतया लोकवेदनिरूढौपसंहारिकामृतशबदानुसारेण प्रक्रमस्थानन्यथासिद्ध-विशेष्यवाचिस्वर्गशब्दस्यान्यथानयनासंभवात् । न हि देवदत्तोऽभिरूप इत्युक्ते अभिरूपपदस्वारस्यानुसारेण देवदत्तपदस्यात्यन्ताभिरूपयज्ञदत्तपरत्वमश्रीयते । न द्वीतीयः । ' क्रिणाचिकेतिस्त्रिभि'रिति भन्त्रस्य स्वर्गसाधनस्यैवाग्नेस्त्रिरभ्यासे जन्म-मृत्युतरणहेनुभूतब्रह्मविद्याहेतुत्वनस्तीत्येतदर्थकतया स्वर्गशब्दस्य मुख्यार्थपरत्वाबाध-कत्वात् । अत एव तत्त्रुल्यस्य 'करोति तद्येन पुनर्न जायते ' इत्यस्यापि न स्वर्ग-शब्दमुख्यार्थबाधकत्वम् । नापि क्षयिष्णोः स्वर्गस्य फलान्तरविमुखनचिकेतः-प्रार्थ्यमानत्वानुपपत्तिरिति तृतीयः पक्षः । स्वर्गसाधनाग्निप्रश्नं प्रतिब्रुवता हितैपिणा मृत्युना अपृष्टेऽपि मोक्षस्वरूपे ' अनन्तलोकाप्तिमथो प्रतिष्ठां, तिणाचिकेतस्त्रिभिरेत्य संधिं त्रिकर्मकृत्तरति जन्ममृत्यू ' इत्यादिनोपक्षिप्ते उत्पन्ना मुमुक्षा 'अन्यं वरं नचिकेतो वृणीप्वेति प्रतिषेधेन दढीकृता । तस्यां च दशायां क्रियमाणा क्षयिष्णुफलनिन्दा प्रचीनस्वर्गप्रार्थनायाः कथं बाधिका स्यात् । 'श्वी भावा मर्त्यस्ये ' त्यादौ मर्त्यभोग-निन्दाया एव दर्शनेन स्वर्गनिन्दाया अदर्शनात् । स्वर्गशब्दस्य मोक्षपरत्वे तस्य ज्ञानैकसाध्यतया तत्त्रयोजनकत्वस्याझावभावात् उपक्रमोपसंहारमध्याभ्यस्तस्वर्गशब्द-पीडाप्रसङ्गात् । सन्तु वा प्रतिवचने बाधकानि, अश्राष्युपक्रमाधिकरणन्यायेन प्रथ-मस्थप्रश्नवाक्यस्थस्वर्गशब्दस्येव प्रबल्दवात् । न च 'मूयसां स्यात्सधर्मत्व 'मिति न्यायात् भूयोनुब्रहार्थं अरुपस्योपक्रमस्य बाध्यत्वमस्त्विति वाच्यम् । 'मुख्यं वे 'ति सूत्रे औदसंहारिकबह्वपेक्षयापि मुख्यस्येव प्राबल्योक्तेः । तस्मात्स्वर्गशब्दस्य मुख्यार्थ-परित्यागे न किञ्चित्कारणमिति ॥

अत्रोच्यते—स्वर्गशब्दस्य मुख्ययैव वृत्त्या मोक्षवाचित्वम् । स्वर्गकामाधि-करणे नागृहीतविशेषणन्यायेन स्वर्गशब्दस्य प्रीतिवचनत्वमेव, न प्रीति-विशिष्टद्रव्यवाचिता इत्युक्तवा, ननु स्वर्गशब्दस्य नागृहीतविशेषणन्यायेन प्रीतिवचनत्वे सिद्धेपि देहान्तरदेशान्तरभोग्यप्रीतिवाचिता न सिद्धचेत्। न च 'यसिन्नोष्ण 'मिति वाक्यरोषाद्विध्युद्देशस्थरवर्गशब्दस्य पीतिविशेषवाचितानिश्चय इति वाच्यम् । प्रीतिमालवाचित्वेन निर्णीतशक्तिकतया सन्देहाभावेन 'सन्दिग्धे तु वाक्यशेषा'दिति न्यायस्यानवतारादिति परिचोद्य यद्यपि लोक एव स्वर्गशब्दस्य निणींतार्थता, तथापि छोकावगतसातिशयसुखवाचित्वे तत्साधनत्वं ज्योतिष्टोमादीनां स्यात् । तथा चारु यमननरायाससाध्ये लौकिके तदुपायान्तरे संभवति न बहुधन-नरायाससाध्ये बह्दन्तराये ज्योतिष्टोमादौ प्रेक्षावान् प्रवर्तत इति प्रवर्तकत्वं ज्योतिष्टोमादिविधेर्न स्यात् । अतः वाकचरोषावगते निरतिशयप्रीतिविशेषे स्वर्ग-रान्दस्य राक्तौ निश्चितायां वाक्यरोषाभावस्थलेपि यववराहादिप्विव स एवार्थः । लौकिके सातिशयपीतिभरिते गुणयोगादेव वृत्तेरुपपतेर्न शक्तयन्तरकल्पना । न च प्रीतिमालवचनस्यैव स्वर्गशब्दस्य वेदे निरतिशयप्रीतिवाचित्वमस्त्विति वाच्यम् । निरतिशयत्वांशस्यान्यतोऽनवगतत्वेन तलापि शक्तचवद्यंभावेन स्वर्गशब्दस्य लोकवेद्-योरनेकार्थता स्यात् । यदा तु वैदिकप्रयोगावगतनिरितशयप्रीतिवाचिता, तदा साति-शये छैकिके प्रीतित्वसामान्ययोगाद्गौणी वृत्तिरिति मीमांसकैर्निरितशयसुखवाचित्वस्यैव समर्थिततया मोक्षस्य स्वर्गशब्दवाच्यत्वे विवादायोगात्, पार्थशब्दस्यार्जुन इव तदितर-पृथापुत्रेषु प्रचुरप्रयोगाभावेऽपि पार्थशन्दमुख्यार्थत्वानपायवत् स्वर्गशन्दस्य सूर्य-ध्रवान्तर्वितिलोकगतसुखिवशेष इव अन्यत प्रचुरप्रयोगाभावेपि वाच्यत्वानपायात्।

बर्हिराज्यादिशब्दानामसंस्कृततृणघृतादिष्वार्थेरप्रयुज्यमानानामपि अस्त्येव तद्वाचित्वम्। केषां चिद्रप्रयोगमात्रस्य शक्त्यभावासाधकत्वात्। अतस्तृणत्वादिजातिवचना एव बर्हिरादिशब्दा इति बर्हिराज्याधिकरणे स्थितत्वात्। तदुक्तं वार्तिके--'एकदेशेपि यो दृष्टः शब्दो जातिनिबंधनः। तदत्यागान्न तस्यास्ति निमित्तान्तरगामिता॥' इति। तत्रश्च स्वर्गशब्दो मोक्षसाधारण एव॥

ननु बर्हिराज्यादिशब्देषु असंस्क्रनतृणघृतादौ आर्यप्रयोगाभावेपि अनार्य-प्रयोगसत्वात् असंस्कृतवाचिनाऽस्तु नाम । स्वर्गशब्दस्य सूर्यध्रवान्तर्वितिलोकसुख-विशेषातिरिक्तस्थले नियमेनाप्रयोगात्तद्व्यावृत्तेव शक्तिरभ्युगनतव्या । अत एव पोद्गात्रधिकरणे उद्गातृशब्दस्य ऋत्विग्विशेषे इतरव्यावृत्तप्रयोगविशेषेण रूदवात्तस्य < चोद्गातुरेक्तवेन 'पेतु होतुश्चमसः प्रोद्गातृणां मिति बहुवचनार्थबहुत्वासंभवात् तदन्व-यार्थं रूढिपूर्वकलक्षणया अपसुब्रह्मण्यानामेकस्रोत्रसंबन्धिनां त्याणां वा ससुब्रह्मण्यानां चतुर्णां वा उद्गात्रादीनां छन्दोगानां ग्रहणमित्येतद्विरुध्येत । तथाहि -- अहीनाधि-करणे ' त्रिस्न एव साह्नस्योपसदः द्वादशाहीनस्ये 'त्यत्र अहीनशब्दस्य 'अहः-खःक्राता ' विति व्याकरणस्मृत्या खप्रत्ययान्ततया अहर्गणसामान्यवाचितया व्युत्पादितस्याप्यहीनशब्दस्य नियमेन सते अप्रयोगादहर्गणविशेषरूढिमङ्गीकृत्य ज्योतिष्ट्रोमस्थाहर्गणविरोषत्वाभावादहोन इति योगस्य रूढिपराहतत्वेन योगेन ज्योतिष्टोमे वृत्त्यसंभवात् ज्योतिष्टोमप्रकरणाधीताया अपि द्वादशाहोनस्यति द्वादशोपसत्ताया अहर्गणिवशे गेत्कर्ष इत्युक्तम् । तथा ' पाय्यसान्नाय्यनिकाय्यधाय्या-मानह विर्निवाससामिधेनी वि ' ति व्याकरणस्मृत्या सामिधेनी मात्रवाचितया व्युवादि-तस्यापि धाय्याशब्दस्य न सामिधेनीमात्रवचनत्वम् । नापि धीयमानत्वरूपयोगार्थ-वरोन धीयमानमालवचनत्वम्, स्तुतिशस्त्रार्थतयाधोयमोनासु ऋक्षु सामिधेनीमाले च धाय्याशब्दाप्रयोगात्। अपितु ' पृथुपाजवत्यौ धाय्ये भवतः ' इत्यादिवैदिक-प्रयोगविषयेषु पृथुपाजवत्यादिष्वेव धाय्याशब्दस्य शक्तिरिति 'समिधमानवर्गी सिमध्यवतीं चान्तरा तद्धाःध्यास्त्यु । रित पाञ्चमिकाधिकरणे स्थितम् । एवनादिकं सर्वे विरुद्धचेत । स्वर्गशब्दे त्यदुक्तरी, या प्रयोगाभावेऽपि शक्तिसंभवे उद्गात्रादि-शब्दानां ऋत्विभिवरोषादिषु रूढेरकरानीयत्वादितिचेन्—सत्यम्। यदि सर्वास्मना तद-

तिरिक्ते स्वर्गशब्दप्रयोगो न स्यात्, तदा तद्वचावृत्ता रूढिरभ्युपगन्तव्या स्यात्, अस्ति हि तत्रापि प्रयोगः — 'तस्यां हिरण्मयःकोशः स्वर्गो छोको ज्योतिषा वृतः । यो वैतां ब्रह्मणो वेद, तेन धीरा अपि यन्ति ब्रह्मविदः स्वर्गे लोकमित ऊर्ध्वा विमुक्ताः, अप-हत्य पांप्मानुमनन्ते स्वर्गे लोके ज्येये प्रतितिष्ठती ते तैत्तिरीयक—बृहदारण्यक—तलव-कारादिषु अध्यात्मशास्त्रेषु प्रयोगदर्शनात् । पौराणिकपरिकरिपतस्वर्गशब्दऋढेः सांख्यपरिकल्पिताव्यक्तशब्दरूढिवदनादरणीयत्वात् । असिन्नेव प्रकरणे ' तिणाचिके-तस्त्रयमेतद्विदित्वा य एवं विद्वांश्चिनुते नाचिकेतम् । स मृत्युपाशनपुरतः प्रणोद्य शो-कातिगो मोदते स्वराहोके ' इति मन्त्रे कर्मज्ञानसमुच्चयसाध्यवाचकतया श्रूयमाणस्य स्वर्गलोकशब्दस्य सूर्यभ्रवान्तर्वितिलोकव्यतिरिक्तवैराजपदवाचकतया परैर्प व्याख्या-तत्वाच । ननु सूर्यलोकोध्ववर्तिलोकत्वस्यैव प्रवृत्तिनिमित्तत्या तस्यच वैराजपदेपि सत्वात् नामुख्यार्थत्वमिति चेत् । तर्हि भगवल्लोकेपि ऊर्ध्ववर्तित्वाविशेषेण मुख्यार्थ-त्वानपायात् । 'स्वर्गापवर्गमार्गाभ्या' मित्यादिव्यवहारस्य ब्राह्मणपरिव्राजकन्याये-नोपपत्तेश्च । अस्तु वा अमुख्यार्थत्वम् । मुख्यार्थे बाधकसत्वात् । किमत्र बाधक-मिति चेत् —श्रूयतामवधानेन । 'स्वर्गे लोके न भयं किञ्च नास्ती'ति प्रथमे प्रश्नमन्त्रे 'न भयं किंचनास्ती 'ति अपहतपाप्मत्वं प्रतिपाद्यते । 'स्वरेभि पातभीतस्ये 'त्युक्त-रीत्या केन पापेन कदा पतिप्यामीति भीत्यभावः प्रतिपाद्यते । सह्यपहतपाप्मन एव ं संभवति । ' न तल त्वं न जस्या बिमेती ' त्यनेन विजरत्वविमृत्युत्वे प्रतिपाद्येते । ' उभे तीर्त्वा अज्ञनायापिपासे ' इत्यनेन विजिघत्सत्वाऽपिपासत्वे प्रतिपाद्येते । 'शोकातिग ' इत्यनेन विशोकत्वम् । 'मोदते स्वर्गछोक ' इत्यनेन 'स यदि पितृ-छोककामो भवति सङ्कल्पादेवास्य पितरस्समुत्तिष्ठन्ति तेन पितृछोकेन संपन्नो महीयते ' इति श्रुतिसुन्दर्भप्रतिपांचे सत्यकामत्वसत्यसङ्करणत्वे प्रतिपांचेते । ततश्चाध्यात्मशास्त्र-सिद्धस्यापहतपाप्नत्वादिब्रह्मगुणाष्ट्रकाविर्मावस्येह प्रतीयमानतया तस्येवेह ग्रहणसंभवे पौराणिकस्वर्गलोकगतापेक्षिकजरामरणाद्यभावस्वीकारस्यानुन्वितत्वात् । अतएव सप्तमे विध्यन्तराधिकरणे अनुपदिष्टेलिकर्तव्यताकास सौर्यादिविकृतिभावनास इतिकर्तव्य-ताकांक्षायां वैतानिककर्मा धिकारप्रवृत्तत्रयी विद्वितत्वसामान्यात् वैदिक्येव दर्शपूर्ण-मासिकोतिकर्षव्यता उपतिष्ठत-इत्युक्तम् । उक्तं च शास्त्रादीपिकायाम्

'वैदिकी वैदिकत्वेन सामान्येनोपतिष्ठते । छौकिकी त्वसमानत्वान्नोपस्थास्यत्यपेक्षिता ॥' इति

न च 'यद्येकं यूपं स्पृशेदेष ते वायाविति ब्रूया'दिति विहितस्य 'एष ते वायावि'ति वचनस्य वैदिकत्वसामान्येन विहितवैदिकयूपर शिनिमित्तकत्वमेव स्यात्। नचेष्टापत्तिः। ' छौकिके दोषसंयोगादि ' ति नाविमकाधिकरणिवरोधप्रसङ्गादिति वाच्यम् । ' यूपो वै यज्ञस्य दुरिष्टमामुञ्जते तसाधूयो नोपस्पृत्य । इति प्रतिषिध्य 'यद्येकं यूरं स्पृशेत् एष ते वायाविति ब्र्यादि ' ति अनन्तरमेव विहितस्य प्रतिपिद्धप्रायिश्वतसांकां ३ छै। किकस्पर्श-विषयत्वावस्यंभावेन वैदिकविषयत्वासंभवेषि असति बाधके वैदिकविषयत्वस्य युक्त-त्वात् । अतएव 'यावतोऽधान् प्रतिगृह्णीयात् तावतो वारुणान् चतुप्कपालानिर्व-पेदि 'ति विहितेष्टिवेंदिक एवाश्वदाने, न तु 'न केसरिणो ददाती ' ति निषिद्धे प्रायश्चित्तसापेक्षे सुहृदादिभ्यः सेहादिना क्रियमाण इति निर्गीतं तृतीये । तथा 'योगिनः प्रतिस्मर्यते सार्तेचैते ' इति सूत्रे सार्तस्य वेदान्ते न प्रत्यभिज्ञानमित्युक्तं परै: । ततश्च 'स्वरें लोक ' इति मन्त्रे अध्यात्मशास्त्रसिद्धस्यापहतपाप्मत्वादिब्रह्म-गुणाष्टकस्यैव ग्रहणमुचितम् । 'स्वर्गछोका अमृतत्वं भजन्त ' इति द्वितीयप्रश्न-मन्त्रे अमृतःवभाक्तवश्रवणादमृतः वशब्दस्याध्यात्मशास्त्रे मोक्षएव प्रयागात्। 'अजीर्यता-ममृताना ' मित्यत्र अमृतशब्दस्थापि मुक्तपरत्वेनापेक्षिकामृतत्वपरत्वाभावात् । उत्तरत्र 'ततो मया नाचिकेतिश्चितोभिरनित्यैर्द्रव्यैः प्रातवानिस्म नित्यम् । अभयं तितीर्षतां पारं नाचिकेतं शकेमहि ' इति परस्यैव ब्रह्मणो नाचिकेतासिप्राप्यत्वकथनेन स्वर्ग-शब्दस्य प्रसिद्धस्वरीप्ररत्व।संभवात्। 'नान्यन्तसा निचकेता वृणीते'इति ब्रह्मेतर्विमुख-तया प्रतिपादितस्य निचकेतसः क्षयिष्णुस्वरीप्रार्थनानुपपत्तेश्च । 'मुख्यं वा पूर्वचोदना ह्योकवदि 'त्यत समसंख्याकयोः परस्परविरोध एव मुख्यस्य प्रावल्यम् । न ह्यल्पवैगुण्ये संभवति बहुवैगुण्यं प्रयोगवचनं क्षमते। अतः यत्र जवन्यानां भूयस्तं तत्र 'भूयसां स्यात् सधर्मत्वं ' इति न्याय एव प्रवर्तत इत्येव मीमांसकैः स्थिरीकृत्य सिद्धान्ति-तत्वात्, प्रतर्दनविद्यायां 'एषहेव साधु कर्म कारयति ' एष लोकाधिपतिरेषलोकपालः आनन्दोऽजरोऽमृतः ' इत्यौपसंहारिकृपरमात्मधर्मबाहुल्येन प्रक्रमश्रुतजीवलिङ्गबाधस्य ' प्राणस्तथानुगमा' दित्यस प्रतिपादितस्वादित्यरुमतिचर्चया । प्रकृतमनुसरामः ॥ २०॥

येयं प्रेते विचिकित्सा मनुष्ये अस्तीत्येके नाऽयमस्तीति चैके। एति द्वामनुशिष्ट्स्त्वयाऽहं वराणामेष वरस्तृतीयः॥ २१॥

नचिकेता आह—येयं प्रेत इति । 'अत्ता चराचरप्रहणा दित्यधिकरणे इमं मन्त्रं प्रस्तुत्येत्थं हि भगवता भाष्यकृता — 'अत्र परमपुरुषार्थरूपब्रह्मप्राप्तिलक्षणमोक्ष-याथात्म्यविज्ञानाय तदुपायभूतपरमात्मोपासनपरावरात्मतत्त्वजिज्ञासयाऽयं क्रियते । एवं च 'येयं प्रेत' इति न शरीरिवयोगमात्राभिष्रायम् । अपितु सर्वबन्ध-विनिर्मोक्षामिप्रायम् । यथा 'न प्रेत्य संज्ञास्तो 'ति । अयमर्थः — मोक्षाधिकृते मनुष्ये प्रेते सर्वबन्धविनिर्मुक्ते तत्स्वरूपविषया वादिविप्रतिपित्तिनिर्मिता अस्तिनास्त्यात्मिका येयं विचिकित्सा तद्यनोद्नाय तत्स्वरूपयाथात्म्यं त्वयाऽनुशिष्टाऽहं विद्यां जानीयामिति। तथाहि बहुधा विप्रतियद्यन्ते । केचिद्धित्तिमालस्योत्मनः स्वरूपोच्छित्तिलक्षणं मोक्ष-माचक्षते । अन्येतु वित्तिमात्रस्यैव सतोऽविद्यास्तमयम् । परे पाषाणकरूपस्यात्मनो ज्ञानाद्यशेषवैशेषिकगुणोच्छेदलक्षणं कैवल्यरूपम् । अपरे अपहतपाप्मानं परमात्मा-नमभ्युपगच्छन्तः तस्यैवोपाधिसंसर्गानिमित्तजीवभावस्योपाध्यपगमेन तद्भावलक्षणं मोक्ष-मातिष्ठन्ते । त्रय्यन्तिनिष्णातास्तु निखिलजगदेककारणस्याशेषहेयप्रत्यनीकानन्त-ज्ञानानन्दैकस्वरूपंस्य स्वामाविकानविधकातिशयासंख्येयकल्याणगुणाकरस्य सकलेतर-विलक्षणस्य सर्वातमभूतस्य परस्य ब्रह्मणः शरीरतया प्रकारभूतस्य अनुकूलापरिच्छिन्न-ज्ञानस्वरूपस्य परमात्मानुभवैकरसस्य जीवस्यानादिकर्मरूपाविद्योच्छेदपूर्वकस्वाभाविक-परमात्मानुभवमेव मोक्षमाचक्षते । तल मोक्षस्वरूपं तत्साधनं च त्वत्प्रसादाद्विद्यामिति निकेतसा पृष्टो मृत्यु'रित भाषितम् ॥ तथा 'त्रयाणामेव चैव ' मिति सूत्रे 'तृती-येन वरेण मोक्षस्वरूपप्रश्नद्वारेणोपेयस्वरूपमुपेतृस्वरूपमुपायभूतकर्मानुगृहीतोपासन-स्वरूपं च पृष्ट 'मिति च भाषितम् । श्रुतप्रकाशिकायां च ' 'येय' मित्यादि प्रश्नवाक्ये मोक्षस्वरूपप्रश्नः कण्ठोक्तः । प्रतिवचनप्रकारेणोपासनादिप्रश्नश्चार्थसिद्धः । निर्विशेषापत्तिमीक्षश्चेत् वाक्धार्थज्ञानस्योपायता स्यात् । उभयलिङ्गकं प्राप्यं चेत्रथा-त्वेनोपासनमुपायः स्यात् । अतः मोक्षस्यरूपज्ञानं तदनुबन्धिज्ञानापेक्षःभिति वर्णितम् । अतः येयं प्रेत इत्यस्य मुक्तस्वरूपप्रश्नपरत्वमेव, न देहातिरिक्तगरलौकिककर्मानुष्ठानोप-योगिकर्मोत्कात्मकजीवस्वरूपमालपरत्वम् । अन्यथा तस्यार्थस्य दुरिधगमत्वप्रदर्शन्-

विविधभोगवितरणप्रलोभनपरीक्षाया असंभवादिति द्रष्टव्यम् । निचकेतसोह्ययमभि-प्रायः — हितैषिवचनादात्मा परित्यक्तचरमदेह आविभूतापहतपाप्मत्वादिगुणाष्टको भवतीत्यु अध्रुत्य 'स्वरें छोके न भयं किंचनास्ती 'त्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन मोक्षसाधन-भूताझिमशक्षम् । अधुना तु वादिविप्रतिपत्त्या तद्विपये सन्देहो जायते । अयं 'स्वें लोके न भयं किंचनास्ती ' त्यादिना मयोपन्यस्तापहत । प्यादिनिशष्टरूप आत्मा अस्तीत्येके नायमस्तीत्यपरे, त्वया उपदिष्ट एतज्ञानीया मिति। अत एव प्रति-वचने ' एतच्छ्रवा संप्रतिगृह्य मर्थः प्रवृह्य धर्म्यमणुमेतमाप्य, स मोदते मोदनीयं हि लब्ध्वे 'ति एतत्रश्वानुगुण्यमेव दृश्यते । अतः यथोक्त एवार्थः । केचितु— ' पराभिध्यानात् तिरोहितं ततो ह्यस्य बन्धविपर्यया 'विति सूत्रे तिरोहितमिति निष्ठान्त-पदे उपसर्जनतया निर्दिष्टस्य तिरोधानस्य 'देहयोगाद्वा सोपि'इति तदुत्तरसूत्रे सोपि तिरोधानभावोपीति पुछङ्गतच्छव्देन परामर्शद्रीनात् 'सर्वनाम्नाऽनुसन्धिवृत्तिछन्न-स्ये'ति वामनसूत्रे कृत्तद्धितादिवृत्तिन्यक्भृतस्यापि सर्वनाम्ना परामर्शस्याङ्गीकृतत्वात् । येयं पेत इति निष्ठान्तपेतशब्दे उपसर्जनतय। निर्दिष्टस्यापि प्रायणशब्दितमोक्षस्य 'देह-योगाद्वा सोपी 'तिवत् ' नायमस्तीति चैके ' इत्यत्न अयमिति पदेन परामर्शो Sस्तु । नचैवं भुक्तवत्यिसान् भोजनमस्ति वा नवेति वाक्चवत् मुक्तेऽस्मिन् मोक्षोऽस्ति न वेति सन्देहकथनं व्याहतार्थमिति वाच्यम् । मोक्षसामान्यमभ्युपेत्य मोक्षविशेष-सन्देहस्योपपादयितुं शक्धत्वात् । अयमित्यनेन विशेषपरामर्शसंभवात् । प्रायणशब्दस्य मोक्षवाचित्वं कचिद्ष्यम् । शरीरवियोगवाचित्वात् । श्रुतप्रकाशिकायां शरीरवियोगवाचित्वमभ्युपेत्यैव चरमशरीरवियोगपरतया व्याख्यातत्वादितिचेत्— अस्त्वेवम् । तथाप्ययमित्यनेन चरमशरीरवियोगपरामर्शसंभवात् तद्विषयिण्येव विचिकित्सो अस्तु । ननु तस्य निश्चितत्वात् तद्विपयिणी विचिकित्सा नोपपद्यत इति चेत्सत्यम् । अयं चरमशरीरवियोगः ब्रह्मरूपाविभीवपूर्वभावित्वेन रूपेणास्ति न वेति विचिकित्सायास्सूपपाद्तवादिति वदन्ति ॥ २१ ॥

देवैरतापि विचिकित्सितं पुन न हि सुविज्ञेयमणुरेष धर्मः। अन्यं वरं निचकेतो वृणीष्व मामोपरोत्सीरितमा सुजैनम्।।२२।। एवं मुक्तस्वरूपं पृष्टो मृत्युरुपदिश्यमानार्थस्यातिगहनतया पारं प्राप्तुमप्रभवते मध्ये पतयालवे नोपदे प्रव्यमिति मत्वाऽऽह- — देधें रत्रापीति। बहुदर्शिभिरिप देवैरिसन् मुक्तात्मस्वरूपे विचिकित्सित्म् — संशयितम्। नहीति। आत्मतत्त्वं न सुज्ञानमिति सूक्ष्मो (एष) धर्मः। सामान्यतो धर्म एव दुर्ज्ञानः। तत्राप्ययं दुर्ज्ञान इति भावः। अन्यं वर्रमिति। स्पष्टोर्थः। मामोपरोत्सीरिति। मामा इति निषेधे वीप्सायां द्विवचनम्। उपरोधं माकार्षीः। एनं मां अतिसृज । मुञ्च।। २२।।

देवेरत्रापि विचिकित्सतं किल त्वंच मृत्यो यन सुविज्ञेयमात्थ। वन्ता चास्य त्वाहगन्यो न लभ्यः नान्यो वरस्तुल्य एतस्य किन्त् ॥ २३॥

एवमुक्तो निचकेता आह—देवैरत्रापि विचिकित्सितं किलेति। स्पष्टोर्थः। त्वश्चेति। त्वं च मृत्यो न सुज्ञेयिमिति यदात्मस्वरूपमुक्तवान् । वस्तिति। त्वाहक्—त्वाहश इत्यर्थः। अन्यत् स्पष्टम् ॥ २३॥

शतायुषः पुत्रपौतान् वृणीष्य बंहुन् पश्न्त् हस्तिहिरण्यमश्वान् । भूमेर्महदायतनं वृणीष्य स्वयं च जीव शरदो याव-दिच्छसि ॥ २४॥

एवं निचकेतसोक्तो मृत्युः विषयस्य दुरिधगमतया मध्ये न त्यक्ष्यतींति निश्चित्य सत्यीं अहणसामर्थ्ये िषयान्तर्हिन्ते एतादृशं मुक्तात्मतत्त्वं नोपदेशाईमिति मत्वा मुमुक्षास्थैर्यानुवृत्यर्थं प्रलोभयन्नुवाच इति । साष्ट्रोर्थः । सूमेरिति । पृथिव्याः विस्तीर्णं आयतनं मण्डलं राज्यं वृणीप्व । अथवा मूमेर्संबिध्य महदायतनं विचित्रशालाप्रासादादियुक्तं गृहं वृणीप्व । स्वयञ्चेति । यावद्वर्षाणि जीवितुमिच्छिस तावज्जीवेत्यर्थः ॥ २४ ॥

एततुल्यं यदि भन्यसे वरं दृणीष्व वित्तं चिरजीविकां च। महाभूमो तचिवेतस्त्वमेधि कामानां त्वा कामभाजं करोमि॥२५॥

एत तुल्यभिति । उक्तेन वरेण सदृशमन्यमिष वरं मन्यसे चेत् तदिष (म?) वृणीष्व । प्रभूतं हिरण्यरत्नादिकं चिरं जीवनं चेत्यर्थः । एधि भव । राजेति शेषः ।

अस्तेलोंण्मध्यमपुरुषेकवचनम्। कामानां – काम्यमानानां अप्तरःप्रमृतिविषयाणां। कामभाजं—कामः कामना तां विषयतया भजतीति कामभाक् तं काम्यमानाप्तरः-प्रमृतीनामिष कामनाविषयं करोमीत्यर्थः॥ २५॥

ये ये कामा दुर्लमा सर्त्यलोके सर्वान् कामा राज्यतः प्रार्थयस्व। इमा रामास्सरथास्सतूर्या नहीद्या लम्भनीया मनुष्येः। आभि-र्मत्यत्ताभिः परिचारयस्य नचिकेतो मरणं मानुप्राक्षीः॥ २६॥

ये ये कामा इति । छन्दतः यथेष्टमित्यर्थः । इमा रामा इति । रथवादित्र-सिहता मया दीयमानाः स्त्रियो मनुष्याणां दुर्छमा इत्यर्थः । आभिरिति । आभिः मया दत्ताभिः परिचारिकाभिः पादसंवाहनादिशुश्रूषां कारयेत्यर्थः । मरणमनु । मरणात् मुक्तःपश्चात्, मुक्तात्मस्वरूपमिति यावत् । मरणशब्दस्य देहवियोगसामान्य-वाचिनोपि प्रकरणवरोन विशेषवाचित्वं न दोषायेति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ २६॥

श्वोभावा मर्त्यस्य यदन्तकैतत् सर्वेन्द्रियाणां जरयन्ति तेजः । अपि सर्व जीवितमन्पमेव तवैव वाहास्तव नृत्यगीते ॥ २७॥

एवं प्रलोभ्यमानोपि निकिताः अक्षुमितहृदय आह्-श्रोभावा इति। हे अन्तक! त्वदुपन्यस्ता ये मर्त्यस्य कामाः ते श्रोभावाः । श्रः अभावः येषां ते तथोक्ताः । दिनद्वयस्थायिनो न भवन्तीत्यर्थः । सर्वेन्द्रियाणां यदेतत्तेजः तत् क्षपर्यान्त । अप्सरः-प्रभृतिभोगा हि सर्वेन्द्रियदौर्वल्यावहा इति भावः । अपि सर्विमिति । ब्रह्मणोपि जीवितं स्वल्पं, किमुतास्मदादिजीवितम् । अतिश्चरजीविकापि न वरणोहिति भावः । वाहाः रथादयः । तिष्ठन्त्वित रोषः ॥ २७ ॥

न वित्तेन तर्पणीयो मनुष्यो लप्स्यामहे वित्तमद्राक्ष्मचेत्वा। जीविष्यामो यावदीशिष्यसि त्वं वरस्तु मे वरणीयस्स एव॥ २८॥

न वित्तेनेति । नहि वित्तेन छठ्धेन कस्यचितृप्तिः दृष्टचरी । 'न जातु कामःका-

मानामुपभोगेन शाम्यति । इति न्यायादिति भावः । किञ्च, लप्स्यामहे वित्तमिति।। त्वां वयं दृष्टवन्तश्चेत् वित्तं प्राप्स्यामहे (मः) । त्वद्दरीनमस्ति चेत् वित्तलाभे को भार इति भावः । तर्हि चिरजीविका प्रार्थनीयेत्यलाह — जीविष्यामो यावदिति । यावत्कालं याम्ये पदे त्वमीश्वरतया वर्तसे । व्यत्ययेन परस्मैपदम् । तावत्पर्यन्तमसा-कमपि जीवनं सिद्धमेव । निह त्वदाज्ञातिलङ्क्षनेन असाज्जीवितान्तकरःकश्चिदस्ति । वरलाभालाभयोरिप तावदेव जीवनमिति भावः । अतः 'येयं प्रेत' इति प्राक्रप्रस्तुतो वर एव वरणीय इत्यर्थः ॥ २८॥

अजीर्यताममृतानामुपेत्य जीर्यन्मर्त्यः क तदास्थः प्रजानन्। अभिष्यायन् वर्णरतिप्रमोदाननितदीर्घे जीविते को रमेत।।२९।।

अजीर्यतामिति । जरामरणशून्यानां मुक्तानां स्वरूपं ज्ञात्वा प्रजानन् विवेकी जरामरणोपष्ठुतोऽयं जनः तदास्थः जरामरणाद्युपष्ठुताप्सरःप्रभृतिविषयविषयका-स्थावान्, क्क-कथं भवेदित्यर्थः । अभिध्यायन्निति । वर्णाः आदित्यवर्णत्वादि-रूपविशेषाः, रितप्रमोदाः ब्रह्मभोगादिजनितानन्दविशेषाः, तान् सर्वान् । अभि-ध्यायन् निपुणतया निरूपयन् । अत्यल्पे ऐहिके जीविते कः प्रीतिमान् स्था-दित्यर्थः ॥ २९ ॥

यस्मिनिदं विचिकित्सिन्त मृत्यो यत्सांपराये महित ब्रुहि नस्तत् । योयं वरो गूढमनुप्रविष्टो नान्यं तस्मान्नचिकेता वृणीते ॥ ३०॥

॥ इति प्रथमवही ॥

यस्मिनित। महित पारलैकिके यस्मिन् मुक्तात्मस्वरूपे संशेरते, तदेवमे ब्रूहि। योयमिति। गृढं आत्मतत्त्वमनुप्रविष्टः योयं वरः तस्मादन्यं निचकेता न वृणीतेसा इति श्रुतेवचनम् ॥ ३०॥

॥ इति प्रथमवङ्गीन्याख्या ॥

॥ अथ द्वितीयवही ॥

हरिः ओं ॥ अन्यच्छ्रेयोऽन्यदुतैव प्रेयः ते उभे नानार्थे पुरुष सिनी-तः । तयोश्श्रेय आददानस्य साधु भवति हीयतेऽर्थाद्य उप्रयो वृणीते ॥ १ ॥

एवं शिष्यं परीक्ष्य तस्य मुमुक्षास्थैर्यं निश्चित्य तस्योपदेशयोग्यतां मन्वानः मुमुक्षां स्तौति—अन्यछ्रेय इति । अतिप्रशस्तं मोक्षवर्त्माप्यन्यत् । प्रियत्वास्पदं भोगवर्त्मा-प्यन्यत् । ते—श्रेयः प्रेयसी परस्परविरुक्षणप्रयोजने सती पुरुषं सिनीतः—बध्नीतः । पुरुषं स्ववशतामापाद्यत इत्यर्थः । तयोरिति । तयोर्मध्ये श्रेय आद्दानस्य—मोक्षाय प्रयतमानस्य साधु-भदं-भवित । यस्तु प्रेयो वृणीते स पुरुषार्थाद्श्रष्टो भवित । उ इत्यवधारणे ॥ १ ॥

श्रेयश्च प्रेयश्च मनुष्यमेतः तौ संपरीत्य विविनक्ति धीरः। श्रेयो हि धीरोऽभिष्रेयसो वृणीते प्रेयो मन्दो योगक्षेमाद्वृणीते॥ २॥

श्रेयश्च प्रेयश्चेति । श्रेयश्च प्रयश्च मनुष्यमितः मनुष्यं प्राप्नुतः । तौ श्रेयः-प्रेयः पदार्थौ सम्यगालोच्य नीरक्षीरे हंस इव पृथक्करोति । घिया रमत इति धीरः प्रज्ञाशाली, प्रेयोपेक्षया अभि—अभ्यर्हितं श्रेय एव वृणीते । मन्दमितः योग-क्षेमाद्धेतोः प्रेयो वृणीते । शरीरस्योपचयो योगः, क्षेमः परिपालनम् ॥ २ ॥

> स त्वं प्रियान्प्रियह्मपाश्य कामान् अभिध्यायन्निकेतोऽत्य-स्नाक्षीः । नैताश्सुङ्कां वित्तमयीमवाप्तो यस्यां मज्जन्ति बहवो मनुष्याः ॥ ३॥

स त्वं प्रियानिति। तादृशस्वं स्वतो रूपतश्च प्रियान् काग्यमानान् स्त्यादीनि-त्यर्थः। दुःखोद्कत्वदुःखिमश्रत्वादिदोषयुक्ततया निरूपयन् त्यक्तवानसीत्यर्थः। नैतां सुङ्कामिति। विक्तमयीं धनप्रायां सुङ्कां कुत्सितगितं मूदजनसेवितां एतां नावाप्रवानितः। पर्यापिति। स्पष्टोऽर्धः॥ ३॥

दूरमेते विपरीते विषूची अविद्या या च विद्यति ज्ञाता। विद्या-भीष्सिनं निचकेतसं मन्ये न त्वा कामा बहवो लोखपन्त।। ४॥

दूरमेत इति । या अविद्येति ज्ञाता कामकर्मात्मिका याच विद्येति ज्ञाता वैराग्य-तत्त्वज्ञानमयी एते दूरं अत्यन्तं विष्यूची विष्ट्च्यो भिन्नगती परस्परविरुद्धे च । विद्यामीप्सिनं विद्यार्थिनं । विद्यामीप्सिनमिति पाठे आहिताग्न्यादित्वान्निष्ठान्तस्य पर-निपातः । छान्दसत्वाद्धा । न त्वा कामा इति । कामा बहवोऽपि त्वां । न लो-छपन्त —श्रेयोमार्गाद्विच्छेदं न कृतवन्तः । विषयवशगो न भवसीत्यर्थः । 'छपस-दे गति यङन्ताल्लङ् । छान्दसो यलोपः । यङ्ख्रगन्ताद्वा छान्दसमात्मनेपदं अड-भावश्च ॥ ४ ॥

अविद्यायामन्तरे वर्तमानाः स्वयं धीराः पण्डितं मन्य-मानाः। दन्द्रम्यमाणाः परियन्ति मूढाः अन्धेनैव नीयमाना यथाऽन्धाः।। ५॥

'अविद्या याच विद्येति ज्ञाते 'त्युपात्तमार्गद्वये अविद्यामार्गं निन्दति—अविद्याया-मिति । कामकर्मादिरुक्षणायामविद्यायां मध्ये घनीभृत इव तमसि वर्तमानाः स्वयमेन प्रज्ञाशालिनः शास्त्रकुशलाश्चेति मन्यमानाः दन्द्रम्यमाणाः जरारोगादि-दुःखपीडिताः अविवेकिनः परिभ्रमन्ति । अन्यत् स्पष्टार्थम् । केचितु दन्द्रव्यमाणा इति पाठमाश्रित्य विषयकामामिना द्रुतचित्ता इत्यर्थं वर्णयन्ति ॥ ५ ॥

> न सांपरायः प्रतिभाति बालं प्रमाद्यन्तं वित्तमोहेन मूढं। अयं लोको नास्ति पर इति मानी पुनःपुनर्वशमापद्यते मे ॥ ६॥

न सांपरायहति । परलोकसाधनव्यापारः अविवेकिनं प्रति न प्रकाशते । प्रमा-द्यन्तं अनवहितमनस्कं वित्तमोहेन मूढं—विषयाशावशीकृतमनोरथम् । अयं लोको नास्तीति । अयमेव लोकोऽस्ति परलोको नास्तीति मन्यमानः मिक्रयमाणयातना-विषयो मवतीत्यर्थः। व्यासार्थः 'संयमने त्वनुभूये 'ति सूत्रे 'अयं लोको नास्ति पर उत मानी 'ति पाठानुसारेण अयं च लोकःप्रश्च लोको नास्तीत्यर्थो वर्णितः । तल पक्षे तस्येति शेषःपूरणीयः । काब्दश्चाध्याहार्यः । मानीस्यस्य हुर्मानीत्यर्थः ॥ शिष्ट- परित्रहाभावादयं लोको नास्तीत्यस्योपपत्तिईष्टव्या । (स) दुर्मानी पुनःपुनर्वशमापद्यत इति उत्तरत्र संबध्यते ।। ६ ।।

> अवणायापि बहु भियों न लभ्यः भृष्यन्तोऽपि बहवो यं न विद्यः। आश्रयों वक्ता कुशलोऽस्य लब्धा आश्रयों ज्ञाता कुशलानुशिष्टः ॥७॥

श्रवणायापीति । यःप्रसिद्धःपरमात्मा सः अनेकैःपुरुपैः श्रोतुमपि न रुभ्यत इत्यर्थः।श्रवणरूभोपि महासुकृतफरुमिति भावः। शृण्यन्तोपीति । नहिश्रोतॄणां सर्वेषां परमात्मप्रतिपत्तिस्सुरुभेति भावः । आश्रयो वक्तेति । अस्य कुशरुं वक्ता कुशरुः प्राप्ता च दुर्रुभ इत्यर्थः । आश्रयो ज्ञातेति । कुशरुं नाचार्यणानुशिष्टो ज्ञाताप्याश्चर्यः । 'मनुष्याणां सहस्रेषु कश्चिचति सिद्धये । यततामपि सिद्धानां कश्चिन्मां वेति तत्त्वनः ॥ ' इत्युक्तेरिति भावः ॥ ७ ॥

न नरेणाऽवरेण प्रोक्त एवः सुविद्येषो बहुधा चिन्त्यमानः। अनन्यप्रोक्ते गतिरत्न नास्ति अणीयान् हातक्येमणुप्रमाणात्।। ८॥

न नरेणावरेणेति। अवरेण अश्रेष्ठेन प्राकृतेन पाण्डित्यमात्रप्रयोजनवेदान्तश्रवणेन, नरेण—देहात्माभिमानिना एष आत्मा सुविज्ञेयो न भवति। कुतो हेतोः, बहुधा चिन्त्यमानः। वादिभिरिति रोषः। अनन्यप्रोक्ते गतिरत्र नास्ति—अनन्येन उच्य-मानात्मनोऽनन्येन तदेकान्तिना ब्रह्मात्मसाक्षात्कारिणा प्रोक्ते आत्मिन यादृशी अवगतिः सा आत्मावगतिरवरेण प्रोक्ते नास्तीत्यर्थः। यद्वा अत्र संसारे गतिः चङ्कमणं नास्तीत्यर्थः। यद्वा अनन्यप्रोक्ते स्वयमवगते गतिः आत्मावगतिः नास्तीत्यर्थः। अन्यप्रोक्त इति पाठे अवरनरप्रोक्ते सित आत्नावगतिर्नास्तीत्यर्थः। ननु येन केनचिदुपदिष्टेप्यूहापोह्-शालिनस्त्यादेवत्यत आह—अणीयान् ह्यतवर्यमणुप्रमाणात्। यतो अणोरप्यणी-यानात्मा अतम्तस्वरूपं तर्कागोचरम्।। ८।।

नैषा तर्केण मतिरापनेया श्रोक्ताऽन्येनैव सुज्ञानाय श्रेष्ट। यां त्व-मापस्सत्यधृतिर्वताऽसि त्वादृङ्नो भूयान्त्रचिकेतः श्रष्टा।। ९।।

तदेवाह—नेषा तर्केण मतिरिति। एषा आत्मविषयिणी मतिः तर्केण प्रापणीया नेत्यर्थः । अतः तर्ककुशलेनापि स्वयं ज्ञातुं न शक्येत्यर्थः । श्रीक्ताऽन्येनेति । हे प्रेष्ठ ! प्रियतम, स्वस्मादन्येनैव गुरुणा उपदिष्टैव मितः मोक्षसाधनज्ञानाय भवति । का पुनस्सा मितिरित्यत्राह—यां त्वभाप इति । यां मितं त्वमापः—प्राप्तवानिस सिषा- धियिषिततया निश्चितवानित्यर्थः । सत्यष्ट्रितरसि । सत्या अप्रकम्प्या धृतिर्यस्य स तथोक्तः । बतेत्यनुकम्पायाम् । त्वादृगिति । त्वादृशिशप्यः अस्माकं भूया- दित्यर्थः ॥ ९ ॥

जानाम्यह प्रोवधिरित्यनित्यं नह्य घ्रवैः प्राप्यते हि ध्रवं तत्। ततो मया नाचिकेति श्रिते । अनित्ये द्रव्यैः प्राप्तवानिस्म नित्यस् ॥ १०॥

पुनरिष तुष्ट आह—जानाम्यहिमिति। शेविधः निधिः,कुबेराचैश्वर्यं। एवज्ञातीयकं कर्मफळळक्षणमनित्यमिति जानामि । नह्यध्रुवैरिति । ध्रुवं तत् आत्मतत्त्वं अध्रुवैः अनित्यफळसाधनम्तैः अनित्यद्रव्यसाध्येवी कर्मभिरित्यर्थः । ततो मयेति । एवं ज्ञातवता मया ब्रह्मप्राप्तिसाधनज्ञानोद्देशेन अनित्यरिष्टकादिद्रव्येनीचिकतोभिश्चितः । तसाद्धेतोनित्यफळसाधनं ज्ञानं प्राप्तवानस्मीत्यर्थः । अतः ब्रह्मप्राप्तेज्ञीनेकसाध्यत्वस्य न विरोधः ॥ १०॥

कामस्याप्ति जगतः प्रतिष्ठां क्रतोरानन्त्यमभयस्य पारम् । स्तोमं महदुरुगायं प्रतिष्ठां दृष्ट्वा धृत्या धीरो निचकेतोऽत्य-स्नाक्षीः ॥ ११ ॥

'त्वादङ्नो भ्यान्नचिकेतः प्रष्टे' ति पूर्वमन्त्रोक्तं निकितसः श्रवणाधिकारं विष्टु-णोति—कामस्याप्तिमिति। क्रतोः—कर्मणः प्रतिष्ठां -फलभूतां, जगतः कामस्याप्ति—चतुर्मुखस्थानपर्यन्तसर्वलोकसंबन्धिस्च्यादिविषयास्मककामप्राप्ति च दृष्ट्वा । मोक्ष-स्वरूपमाह — आनन्त्यमभयस्य पारमित्यादिना । अविनाशित्वमत्यन्तिनभयत्व-मपहतपाप्मत्वसत्यसङ्करपत्वादिमहागुणगणरूपस्तोमं उरुकीर्ति च स्थैर्यं च मोक्षगतं दृष्ट्वा लौकिकान् कामान् प्रज्ञाशालित्वात् त्यक्तवानसीत्यर्थः । यद्वा मोक्षरूपपरमात्म-स्वरूप एव सर्वकामावाप्तिं तत्वेव सकलजगदाधारुत्वं कतोरनन्तपरलरूपताद्मवेत्येवं सर्वे परमास्मविषयत्या योजनीयम् ॥ ११ ॥

तं दुर्दर्श ग्रहमनुप्रविष्टं गुहाहितं गह्नरें पुराणम् । अध्यातम-योगाधिगमेन देवं मत्वा धीरो हर्षशोकौ जहाति ॥ १२॥

तृतीयं प्रश्नं प्रतिवक्ति—तं दुर्द्शिमत्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन । दुर्द्शै—'श्रवणायापि बहुभियों न लभ्यः'इत्युक्तरीत्या द्रष्टुमशक्यम् । गूढं—तिरोधायककर्मरूपाविद्यातिरोिहतं सर्वभूतानुप्रविष्टं गुहाहितं हृदयगुहावर्तिनं गह्वरेष्ठं अन्तर्यामिणं पुराणं—अनादिं अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेन — विषयेभ्यः प्रतिसंहृत्य चेतस आत्मिन समविधानमध्यात्मयोगः । 'यच्छेद्वाङ्मनसी प्राज्ञः, यदा पञ्चावतिष्ठन्ते ज्ञानानि मनसा सहे' त्यादिना वक्ष्यमाणः । तेन योयमधिगमः जीवात्मज्ञानं तेन हेतुना देवं परमात्मानं मत्वेत्यर्थः । जीवात्मज्ञानस्य परमात्मज्ञानहेतुत्वादिति भावः । हर्षशोकौ विषयलाभा-लाभप्रयुक्तहर्षशोकौ जहातीत्यर्थः ॥ १२ ॥

एतच्छ्रत्वा संपरिगृह्य मर्त्यः प्रवृह्य धर्म्यमणुमेतमाप्य। स मो-दते मोदनीय हि लब्धा विवृत स्मद्य निकेतसं मन्ये॥ १३॥

प्तच्छुत्वा संपरिगृद्धेति । एतत् आत्मतत्त्वं श्रुत्वा संपरिगृद्ध — मननादिकं कृत्वेत्यर्थः । धम्यं कर्मसाध्यं शरीरादि प्रवृद्धा पृथक्कृत्य परित्यज्येत्यर्थः । एतं स्वात्मभूतं अणुं सूक्ष्मतया चक्षुराद्यगोचरं 'अणीयान् द्यत्वर्यमिति ' निर्दिष्टं परमात्मानं देशिवशेषे प्राप्य सः विद्वान् मोदनीयं प्रीतिविषयमपहतपाप्मत्वादि गुणाष्टकविशिष्टं स्वस्वरूपं छन्ध्वा मोदते आनन्दी भवतीत्यर्थः । 'एष सम्प्रसादोऽ साच्छरीरात्समुत्थाय परंज्योतिरुपसंपद्य स्वेन रूपेणाभिनिष्पद्यते, स तत्र पर्येति जक्षत् कीडन् रममाणः 'इति श्रुत्यर्थोऽत्रानुसन्धेयः । एवं प्रश्लोत्तरमुत्त्वा निष्केतसं मोक्षा-हित्वेन स्तौति - विवृतं सद्येति । निष्केतसं प्रति ब्रह्मरूपं धाम विवृतद्वारं प्रवेशार्हं मन्ये इत्यर्थः । 'तस्येष आत्मा विश्वति ब्रह्मधामे 'ति श्रुतेः । ननु 'ब्रह्मजज्ञं देवमी- द्यं विदित्वे ति श्रुत्येकार्थ्याय 'अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेन मत्वे त्यतापि परमात्मात्मकजीवः प्रतिपाद्यताम् । ततश्च तं दुर्दर्शमिति पूर्वस्वण्डोऽपि जीवपर एवास्तु । ततश्च 'श्रवणा-यापि बहुभियों न रुभ्यः ' इति पूर्वसन्दर्भोपि परिशुद्धजीवस्वरूपपर एवास्तु , ततश्च 'आश्चर्यवत्यत्यति कश्चिदेनमाश्चर्यवद्वदित तथैव चान्यः । आश्चर्यवचैनमन्यः श्रुणोति

श्रुत्वाप्येनं वेद नचैव कश्चिदि'ति परिशुद्धात्मविषयगीतावचनैकार्थ्यमपि उपपद्यत इति चेन्न । ब्रह्मजज्ञमिति मन्त्रे ब्रह्मजत्वरूपप्रक्रमश्रुतजीविलिङ्गबलेन चरमश्रुतदेवशब्दस्य देवात्मकत्वरूपार्थाश्रयणिप 'तं दुर्दर्श'मिति मन्त्रे तादृशजीविलिङ्गाभावेन देवमित्यस्य देवात्मकमित्यर्थाश्रयणायोगात् । एतदेवाभिष्रत्य भगवता भाष्यकृता 'गुद्धां प्रविष्टा' वितिस्त्रे 'परमात्मनस्तावत् 'तं दुर्दशं गृद्धमनुप्रविष्ट ' मिति गुद्धाप्रवेशो दृश्यतः इत्युक्तम् । तथैवायं मन्तः परमात्मपरत्या व्यासार्थरिपि विवृतः । गह्वरेष्ठमिति पदेन तु परमात्मनः गह्वरशब्दितदुर्विज्ञेयपरिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपशरीरकत्वमप्युक्तम् । इयांस्तु विशेषः अह्मजज्ञमितिमन्त्रे परमात्मात्मकपरिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपं प्रतिपाद्यते । तं दुर्दर्शमिति मन्त्रे तु जीवशरीरकपरमात्मस्वरूपं प्रतिपाद्यत इति न तयोरैकार्थ्यहानिः ॥ १३ ॥

अन्यत्र धर्माद्रन्यत्राधर्मात् अन्यत्रासात्कृताकृतात्। अन्यत्र भृताच भव्याच यत्तत्पश्यसि तद्वद् ॥ १४ ॥

'नह्यभुवैः प्राप्यते हि भुवं तत् ' 'एतच्छूत्वा संपरिगृह्य मर्त्यः प्रवृह्य धर्म्यमणु-मेतमाप्य । स मोदते मोदनीय हि लब्ध्या ' 'अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेन देवं मत्वा धीरो हर्षशोको नहाति ' इति प्रदेशेषु धर्मफलविन्ध्यणतया ज्ञानसाध्यतया प्राप्यत्या निर्दिष्टस्य प्राप्यस्य स्वरूपं च , उक्तप्रदेशेष्वेय धर्मिविल्क्षणतया मरवेति प्रति पन्नस्योपायस्य स्वरूपं च 'धीरो हर्षशोको नहाती त्यत धीर इति प्रतिपन्नस्य प्राप्तुश्च स्वरूपं शोधियतुं पृच्छिति—अन्यत्य धर्मादित्यादिना—ननु भाष्ये 'देवंमत्वे त्युपास्यत्या निर्दिष्टस्य प्राप्यमृतस्य देवस्य 'अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेने'ति वेदित्वय्यतया निर्दिष्टस्य प्राप्तुः प्रत्यगात्मनश्च 'मत्वा धीरो हर्षशोको नहाती'ति निर्दिष्टस्य ब्रह्मोपासनस्य च स्वरूपशोधनाय पुनः पप्रच्छ अन्यत्र धर्मोदिती त्युक्तेः कथं तिष्ट्रसद्धत्या'धीर ' इति निर्दिष्टस्य प्राप्तुरित्युच्यत इति चेत्—मैवं बोचः — 'अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेने 'ति वेदितव्यतया निर्दिष्टमात्मशब्दवाच्यं प्रजापतिविद्याप्रतिपन्नमुपास्यं प्राप्यभृतं परिशुद्धस्वरूपमेव । अतस्तस्यापि प्राप्यनिर्देशकत्वमेव । वस्तुगत्या तस्य प्राप्तुर-भिन्नत्वात् प्राप्तुः प्रत्यगात्मनश्चेति भाष्यं न विरोतस्यते । अतः 'प्रथमं तावत् प्रत्यगात्मनः स्वरूपमाह—न न न्यते क्रियते वा विपश्चिदिति । इत्युत्तरभाष्यमपि न विरोतस्यते । निर्हे 'न नायते मियते वा विपश्चिदिति मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यस्य

विपश्चिच्छिब्दितपरिशुद्धस्वरूपस्य प्राप्तृरूपतो पितः । 'आत्मेन्द्रियमनोयुक्तं भोक्ते-त्याहुर्मनीषिणः, विज्ञानसारथिर्यस्तु मनःप्रग्रहवान्नरः । सोऽध्वनः पारमामोति तद्विष्णोः परमं पद 'मिति मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यस्यैव प्राप्तृरूपत्वात् । तथैव 'विशेषणाचे 'ति सूत्रभाष्ये प्रतिपादितःवात् । अत एव प्राप्यप्राप्त्रैकाधिकरण्यनिर्देशपरे गुहामन्त्रे 'छायातपा'वित्यत्र अज्ञत्ववाचिना छायाशब्देन निर्देशो दृष्टः । न तु विपश्चिच्छ-ब्देन। अतः यथोक्त एवार्थः। अयं मन्त्रः व्यासार्यैः 'त्रयाणा'मिति सूत्रे विवृतः। 'धर्मः उपायः धर्माद्न्यत्र—प्रसिद्धोपायविरुक्षण इत्यर्थः । अधर्मः—धर्मेतरः उपेयः । अधर्मादन्यत प्रसिद्धसाध्यविरुक्षणं फरुमित्यर्थः । असादिति वुद्धिस्थः तत्साधको विवक्षितः । स एवोपेता । सहि प्रसिद्धोपेतृ (साधक) विरुक्षणः, साधकावस्थायामितरफलविरक्तत्वात्, फलदशायामाविर्मृतगुणाष्टकविशिष्टस्वरूप्रताच। कृताकृतादिति धर्मादीनां विशेषणम् । कृताकृताद्धर्मादेविलक्षणं भूताच भव्याच धर्मादेर्विलक्षणं यदित्यर्थः। इत्येकां व्याख्यां कृत्वा तसिनपक्षे तु कृताकृतात् भूताद्भव्याच धर्माद्रन्यल तादृशाद्धर्माद्रन्यल तादृशाद्साचान्यत्र इत्यन्यलशब्दत्रयेणै-वोपपत्तो अन्यत भूताद्भव्याचित्यन्यत्रशब्दवैयधर्यं, उपायस्य कालत्रयपरिच्छिन्नतया तल कालत्रयपरिच्छिन्नवैलक्षण्यानन्वयं च पर्यालोच्य यद्वेत्यादिना अपरा व्याख्या कृता । तदुच्यते — यद्वा धर्माद्धर्माचान्यत यदिति उपासनप्रश्नः । पापरूपसाधनविरुक्षणत्वादुपासनस्य । कृताकृतात् भूताच भन्याचान्यत यदिति कालापरिच्छिन्नमुपेयं पृष्टम् । उपेतुरपि चेतनस्य नित्यत्वात् प्राप्यान्तर्भावाच । तत एव तस्यापि तन्त्रेण प्रश्नः । तदन्तर्गतं च प्राप्तुः स्वरूपमिति हि वक्ष्यते । तल यत्तच्छब्दौ लितयपराविति भावः ' इति ॥

नन्वसिन्निप पक्षे प्रष्टव्यद्वयपरत्वाश्रयणमिप क्किष्टमेव। अन्यत्न धर्माद्नयता-धर्मादिति प्रक्रमस्थान्यत्रशब्दद्वयसामानाधिकरण्यवत् अन्यत्रासात्कृताकृताद्वन्यत्न भूतादित्युपरितनान्यत्रशब्दद्वयस्यापि सामानाधिकरण्यस्येव प्रतीतेः । यदि तत्न धर्माधर्मिविरुक्षणं यच्च, कारुत्वयविरुक्षणं यच्चेति चशब्दद्वयमश्रोष्यत तदा अन्यत्रशब्दयुगद्वयस्य स्वरस्तः प्रतीतं सामानाधिकरण्यं पर्यत्यक्ष्यत । अतः प्रक्रमरीत्यनुसारिप्रतीतसमानाधिकरण्यभङ्गे कारणाभावात् अन्यत्न धर्मा- दन्यत्राधर्मादित्ययमप्यंशः प्राप्यब्रह्मपर एवाऽस्तु । ननु 'नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन लभ्यो न मेधये ' त्युपायविशेषप्रतिवचनदर्शनेनोपायविशेषप्रश्नस्याप्यत्नेवान्तर्भाव्यत्या चशब्दाभावेप्यन्यत्रशब्दयुगद्वयस्य सामानाधिकरण्यं भञ्जनीयमितिन्चेन्न । प्रतिवचनेपि 'नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन लभ्य ' इति प्रीतिरूपापन्नज्ञानैकलभ्यत्वलक्षणप्राप्यधर्मविशेषो-पदेशस्येव दर्शनेनोपायप्रध।नप्रतिवचनादर्शनात् । 'नाशान्तमनसो वापि प्रज्ञानेनैन-मामुयात् ' 'यस्त्वविज्ञानवान् भवति अमनस्कः सदाऽशुचिः । न स तत्पद्मामोतीः ति प्रतिवचनदर्शनादन्यत्र धर्मादन्यत्रेनि प्रसिद्धोपायविरोधिप्रश्न इत्यपि किं न स्यात् । प्राप्यस्य प्रीतिरूपापन्नज्ञानैकोपायत्वकथनेन उपाये प्रीतिरूपापन्नत्वरूपविशेषः फलिष्य-तिति चेत् , फलुतु नाम । नैतावतोपायस्य प्रश्नप्रतिवचनप्रधानविष्यत्वं वक्तव्य-मित्यस्ति । न हि 'किं देवदत्तभवन 'मिति प्रश्नस्य वा 'बहुचम्पकाल्कृत्तिनिष्कुटं द्वारोपान्तिलिखितशङ्खचकपद्मकं देवदत्तभवन 'मिति तत्प्रश्नप्रतिवचनस्य वा निष्कुट-द्वारोपान्तप्रधानकत्वं किश्चदम्युपैति । अतो अन्यत्वधर्माद्वन्यत्राधर्मादित्यपि अन्यत्र-शब्दचत्त्वष्यप्रमानाधिकरण्यलिप्सया धर्माधर्मसाध्यविलक्षणब्रह्मविषयएवायमिति चेत् –

अलोच्यते— 'असौ देवदत्ताद्वायां न भवति, अपि तु यज्ञदत्ता दिति वाक्यं श्रुत्वा 'देवदत्ताद्वायं यं पश्यसि तं मे ब्रूही'ति प्रवृत्तस्य प्रति (१)वचनस्य देव-दत्तान्ययज्ञदत्तपरत्ववत् रुक्षणया देवदत्तपुत्रान्यप्रश्नपरत्वस्याप्रतितेः । तद्वत् कर्मसाध्यं न, अपि तु ज्ञानसाध्यमित्युवदेशानन्तरप्रवृत्तस्य धर्मादन्यतेति प्रश्नस्य धर्मविरुक्षणज्ञान-रूपोपायपरत्वमेव युक्तम् । नतु धर्मशब्द्ररुक्षणया धर्मसाध्यविरुक्षणज्ञह्म रत्वम् । तथा अधर्मादन्यत्रेत्यत्वापि सामानाधिकरण्येनोपायपरत्वमेव निश्चितम् । कारुत्रयपरि-चिरुत्तविरुक्षणवाचके उपरितनान्यत्रशब्दद्वये कारुत्वयापरिचिरुत्त्रोपायपरामर्शानस्यात् सामानाधिकरण्यभङ्गेन पाण्यपरत्वमेव युक्तम् । नीरो दीर्घो रक्तो हस्वः कः इत्युक्ते नीरुद्धिपदयोः अविरोधात् सामानाधिकरण्यं सिद्ध्यति । रक्तहस्वयोध्य परस्पराविरोधात् सामानाधिकरण्यं सिद्ध्यति । स्वतुर्वा चराव्दामाविषि सामानाधिकरण्यं सिद्ध्यति । स्वति चतुर्णां चराव्दामाविषि सामानाधिकरण्यं रहम् । अपि तु पुरुषद्वयप्रश्चपरत्वमेव । एविमहापि यच्छव्दान्वितचराव्द-द्वयाभाविषि न सामानाधिकरण्यमव (गम्य)गाह्यते । अस्तु वा भवदुक्तरीत्या सामानाधिकरण्यम् । अथापि प्रश्नप्रतिवचनयोद्वितीयव्याख्यायां उपयप्रश्ने उपेत्वन्तर्भाववत्

उपायस्याप्यन्तर्भृतत्वात् ' त्रयाणामेव चैवमुपन्यासः प्रश्नश्चे'ति सूत्रनिर्दिष्टोपायोपेतृ प्रश्नप्रतिवचनस्य सुघिततया क्षतेरभावात् 'तत्ते पदं सङ्ग्रहेण ब्रवीमि'इति पदशब्दित-प्राप्यस्यैव प्रतिवचनप्रतिपाद्यत्वस्य स्पष्टं प्रतीतिरित्यलं प्रसक्तानुप्रसक्त्वा । प्रकृत-मनुसरामः ॥ १४ ॥

सर्वे वेदा यत्पदमामनन्ति तपार्शस सर्वाणि च यद्वदन्ति । यदिच्छन्वो ब्रह्मचर्यं चरन्ति तत्ते पद्श्सङ्गहेण ब्रवीमि । ओमित्येतत् ॥ १५ ॥

एवं पृष्टो मृत्युः 'न जायते भ्रियत ' इत्यादिना विस्तरेण प्रतिपिपाद्यिषुः इदानीं श्रोतुरादरातिशयसिद्धवर्थं प्राप्यवैभवं प्रकाशयन् सङ्ग्रहोक्तिं प्रतिजानीते – सर्वे वेद्। इति। पद्यते गम्यत इति व्युत्पत्त्या पदशब्दः प्राप्यस्वरूपवाची । यत्स्वरूपं सर्वे वेदाः साक्षात्परम्परया वा प्रतिपादयन्तीत्यर्थः। अनेन-अस्या उपनिषदः प्रजापतिविद्यावत् परि-शुद्धात्मस्वरूपविषयतैवास्तु—'न जायते भ्रियते वा विपश्चित्', 'हन्ता चेन्मन्यते हन्तु' मिति मन्त्रद्वयस्य परिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपपरत्वस्य सम्प्रतिपन्नत्वात् । 'अणोरणीयानि 'ति मन्त्रद्वय(१) स्यापि 'अविनाशि तु तद्विद्धि येन सर्वमिदं ततम्' । 'निर्व्यापारमनाख्येयं व्याप्तिमात्रमनृपमम् ' इति स्मृतिवचनाभ्यां सर्वान्तः प्रवेशयोग्यातिसूक्ष्मतया व्यापक-तया च प्रतिपादिते प्रत्यगात्मिन उपपन्नत्वात् , 'सूक्ष्मत्वात्तद्विज्ञेयं दूरस्थं चान्तिके च तदि 'ति गीतानुसारेण ' आसीनो दूरं त्रजति शयानो याति सर्वतः' इति वचन स्यापि तत्रैव युक्तत्वात्, ' ग्रसिप्णु प्रभविष्णु चे त्युपबृंहणानुसारात् ' यस्य ब्रह्म च क्षत्रं चे 'ति मन्त्रस्यापि तत्र सङ्गतार्थत्वात्, ' द्वितीयं विष्णुसंज्ञस्य योगिध्येयं परं पदं' 'प्रसूतिनाशिश्वितिहेतुरीश्वरस्त्वमेव नान्यत् परमं च यत्पद् । मिति स्मृत्यनुसारेण 'सोऽध्वनः पारमामोति तद्विष्णोः परमं पद्'मिति मन्त्रस्थापि गुद्धात्मस्वरूपे सङ्गतार्थ-त्वात्, 'अव्यक्तोऽक्षर इत्युक्तस्तमाहुः परमां गति मिति स्मृत्यनुसारेण 'सा काष्ठा-सा परा गति 'रिति मन्त्रस्थापि परिशुद्धात्मविषयत्वसंभावात् , 'समं सर्वेषु भूतेषु तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वरं 'इति स्मृत्यनुसारेण 'एष सर्वेषु भूते प्वि'ति मन्त्रस्यांपि शुद्धस्वरूप-परत्वोपपत्तेः, 'पराश्चि खानी 'ति मन्त्रे परागर्थनिन्दाद्वारेण प्रत्यगर्थस्येव प्रकरण-प्रतिपाद्यत्वाविष्करणात्, 'तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वर' मिति गीतानुसारेण 'ईशानो भूत-

भव्यस्ये 'ति मन्त्रस्यापि शुद्धात्मस्वरूपे सङ्गतार्थत्वात् , भेद्रमसक्तिमति प्रत्यगात्म-स्वरूप एव 'नेह नाने 'ति निषेधस्यापि सङ्गतार्थत्वात् , 'अमेदच्यापिनो वायोस्तथाऽसौ ' परमात्मनः र इति स्मृतिप्रत्यभिज्ञापकस्य 'वायुर्यथैको भुवनं प्रविष्ट र इति मन्त्रस्यापि शुद्धस्वरूपपरत्वसंभवात् , 'सर्वतः पाणिपाद'मिति गीताभाष्ये ब्रह्मणा परमसाम्यमा-पन्ने शुद्धात्मस्वरूपे सर्वतःपाणिपादादिकार्यकर्तृत्वं संभवतीति उपपादितत्वात् , 'एकं बीजं बहुधा यः कर ती'ति मन्त्रस्यापि परिशुद्धपरत्वेऽनुपपत्त्यभावात्, तत्र 'न तत्र सूर्यो भाती 'ति मन्त्रस्यापि ' न तद्भासयते सूयः' ' ज्योतिषामपि तज्ज्योतिस्तमसः पर-मुच्यते ' इति गीतावचनेन परिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपपरत्वस्य युक्तत्वात् , 'तं स्वाच्छरी-रात्प्रवृहे विति औपसंहारिकमन्त्रस्य शुद्धात्मपरत्व एव स्वारस्यात् कृत्स्वाया अप्यु-पनिषदः प्रजापतिवाक्यवत् प्रत्यगात्मस्वरूपमालपरत्वोपपत्तौ प्रत्यगात्मपरमात्मरूप-प्राप्यद्वयपरत्वक्केशाश्रयणं वृथा—इति शङ्का प्रत्युक्ता । सर्ववेदप्रतिपाद्यस्यैव 'तत्ते पदं सङ्ग्रहेण ब्रवीमी'ति वक्तव्यत्वेन प्रतिज्ञानात् परमात्मस्वरूपप्रतिपादकवेदभागप्रतिपाद्य-त्वस्य शुद्धस्वरूपे असंभावात् , शुद्धस्वरूपस्या(प्य)न्तर्यामिणः परमात्मस्वरूपस्य शुद्ध-स्वरूपप्रतिपादकभागेनापि प्रतिपाद्यत्वसंभवादिति द्रष्टव्यम् । तपांसि सर्वाणि चेति । तपांसि - तपःप्रधाना उपरितनभागा इति व्यासार्थेर्व्याख्यातम् । यदिच्छन्तो ब्रह्म-चर्यं चरन्ति। ब्रह्मचर्यं—गुरुकुलवासस्वीसङ्गराहित्यादिलक्षणं यदिच्छन्तोऽनुतिष्ठन्ति। तत्ते पदिमिति । सङ्ग्रहते अनेनेति सङ्ग्रहः शब्दः । प्राप्यवक्तव्यत्वप्रतिज्ञापरे अस्मिन्मन्त्रे अर्थात् प्रणवप्रशंसाया लाभात् 'प्रणवं प्रशंस्ये 'ति भाष्यस्य च 'सर्वे वेदा ' 'इत्यादिपादत्रयोक्तब्रह्मप्रतिपादकत्या प्रशस्येत्यर्थः ' इति श्रुतप्रकाशिका-वचनस्य च नानुपपत्तिरिति द्रष्टव्यम् । सङ्क्षेपेण तत्प्रतिपादकं किमित्यत आह— ओमित्येतिदिति । 'ओं तत्सिदिति निर्देशो ब्रह्मणिस्निविधस्स्मृतः ' इति प्रणवस्य ब्रह्मवाचकत्वात् प्रणवावयवयोरकारमकारयोः परजीववाचितया उपायोपेलोरप्युपदिष्ट-त्वमस्तीति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ १५ ॥

एतद्वयेवाक्षरं ब्रह्म एतद्वयेवाक्षरं परम् । एतद्वयेवाक्षरं ज्ञात्वा यो यदिच्छति तस्य तत् ॥ १६॥

एवं वाचकं प्रणवं द्वाभ्यां मन्त्राभ्यां स्तौति एतद्वयेवाक्षरं ब्रह्मिति । 'ओमित्य-नेनैवाक्षरेण परं (म) पुरुषमिभध्यायीते 'ति ब्रह्मप्राप्तिसाधनध्यानालंबनत्वादिदमे- वाक्षरं ब्रह्मप्राप्तिसाधनत्वात् ब्रह्म । एतद्वचेवाक्षरं परम् । जप्येषु ध्येयेषु च श्रेष्ठमित्यर्थः । एतद्वचेवाक्षरिमिति । एतदक्षरमुपासमानः (उपास्य) अनेनोपासनेन इदं फलं मे भूयादिति यत् कामयते तस्य तद्भवतीत्यर्थः ॥ १६ ॥

एतदालम्बनं श्रेष्ठं एतदालम्बनं परम् । एतदालम्बनं ज्ञात्वा ब्रह्मलोके महीयते ॥ १७॥

एतदालम्बनं श्रेष्ठम्—एतदोंकाररूपमालम्बनं । श्रेष्ठं—ध्यानादेरिति शेषः । अत एव एतदालम्बनं परम्—एतदालम्बनकं ध्यानादि सर्वोत्कृष्टमित्यर्थः । उत्तरार्धस्य स्पष्टोर्थः ॥ १७॥

न जायते ज्रियते वा विपश्चिक्तायं कुतश्चिक्त बभूव कश्चित् । अजो नित्यक्शाश्वतोऽयं पुराणो न हन्यते हन्यमाने शरीरे ॥१८॥

प्रथमं तावत्प्रत्यगात्मस्वरूपमाह—न जायते म्रियते वेत्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन । इदं च प्रस्तुत्य व्यासार्थेरित्थं ह्युक्तम्—'इदं मन्त्रद्वयं तावदेकविषयम्, 'न हन्यते हन्यमाने शरीरे' इत्येतद्विवरणरूपत्वात् द्वितीयमन्त्रस्य । हन्ता चेदिति मन्त्रश्च जीवविषय एव । लोकस्य परमात्मिन हन्तृहन्तव्यभावप्रतिपत्त्यभावात् । परमात्मा हि प्रत्यक्षागोचरः । कथं तस्मिन्वध्यतादिप्रतिपत्तिः । अहमेनं हन्मि अयं मां हन्तुमागच्छतीति वध्यवातुकभावाभिमानो हि देहिनां जीवविषय एव । ननु 'नास्य जरयतज्जीर्यती' तिवत् परमात्मनोपि हननप्रतिषेध उपपद्यते । सत्यम् , तत्र दहराकाशस्य देहान्तःस्थित्या शंकितविकारिनषेध उपपद्यते । इह तु लोकसिद्धा भ्रान्तिरन् इ निरस्यते । न हि परमात्मिन वध्यवातुकभावभान्तः कस्याप्यस्ति । अतः अनुवादिनपेधावनुपपन्नो । 'न जायत इति मन्त्रश्च तेनैकार्थः । अतः मन्त्रद्वयमपि जीवविषयकमेवे'ति । अक्षरार्थस्तु—न जायते म्रियते वा विपश्चित्त् — विपश्चित्त्वाहोंऽयमिदानीमपि जननमरणश्चत्य इत्यर्थः । नायं कुतिश्चित् — उत्यादकश्चन्यः । न वभूव काश्चित् — पूर्वमपि मनुष्यादिक्षपेण जननश्चन्यः । न जायत इत्यत्र हेतुमाह—अज इति । न म्रियत इत्यत्न हेतुमाह —वित्य इति । न कुप्तिश्चिदित्यत्न हेतुमाह—आज इति । पूर्वं न वम्बेत्यत्न हेतुमाह—पुराण इति । कुप्तिश्चित्वत्न हेतुमाह कुराण्य इति । पूर्वं न वम्बेत्यत्न हेतुमाह—पुराण इति ।

ननु कथमस्य नित्यत्वम् , शरीरान्तर्वर्तिनःशरीरविनाशानुविनाशित्वावश्यंभावादित्यत आह – न हन्यत इति । स्पष्टोर्थः ॥ १८॥

हन्ता चेन्मन्यते हन्तु १ हन्तु १ हन्तु १ हन्तु । उमौ तौ न विजानीतो नाय १ हिन्तु । १९॥

तदेवोपपादयति हन्ता चेदिति । हन्ताचेन्मन्यते हन्तुं अहमेनं विध(हिन) प्यामीति देहात्मदृष्ट्या मन्यते चेदित्यर्थः । हतश्चेन्मन्यते हतम् - छिन्नदेहावयवः देहात्मदृष्ट्या आत्मानं हतोऽहिमिति मन्यते चेदित्यर्थः । उभो तो न विजानीतः । आत्मस्वरूपमिति शेषः । नायं हन्ति । आत्मानिमिति शेषः । न हन्यते आत्मस्वरूपमिति शेषः । न च वेदान्तवेद्यपरिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपे कथं हननादिशसित्ततत् पूर्वकिनिषेधाविति वाच्यम् । तस्यैव क्षेत्रीमृततया तत्प्रयुक्ततत्संभवादिति द्रष्टव्यम् ।

इमौ मन्त्रौ प्रस्तुत्य वियत्पादे चिन्तितम् । तत्र हि 'वायुश्चान्तरिक्षं चैतद्मृत'मिति वाध्वन्तरिक्षयोर्नित्यत्वश्रवणेपि 'आत्मन आकाशस्संभृतः आकाशाद्वायुः ' इति तयोरुत्पत्तिश्रवणात् एकविज्ञानेन सर्वविज्ञानसिद्ध्यर्थं सर्वस्य वस्तुनो ब्रह्मविकारत्वस्थावस्थाश्यणीयत्वाच यथोत्पत्तिरङ्गीकियते, एवं जीवानां नित्यत्वश्रवणेपि 'तोयेन जीवान्विससर्ज भूम्यां' 'प्रजापतिः प्रजा असृजते'ति जीवानामपि सृष्टिश्रवणात् एकविज्ञानेन सर्विवज्ञानसिद्धचर्थं जीवस्यापि सृष्टिरभ्युप-गन्तव्येति पूर्वपक्षे प्राप्ते—'नात्मा श्रुतेर्नित्यत्वाच ताभ्यः' इति सूत्रेण सिद्धान्तितम् । आत्मा नोत्पद्यते 'न जायते म्रियते वा विपश्चित्' 'ज्ञाज्ञौ द्वावजा' वित्युत्पत्ति-निषेधश्रुतेः । ताभ्य एव श्रुतिभ्यः नित्यत्वावगमाच । नचोत्पत्तिश्रुतिसर्वविज्ञान प्रतिज्ञाविरोधक्राङ्कयः । स्वरूपस्य नित्यत्वेऽपि ज्ञानसङ्कोचिकासलक्षणान्यथाभाव-रूपावस्थान्तरापत्तिसत्त्वेन उत्पत्तिश्चतेः सर्वविज्ञानपतिज्ञायाश्चोपपत्तेः । उत्पत्ति-निषेधश्रुतेश्च स्वऋपान्यथाभावलक्षणोत्पत्यभावपरतया अविरोधात् । इयांस्तु विशेषः। चिद्वचिद्रीश्वराणां त्रयाणामपि अवस्थान्तरापात्तेलक्षणोत्पत्तिरूपो विकारोऽस्त्येव। तथाप्यचेतनानां स्वरूपान्यथाभावलक्षणा उत्पत्तिः। जीवानां तु सा नास्ति । अपितु ज्ञानसङ्कोचिकासरुक्षणस्वभावान्यथाभावरूपा उत्पत्तिः । ईश्वरस्य तु तन्नियन्तृत्वाद्य-वस्थासत्त्वेपि उक्तरुक्षणानिष्टविकारद्वयाभावात् 'नित्यो नित्याना 'मिति परमात्मन

इतरविरुक्षणनित्यत्वोक्तिरिति द्रष्टव्यम् । वर्णितश्च सूत्रार्थः ।

ननु 'न जायते म्रियते वा ' इति श्रुतिप्रतिषिद्धां जीवोत्पत्तिं 'वासुदेवात्मङ्कर्षणे। नाम जोवो जायते 'इति प्रतिपाद्यतः पाञ्चरात्रस्य कथं प्रामाण्य 'मिति चेत्-अस्याः शङ्कायास्तर्कपादे निराकृतत्वात् । तथाहि – वासुदेवात्संकर्षणो नाम जीवो जायत' इति जीवोत्पत्तिः प्रतिपाद्यते । सा च जीवे न संभवति । तथा 'सङ्कर्षणा-स्प्रद्मसंज्ञं मनो जायते 'इति कर्तुर्जीवात्करणस्य मनसः उत्पत्तिः श्रूयमाणापि न संभवति । कर्तुर्जीवात्करणोत्पत्तेः 'एतस्माज्जायते प्राणो मनस्सर्वेन्द्रियाणि चे'ति मनसं। ब्रह्मोत्पत्तिपादकश्रुतिविरुद्धत्वादिति 'उत्पत्त्यसंभवात्' 'न च कर्तुः करण 'मिति सूत्रद्वयेन पूर्वपक्षं कृत्वा ' विज्ञानादिभावे वा तदप्रतिषेधः' विप्रतिषेधाचे ति सूत्राभ्यां सिद्धान्तितम् । वाशब्दः पूर्वपक्षव्यावृत्त्यर्थः । विज्ञानं च तदादि च विज्ञानादि । ननु च 'क्यन्तो धु'रिति आदिशब्दस्य नित्यपुलिङ्गत्वात्कथमेतिदिति चेत्—नायं घुः । अपि तु 'अद भक्षणे' इत्यस्मा-दावश्यकार्थे णिनिप्रत्यये ' आदि ' इति रूपं सिद्धचति । तेन च निखिलजगत्सं-हर्नृत्वमुखेन कारणत्वं प्रतिपाद्यत इति द्रष्टव्यम् । आदि विज्ञानं परमात्मेत्यर्थः । 'सङ्कर्षणो नाम जीवो जायते 'इति श्रृतस्य जीवशब्दार्थस्य तदभिमानिपरमात्मभावे सति शास्त्रप्रामाण्याप्रतिषेधस्सिद्भ्यति । परमात्मनश्च जननं नाम स्वेच्छाधीनशरीर-परिग्रहः। तसिन्नेव पाञ्चरात्रे 'स ह्यनादिरनन्तश्चे'ति जीवोत्पत्तेर्विशेषेण प्रति-षिद्धतया तद्विरुद्धाभिधानासंभवात् 'सङ्कर्षणो नाम जीवो जायत' इत्यनेन जीवा-भिमानिसङ्कर्षणस्य इच्छाधीनशरीरपरिग्रहरूपोत्पत्तिः प्रतिपाद्यत इति न पाञ्चरात्रा-प्रामाण्यमिति सूत्रयोरर्थः ।

ननु सांख्यपाशुपताद्यधिकरणवदिदमप्यधिकरणं पाश्चरात्रप्रामाण्यप्रतिषेधकं किं न स्यादिति चेत् — वेदोपबृंहणाय भारतसंहितां कुर्वता बादरायणेन—

> ' इदं शतसहस्राद्धि भारताख्यानविस्तरात्। आमथ्य मतिमन्थानं दध्नो घृतमिवोद्धृतम्।।

नवनीतं यथा द्रध्नो द्विपदां ब्राह्मणो यथा। आरण्यकं च वेदेभ्यः ओषधीभ्यो यथाऽमृतम्॥

इदं महोपनिषदं चतुर्वेदसमन्वितम् । सांख्ययोगकृतान्तेन पाश्चरातानुराब्दितम् ॥ इदं श्रेय इदं ब्रह्म इदं हितमनुत्तमम् । ऋग्यजुस्साममिर्जुष्टं अथवीङ्गरसैस्तथा ॥ भविष्यति प्रमाणं वै एतदेवानुरासनम् । ब्राह्मणेः क्षित्रयवैँरुयेः राष्ट्रैश्च कृतलक्षणेः ॥ अर्चनीयश्च सेव्यश्च पूजनीयश्च माधवः । सात्वतं विधिमास्थाय गीतस्सङ्कर्षणेन यः ॥ अस्मात्प्रवक्ष्यते धर्मान्मनुस्स्वायंभुवस्तथा ॥

इत्यादिभिर्वचनैः बहुषु स्थलेषु पाञ्चरालपामाण्यं प्रतिष्ठापितवता शारीरकशास्त्रे तत्प्रामाण्यं निराक्रियत इत्यस्यासङ्गतव्यात् । नन्वेवं—

> ' एवं तत्त्वमिदं कृत्सनं सांख्यानां विदितात्मनाम् । यदुक्तं यतिभिर्मुख्येः किपलादिभिरीश्वरैः ॥ यसिन्नविश्रमाः केचित् दृश्यन्ते मनुजर्षभ । गुणाश्च यस्मिन्बहवः दोषहानिश्च केवला ॥ '

इति कापिल्रमतस्य भारते अमादिदोषाभावप्रतिपाद्नात् 'सर्वेषु च नृपश्रेष्ठ ज्ञानेष्वेतेषु ह्रयते । यथागमं यथान्यायं निष्ठा नारायणः प्रभु'रिति सांख्ययोगपाञ्चपतादीनामपि नारायणनिष्ठत्वप्रतिपादनात् 'तमेव शास्त्रकर्तारः प्रवदन्ति मनीषिणः 'इति तच्छास्र-कर्तॄणामपि नारायणप्रतिपादकत्वस्य प्रतिपादनात् 'सांख्यं योगः पाञ्चरात्रं वेदाः पाञ्चपतं तथा । आत्मप्रमाणान्येतानि न हन्तव्यानि हेतुभि 'रिति स्ववेषामप्यात्म-प्रमाणत्वप्रतिपादनाच्च 'सर्वे प्रमाणं हि तथा यथैतच्छास्त्रमुत्तम 'मिति पाञ्चरात्रदृष्टान्तेन इत्रशास्त्राणामपि प्रामाण्यप्रतिपादनाच्च तत्यादे सांख्यपाञ्चपताद्यागमानामपि प्रामाण्यं न निराक्रियत इति चेत्—सत्यम् । अमविप्रलिप्सादिराहित्यं शास्त्रकर्तॄणां परमतात्पर्यं नारायण एवेति च समानम् । तथापि अबहुश्रुततया तद्वत्तृणां हृदयमजानन्तः

आपातप्रतिपन्नमेवार्थं तात्त्वकं मन्यमाना ये प्रत्यवितष्ठन्ते, तान्प्रति सांख्याद्यागमानां आपातप्रतिपन्नार्थमात्रपरत्वमन्वारुद्ध सूत्रकृता तिन्नरसनं कृतम् । पाञ्चरात्रशास्त्रं तु परतत्त्विहतपुरुषार्थानामेवापाततोपि प्रतीतेः वेदिवरुद्धनिमित्तोपादानमेदाद्यप्रतितेश्च कृत्सनं प्रमाणमेवेति नैकदेशेप्यप्रामाण्यशङ्कावकाश इति द्रष्टव्यम् । एवमेव व्यासार्थे-रुक्तम् । प्रकृतमनुसरामः ॥ १९ ॥

अणोरणीयान् महतो महीयानात्मास्य जन्तोर्निहितो गुहा-याम् । तमक्रतुः पश्यति वीतशोको धातुप्रसादान्महिमा-नमात्मनः ॥ २०॥

एवं मन्लद्वयेन प्रत्यगात्मस्वरूपं विशोध्य तदात्मभूतपर्मात्मस्वरूपमाह—अणोरणीया-नित्यादिना। अणो:—सर्वाचेतनापेक्षया सूक्ष्माचेतनात् अणुतरः ततोपि सूक्ष्मः तदन्तः प्रवेशयोग्य इत्यर्थः । महतः – आकाशादेरिप महत्तरः स्वाव्याप्तवस्तुरहित इत्यर्थः । अस्य जन्तोः—'न जायते म्रियते वे'ति मन्त्रद्वयनिर्दिष्टस्य । आत्मा— अन्तःप्रविक्य नियन्तेत्यर्थः । अतश्च पूर्वमन्त्रद्वयनिर्दिष्टात् प्रत्यगात्मस्वरूपात् अणो-रणीयानिति मन्त्रसन्दर्भप्रतिपाद्योऽन्य एवेति सिद्धम् । न च अस्य जन्तोरित्यस्य हृदयगुहावाचिना संबन्धसापेक्षेण गुहायामित्यनेनैवान्वितत्वेन नात्मेत्यनेनान्वय इति शङ्कचम् । आत्मशब्दान्वितस्यैव काकाक्षिन्यायेनोभयत्नान्वये दोषाभावात् । 'मूलतः शाखां परिवास्योपवेषं करोति ' इत्यत्र शाखां मूळतः परिवास्य मूळत उपवेषं करोतीति परिवासनान्वितस्यापि मूलत इत्यस्य उपवेषं करोतीत्यनेनापि अन्वयस्याङ्गीकृतत्वात्। जीवहृदयगुहावर्तित्वप्रतिपादनेऽपि जीवभेदसिद्धेश्च । नहि जीवस्येव जीवगुहावर्तित्वः प्रतिपादने प्रयोजनमस्ति। ननु ' न जायत 'इत्युपन्यस्तस्यात्मनः जायमानवाचिजन्तुशब्देन परामर्शस्यानुपपन्नतया अस्य जन्तोरित्यस्य प्रत्यक्षादिसन्निधापितदेहपरताया एव वक्त-व्यत्वेन तद्गुहाहित आत्मा प्रागुपन्यस्तो जीव एवास्तु । न च कर्तृत्वभोक्त्वादि-विशिष्टतया सदा अहमिति भासमाने जीवे 'कस्तं मदामदं देवं मदन्यो ज्ञात्महिति ' 'क इत्था वेद यत्न सः 'इति उत्तरसन्दर्भप्रतिपाद्यदुर्विज्ञानत्वं कथमन्वेत्विति वाच्यम् । जीवस्य कर्तृत्वादिविशिष्टतया सर्वलोकविदितत्वेपि मुक्तप्राप्यब्रह्मस्वरूपविशिष्टतया दुर्ज्ञानत्वसंभवादिति चेन्न । 'प्राणी तु चेतनो जन्मी जन्तुजन्यशरीरिण ' इति जन्तु- शब्दस्य चेतनपर्यायतया प्रकृतजीववाचित्वसंभवात् । अस्येति शब्दस्य च पूर्वसन्दर्भी-प्रत्यक्षा्च्रपस्थापितदेहविषयत्वाश्रयणस्यायुक्तत्वात् । पस्थापितप्रत्यगात्मविषयत्वसंभवे अत्यन्ताणुत्वमहत्त्वयोः 'एष म आत्मान्तर्हृदये अणीयान् त्रीहेर्वा यवाद्वा सर्पपाद्वा स्या-माकाद्वा स्थामाकतण्डुलाद्वा एष म आत्मान्तर्हृद्ये ज्यायान् पृथिव्या ज्यायानन्त-रिक्षात् ज्यायान्दिवो ज्यायानेभ्यो लोकेभ्यः 'इत्यादिषु परमात्मधर्मतया अणोरणीया-निति मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यस्य जीवत्वशङ्काया असंभवात् । नुनु 'नेतरोऽनुपपत्ते 'रिति सूत्रे ' सह ब्रह्मणा विपश्चिते ' ति वाक्यश्रुतविपश्चित्त्वस्य ब्रह्मासाधारणिक जल्वस्य भाष्ये प्रतिपादितत्वात् 'न जायते म्रियते वा विपश्चि 'दिति मन्त्रस्यापि पररीत्या परमात्म-परत्वमेवास्तु । एवं सति ' अन्यत्र धर्मा 'दिति प्रश्नस्य प्राप्यद्वयपरत्वं प्रतिवचनस्य प्राप्य-द्वयपरत्वमाश्रित्य 'न जायत ' इत्यादिमन्त्रद्वयस्य प्राप्यजीवस्वरूपपरत्वं, अणोरणीया-निति सन्दर्भस्य च परमात्मपरत्वमित्यादिपरिकल्पनक्केशो नाश्रयणीय इति चेत्-न। हननादिप्रतिषेधाद्यनुपपत्त्या विपश्चिच्छब्दे मुख्यार्थत्यागस्यावश्यकत्वेन तन्मन्त्रद्वय-स्याणोरणीयानित्यादिमन्त्रसन्दर्भस्य च एकविषयत्वासंभवात्। शिष्टमुत्तरत्र स्पष्टयिष्यते। तमक्रतुं प्रयतीति । तं – तादृशं परमात्मानं अक्रतुः – काम्यकर्मादिरहितस्सन् धातोः धारकस्य परमात्मनः प्रसादात् आत्मनः महिमानं महत्त्वसंपादकं स्वसार्वज्या-दिगुणाविभीवहेतुभूतं परमात्मानं यदा पश्यति तदा वीतशोको भवतीत्यर्थः। द्यभ्वाद्यधि-करणे ' जुष्टं यदा पश्यत्यन्यमीशं ' इति मन्त्रखण्डं प्रस्तुत्य ' अयं यदा स्वस्मादन्यं सर्वस्येशं प्रीयमाणमस्येश्वरस्य महिमानं च निखिळजगन्नियमनरूपं पश्यित तदा वीतशोको भवती 'ति भगवता भाष्यकृता व्याख्यातत्वात् तदनुसारेणापि परमात्मनः निखिलजगन्नियमनरूपं महिमानं च यः पश्यति स वीतशोको भवतीत्यर्थः । धातुप्रसा-दाद्वीतशोको भवतीति वान्वयः। 'प्रसीदत्यच्युतस्तस्मिन्प्रसन्ने क्रेशसंक्षयं इति स्मृतेरिति द्रष्टव्यम् । ' अऋतुं पञ्यति धातुः प्रसादान्महिमानमीशम् ' इति पाठे— अक्रतं -- कर्मकृतोत्कर्षापकर्षशून्यमित्यर्थः । धातुः -- भगवतः ॥ २०॥

आसीनो दूरं व्रजति शयानो याति सर्वतः । कस्तं मदामदं देवं मदन्यो ज्ञातुमहिति ॥ २१ ॥

धातुप्रसादशब्दितभगवदनुप्रहशून्यस्य परमात्मतत्त्वमत्यन्ताले किकत्वाद्दुरिधगम-मिति दर्शयति आसीनो दूरं त्रजति शयानो याति सर्वत इति सर्वात्म- कत्वेन इतरत्न विरुद्धतया प्रतीयमाना अप्यासीनत्वदूरगन्तृत्वादिधर्मा जीवद्वारा तत्न सन्तीति भावः । कस्तिमिति । हर्षाहर्षविरुद्धधर्ममध्यस्थं तं परमात्मप्रसादानुगृहीत-मादृशजनादन्यः को वा ज्ञातेत्यर्थः ॥ २१ ॥

> अशरीर शरीरेष्वनवस्थेष्ववस्थितम्। महान्तं विभ्रमात्मानं मत्वा धीरो न शोचित ॥ २२ ॥

अशरीरिमिति। कर्मकृतशरीररहितं, अनवस्थेषु — अस्थिरेषु, नित्यत्वेन तत्न स्थितं महान्तं विभुं — महावैभवशालिनं आत्मानं मत्वा धीरो न शोचित ॥ २२ ॥

नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन लभ्यो न मेधया न बहुना श्रुतेन । यमेवैष वृणुते तेन लभ्यस्तस्यैष आत्मा विवृणुते तन्रू स्वाम्।।२३।।

ईहशात्मप्राप्तगुपायं दर्शयति—नायमातमेति । अत्र प्रवचनशब्देन मननस्यैव प्रहीतुमुचितत्वाद्ध्यापनरूपस्य प्रवचनस्य हेतुत्वाप्रसक्तेश्च तथेव व्यासार्येविवृतत्वाच प्रवचनं मननम् । यमेवेष वृणुते तेन रुभ्यः । एषः परमात्मा यं साधकं प्रार्थयते तेन रुभ्यः प्रार्थनीयपुंसा रुभ्य इत्यर्थः । तत्प्रार्थनीयत्वं च तिष्यतमस्यैव पुंसः । तिष्यतमत्वं च तत्प्रीतिमत एव । तत्थ्य भगविद्वषयिणी उपासकस्य प्रीतिः भगवत उपासके प्रीतिमुत्पाच तत्प्राप्तिहेतुर्भवतीत्यर्थः । तस्येष आतमा विवृणुते तन् स्वाम्—तस्य उपासकस्य एष आत्मा परमात्मा स्वरूपं प्रकाशयित स्वात्मानं प्रयच्छतीत्यर्थः । वृणुते इति पाठेषि स एवार्थः ॥ २३ ॥

नाविरतो दुश्वरितात्राशान्तो नासमाहितः। नाशान्तमानसो वापि प्रज्ञानेनैनमाग्जयात्।। २४ ॥

परमात्मप्राप्तिहेतुभूतोपासनाङ्गतया कांश्चिद्धर्मानुपदिशति—नाविरतो दुश्चरिता-दिति। परदारपरद्रव्यापहारादिनवृतः अनुपशान्तकामकोघवेगः नानाविधव्यापारिविश्विप्त-तयाऽनवहितिचितः अनिगृहीतमनाश्च एनं —परमात्मानं प्रज्ञानेन नामुयादित्यर्थः । पुरुषार्थस्यवानृतवद्गनिषेधस्य दर्शपूर्णमासप्रकरणे कत्वङ्गतया 'नानृतं वदे 'दिति निषेधवत् पुरुषार्थस्यापि दुश्चरितविरत्यादेरुपासनाङ्गतया विधानमुपपद्यते । ततश्च यस्तु पुरुषार्थमपि दुश्चरितनिषेधस्य परमात्मोपासनमिवगुणं चिकीषिति तस्य दुश्चरितनिषेधस्याद्वपासनसादुर्वः न सिद्ध्यतीत्यर्थः ॥ २४ ॥

यस्य ब्रह्म च क्षतं च उमे भवत ओद्नः। मृत्युर्यस्योप-सेचनं क इत्था वेद यत्र सः।। २५॥ ॥ इति द्वितीया वही॥

यस्य ब्रह्मचेति। ब्रह्म च क्षत्रं च-ब्रह्मक्षताख्यवर्णद्वयोपळिक्षतकृत्स्वचराचरात्मक-मिदं जगत्। यस्य ओद्नो भवति—यस्य विनाश्यो भवतीत्यर्थः। यस्य मृत्युः स्वयमद्यमानत्वे सति अन्यस्यादनहेतुभवति, सः निखिळचराचरसंहर्ता परमात्मा यत यसिन् प्रकारे स्थितः यत्प्रकारविशिष्टः तं प्रकारमित्थमिति को वेदेत्यर्थः । ननु ब्रह्मक्षत्रपदेन कुत्खचराचरप्रहणे किं बीजमिति चेदुच्यते । ब्रह्म च क्षत्रं च ओदन इत्युक्ते ब्राह्मणक्षत्रियवर्णयोः किंचित्पति ओद्नशब्दमुख्यार्थत्वासंभवात् ओद्न-शब्देन भोग्यत्वं वा विनाञ्यत्वं वा रुक्षणीयम् । न हि ब्रह्मक्षत्रमात्रभोक्ता तन्मात्र-संहर्ता वा कश्चिज्जीवो वा परमात्मा वाऽस्ति। नन्वन्तरादित्यविद्यायां 'ये चामुष्मात्परा-ञ्चो लोकास्तेषाञ्चेष्ट ' इति सर्वलोकेश्वरे परमात्मनि उपासनार्थं लोकविशेषेशितृत्व-श्रवणवत् सर्वसंहर्तर्यपि परमात्मनि ब्रह्मक्षत्रसंहरणमुपासनार्थमुपदिस्यतामितिचेन्न । तद्वदस्योपासनाप्रकरणत्वासंभवात् । अतः ब्रह्मक्षत्रप्रहणस्य चराचरमात्रोपरुक्षणत्वं युक्तम् । उक्तं च सूलकृता 'अत्ता चराचरे प्रहणात् 'इति । नन्त्रेवमपि ओदनशब्देन किमिति विनाइयत्वं रुक्ष्यते । गौणत्वमपि शब्दस्य साधारणगुणमपहाय असाधारण-गुणेनैव निर्वाह्यम् । नह्यभिर्माणवक इत्यत्र अभिशब्देन पैङ्गल्यादेरिव द्रव्यत्वादे-रुपस्थितिरस्ति । अत एव 'पैतु होतुश्चमसः प्र ब्रह्मणः प्रोद्गातृणां प्र यजमानस्ये ' त्यध्वर्यु प्रेषे उद्गातृशब्दस्य बहुवचनानुरोधेन बहुषु वृत्ती वक्तव्यायां षोडशर्त्वक्साधा-रणाकारं विहाय उद्गातृगणमाललक्षणा पूर्वतन्त्रे वर्णिता । तद्वदिहापि ब्रह्मक्षलयोरोदन-शब्दमुख्यार्थत्वासंभवेपि भोज्यत्वभोग्यत्वरूपान्तरङ्गाकारस्यैव लक्षणयाऽपि प्रहणं युक्तम् । नत्वत्यन्तबहिरङ्गस्य विनाश्यत्वाकारस्य, येन निखिलचराचरसंहर्ता परमात्माऽल वाक्ये प्रतीयेतेति चेत् उच्यते । यद्यपि विनाश्यत्वं साधारणाकारः, तथापि मृत्युय-स्योपसेचनमिति वाक्यशेषानुरोधात् साधारणोऽपि गौण्या वृत्त्या रुक्षयितुमुचितः। ननु उपसेचनशब्दापेक्षया ओदनशब्दस्य मुख्यत्वात् ओदनशब्दस्वारस्यानुरोधेन असा-धारणाकाररूपभोग्यत्वे सक्षिते जघन्यमुपर्जेचनपदमबाधकत्वाभिप्रायेणः कथिइनियन ताम् । अतः ब्रह्मक्षत्वभोक्ता यः यस्य च मृत्युरबाधकः सोऽस्मिन्मन्ते प्रतिपाद्यः भोकृत्वं च जीवस्यैवेति स एवास्मिन्मन्ते प्रतिपाद्यतामिति चेत्—उच्यते । उपसेच-नत्वेन रूपितस्य मृत्योः ओदनत्वरूपितेन ब्रह्मक्षत्राब्दितेन दध्यन्नवत् प्रतीतसम्बन्धस्य सर्वात्मना बाधपसङ्गात् । निह यस्य ब्रह्मक्षत्रं च भोग्यं यस्य च मृत्युरबाधक इत्युक्ते मृत्योः ब्रह्मक्षत्रस्य च सम्बन्धः प्रतीयते । अतः उपसेचनशब्दस्य ओदनशब्द्रपिक्षया जघन्यत्वेपि अबाधकत्वरूपसाधारणगुणं विहाय स्वयमद्यमानत्वे सित अन्यादनहेतुत्वरूपासाधारणरूप एव ग्राह्यः । तत्रश्च एकवाक्यान्तर्गतचरमश्रुतोपसेचनपदानुसारेण ओदनशब्देनापि विनाद्यत्वमेव छक्षणीयम् । स्वबुद्रघुपस्थापनीयन्विरोषाकाररूपगुणग्रहणादिप एकवाक्यतापन्नपदान्तरोपस्थापितगुणग्रहणस्यैव बुद्धिख्यावेन एकवाक्यतासामर्थ्यानुरोधेन च न्याय्यत्वादित्यस्यार्थस्य अत्रिधकरणे निर्णीनत्वादित्यस्यं पछवितेन ॥ २५ ॥

॥ इति द्वितीयवहीव्याख्या ॥

॥ अथ तृतीया वही ॥

हरि: ओं।। ऋतं पिबन्तौ सुकृतस्य लोके गुहां प्रविष्टौ परमे परार्ध्य । छायातपौ ब्रह्मविदो वदन्ति पश्चाग्रयो ये च त्रिणाचिकेताः॥ १॥

'क इत्था वेद यत्न सः' इति अस्य दुर्ज्ञानत्वे, अत्न इत्थमास्ते इत्यस्यार्थस्य दुर्वोधत्वेन न वयं तदुपासने शक्ताः इति मन्यमानं प्रति उपास्योपासकयोरेकगुहानु-प्रवेशेन परमात्मनस्सूपास्यत्वाद्वयमपि उपासितुं शक्ता इति द्वाभ्यां मन्त्राभ्यां दर्शयित—क्रतं पिवन्तावित्यादिना । सत्यपदवाच्यावश्यंभाविकर्मफलमनुभवन्तौ सुकृतसाध्ये छोके अस्मिन्नेव छोके वर्तमानौ हृदयकुहरं प्रविष्टी तत्नापि परमाकाशे पराध्ये — परार्थं सङ्ख्याया उत्तरावधिः तद्हितीति परार्ध्यम्, उत्कृष्ट इत्यर्थः । तादशे हार्दाकाशे वर्तमानौ । छायातपशब्दाभ्यां ज्ञाज्ञौ स्थयेते । अञ्चराब्देन अनिवनिदेशस्य चायमभिष्रायः । उपास्योपासकयोरेक-

गुहावर्तित्वे तयोरेव प्राप्यप्राप्त्रतया प्राप्यस्य च तत्प्राप्तिसाधनरथत्वेन रूपिते शरीरेऽवस्थानमयुक्तम् । न हि रथेन प्राप्तव्योऽर्थः रथस्थो भवतीति शङ्का प्राप्यस्य परमात्मनः तत्रावस्थितत्वेपि जीवस्य 'पराभिध्यानात्त तिरोहित ' मित्युक्तरीत्या परमात्मसङ्गरूपमूरुकर्मरूपाविद्यावेष्टिततया तद्नुभवरुक्षण-तत्प्राप्तेरभावेन प्राप्तृप्राप्ययोजीवपरयोः रथत्वरूपितशरीरान्तर्वत्येकगुहावर्तित्वकथने नानुपपत्तिरिति । पश्चामयो ये च तिणाचिकेताः—पञ्चामिशुश्रूषापरिशुद्धान्तः-करणाः । त्रिणाचिकेताः -- उक्तोऽर्थः । एवंभूता ब्रह्मविदो वद्नतीत्यर्थः । केव्छपञ्चामित्रिणाचिकेतानामी दृशपरमात्मप्रतिपादनासामर्थ्यात् ब्रह्मविदामेव पञ्चामि-त्विलाचिकेतत्वे विशेषणे । अस्य मन्त्रस्य जीवपरमात्मपरत्वं सूतितं 'गुहां प्रविष्टावात्माना ' विति । ननु कर्मफलभोगशून्ये परमात्मिन ' ऋतं पिबन्ता 'विति निर्दिष्टकर्मभोक्तृत्वासंभवात् , सुकृतसाध्यलोकवर्तित्वगुहावच्छिन्नत्वयोस्सर्वगते परिसान् ब्रह्मण्यसंभवात् , छायातपनिर्दिष्टाप्रकाशत्वप्रकाशत्वयोरपि जीवपरमात्मपरत्वे असंभवात् , बुद्धिजीवपरत्वे तु तस्य सर्वस्याप्युपपत्तेः, कर्मफलभोगकरणे कर्तृत्वोपचारेण पिबन्ता-विति निर्देशस्याप्युपपत्तेः बुद्धिजीवपरत्वमेवास्य मन्त्रस्य युज्यत इति चेत्—एवमेवहि ' गुहां प्रविष्टा ' विति सूत्रे आशङ्कय संख्याश्रवणे सत्येकस्मिन् संप्रतिपन्ने द्विती-याकांक्षायां प्रतिपन्नजातिमुपजीव्य व्यक्तिविशेषपरियहे बुद्धिलाघवाद्विजातीयपरियहे जातिव्यक्तिबुद्धिद्वयापेक्षया गौरवात् संप्रतिपन्नजातीयपरिग्रहो युक्तः । लोके 'प्यस्य गोर्द्वितीयोऽन्वेष्टव्यः ' इत्यादौ तथा दर्शनात् । तथाच ऋतपानिङ्कावगतस्य जीवस्य द्वितीयः चेतनत्वेन तत्सजातीयः परमात्मैव ग्राह्यः । परमात्मनः प्रयोजककर्तृतया पिबन्ताः विति निर्देशस्यापि संभवात्, अन्तः करणे स्वतन्त्रकर्तृत्व-प्रयोजककर्तृत्वयोरभावेन पिबन्ताविति निर्देशस्य सर्वथाप्यसंभवात् , सर्वगते ब्रह्मणि सुकृतसाध्यलोकवर्तित्व-स्यापि संभवात् , अस्मिन्नव प्रकरणे ' गुहाहितं गह्वरेष्ठ 'मिति परमात्मनो गुहाप्रवेश-श्रवणेन गुहाप्रवेशस्याप्युपपत्तेः, छायातपशब्दाभ्यां किश्चिदृज्ञसर्वज्ञयोः प्रतिपादन-संभवात् जीवपरमात्मपर एवायं मन्त्र इति सर्मार्थतत्वात् न त्वदुक्तशङ्कावकाशः । 'तयोरन्यः पिप्पलं स्वाद्वत्तीति सत्तव' मिति पैिक्तरहस्यब्राह्मणानुसारेण 'द्वा सुवर्णे 'ति मन्त्रस्य बुद्धिजीवपरत्वात् , 'इयदामननात् ' इत्यिधकरणे ऋतं पिबन्ताविति मन्त्रस्य " द्वां सुपंपे 'ति सम्तेकार्थ्यस्य प्रतिपादितत्वास् अयमपि मन्त्रः बुद्धिकी वपर इस्यस्या-

रशङ्कायाः 'गुहां प्रविष्टावात्माना 'विति सूत्रकृतैव निराकृतत्वात् । किञ्च जीवे गुहाप्रवेशस्य बुद्ध्यूपाधिकतया स्वतः प्रवेशवत्या बुद्ध्या सह जीवस्य 'गुहां प्रविष्टा ' विति गुहाप्रवेशवर्णनं न सङ्गच्छते। उपष्टंभकाधीनगुरुत्वशालिनि सुवर्णे गुरु सुवर्णमिति व्यवहारसम्भवेऽप्युषष्ठम्भकसुवर्णे गुरुणी इति व्यवहारादर्शनात् । एव परपक्षे सूत्रानुसारेण अस्य मन्त्रस्य जीवपरमात्मपरतया कृतं योजनान्तरमप्यनुवपन्नम् । 'अनेन जोवेनात्मनानुप्रविश्ये ' ति श्रुत्यनुसारेण परमात्मनो जीवमावेनानुप्रवेशेपि परमात्मरूपेणानुप्रवेशाभावात् जीवपरमात्मानौ गुहां प्रविष्टाविति निर्देशानुपपत्तेः । जीवभावेन ब्रह्मणस्संसारमभिपेत्य ब्रह्म संसरतीति व्यवहारसत्त्वेपि जीवब्रह्मणी संसरत इति व्यवहारासंभवात् । 'जीवेशावाभासेन करोति माया चाविद्या च स्वयमेव भवति, कार्योपाधिरयं जीवः कारणोपाधिरीश्वरः ' इति वचनानुसारेण प्रति(परि)गृहीते अविद्याया वा अन्तःकरणस्य वा जीवोपाधित्व-मिति पक्षद्वयेपि नाविद्यान्तः करणयोः प्रतिबिम्बोपाधित्वं युज्यते । स्वच्छद्रव्यप्रतिहति-परावृत्तनायनरिमगृह्यमाणस्यैव प्रतिबिम्बशब्दार्थतया अचाक्षुषस्य चैतन्यस्य प्रति-विम्बत्वासंभवेन अविद्याप्रतिबिम्बोऽन्तः करणपतिबिम्बो वा जीव इत्याश्रयणायोगात् । अतो ऽविद्याविच्छन्नः अन्तःकरणाविच्छन्नो वा जीव इति पक्षद्वयमेव परिशिष्यते । तल च हृद्यगुहायां अविद्यान्तः करणाभ्यामवच्छिन्नत्वेन अनवच्छिन्नपरमात्मनो गुहाप्रवेशवर्णनश्रुतेर्वाऽन्तर्यामिब्राह्मणस्य वा नाञ्जस्यमित्यलमतिचर्चया। प्रकृत-मनुसरामः ॥ १ ॥

यस्सेतुरीजानानामक्षरं ब्रह्म यत्परम् । अभयं तितीर्षतां पारं नाचिकेत १ शकेमहि ॥ २ ॥

यस्सेतुरिति। यः सेतुः—आधारभूतः कर्मफळपद इत्यर्थः। ईजानानामिति कानजन्तः शब्दः। अक्षरं ब्रह्म यत्परं—यित्रविकारं परं ब्रह्म। अभयं तितीर्षतां पारं—संसारसागरं तितीर्षतां निर्भयं दृढं तीरं। नाचिकेतं शकेमिहि— नाचिकेतामिप्राप्यमुपासितुं शक्ताः सम इत्यर्थः। शकेर्व्यत्ययेन शप्। नाचिकेतं शकेमहीत्यस्य मन्त्रखण्डस्य तथैव भाष्यकृता व्याख्यातत्वात्। अतः दुरुपास्यत्वबुद्धचा न भेतव्यमिति भावः॥ २॥

आत्मान रिथनं विद्धि शरीरं रथमेव तु । बुद्धिं तु सारिथं विद्धि मनः प्रग्रहमेव च ॥ ३॥

'आत्मानं रिथनं विद्धी 'त्यादिना 'सोध्वनः पारमामोती 'त्यन्तेन संसाराध्वपार-मृतवैष्णवपरमपद्पाप्तौ परिकरमुपदिशन् प्राप्तृस्वरूपमुपदिशति—आत्मानं रिथनं विद्धीति । शरोराधिष्ठातारं रिथनं विद्धि । शरीरमेव च रथं विद्धीत्यर्थः । बुद्धि-शब्दिताध्यवसायाधीनत्वादेहप्रवृत्तेः तस्यास्सारिथत्विमिति भावः । प्रग्रहः-रशना ॥

इन्द्रियाणि हयानाहुविषया १ स्तेषु गोचरान् । आत्मेन्द्रिय-मनोयुक्तं भोक्तेत्याहुर्मनीषिणः ॥ ४ ॥

इन्द्रियाणि हयानाहुः—स्पष्टोर्थः । विषयांस्तेषु गोचरान् — तेषु इन्द्रियेषु ह्यत्वेन रूपितेषु गोचरान् मार्गान् शब्दादिविषयान् विद्वीत्यर्थः । रथसारिथहय-प्रमहत्वेन रूपितानां शरीरेन्द्रियमनोबुद्धीनामभावे रिथत्वेन रूपितस्योदासीनस्यात्मनो गमनरूपलीकिकवैदिकिकियाकर्तृत्वमेव नास्तीत्येतत् सुप्रसिद्धत्वेन दर्शयति — आत्मेन्द्रियेति । आत्मशब्दो देहपरः । मनःशब्दस्तत्कार्यबुद्धरप्युवलक्षकः । पूर्व-मन्त्रे बुद्धरिप सारिथत्वेन निर्दिष्टत्वात् । भोक्ता कर्तृत्वभोकृत्ववानित्यर्थः । निर्ह केवलस्यात्मनः कर्तृत्वं भोकृत्वं वाऽस्तीति भावः ॥ ४ ॥

यस्त्वविज्ञानवान्भवत्ययुक्तेन मनसा सदा । तस्येन्द्रि-याण्यवश्यनि दुष्टाश्वा इव सारथेः ॥ ५ ॥

यस्तु विज्ञानवान् भवति युक्तेन मनसा सदा । तस्येन्द्रि-याणि वश्यानि सदश्वा इव सारथेः ।। ६ ॥

शरीरादेः रथत्वादिरूपणस्य प्रयोजनमाह—यस्त्विज्ञानवानित्यादिना मन्त्र-द्वयेन। छोके हि समीचीनसारथिप्रग्रहवतः अश्वा वशीकृता भवन्ति। एवं सारथि-प्रग्रहत्वेन रूपितयार्विज्ञानमनसोस्सामीचीन्ये अश्वत्वेन रूपितानि इन्द्रियाणि वश्यानि भवन्ति। नान्यथेत्यर्थः। ५+६।।

> यस्त्वविज्ञानवान्भवत्यमनस्करसदाऽशुचिः । न स तत्पद-मामोति संस्मारं चाधिगच्छति ॥ ७॥

यस्तु विज्ञानवान्भवति समनस्कस्सदा शुचिः। स तु तत्पद-

हयत्वेन रूपितानामिन्द्रियाणां वशीकरणतद्भावयोः प्रयोजनं दर्शयित मन्त्रद्वयेन— यस्त्विज्ञानवानित्यादिना । अमनस्कः—अनिगृहीतमनाः । अत एवाशुचिः सर्वदा विपरीतचिन्तापवणत्वादित्यर्थः। न केवलं जिगमिषितप्राप्त्रघभावमात्रं, प्रत्युत गहनं संसारकान्तारमेव प्राप्यतीत्यर्थः॥ ७+८॥

विज्ञानसारथिर्यस्तु मनःप्रग्रहवान्तरः । सोध्वनः पारमामोति तद्विष्णोः परमं पदम् ॥ ९ ॥

किं तत्पदमित्याकांक्षायां तत्पदं दर्शयन्नुपसंहरति— विज्ञानसारथिरिति। समीचीनविज्ञानमनद्शाली संसाराध्वपारभूतं परमात्मस्वरूपं प्राप्नोतीत्यर्थः॥ ९॥

> इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा हार्था अर्थेभ्यश्च परं मनः । मनसश्च परा बुद्धिबुद्धरात्मा महान्परः ॥ १०॥

> महतः परमव्यक्तमव्यक्तात्पुरुषः परः। पुरुषात्र परं किञ्चि-त्सा काष्टा सा परा गतिः ॥ ११ ॥

वशीकार्यत्वाय रथादिरूपितेषु शरीरादिषु यानि येभ्यो वशीकार्यतायां प्रधानानि तान्युच्यन्ते—इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा इत्यादिमन्त्रद्वयेन । अस्य मन्त्रद्वयस्यार्थो भगवता भाष्यकृता आनुमानिकाधिकरणे उक्तः । इत्थं हि तल भाष्यम्—'तेषु रथादिरूपित-शरीरादिषु यानि येभ्यो वशीकार्यतायां प्रधानानि तान्युच्यन्ते इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा इत्यादिना । तत्र हयत्वेन रूपितेभ्य इन्द्रियेभ्यो गोचरत्वेन रूपिता विषया वशीकार्यत्वे पराः । वश्येन्द्रियस्यापि विषयसिक्तधाविन्द्रियाणां दुर्निग्रहत्वात् । तेभ्योपि परं प्रग्रहरूपितं मनः । मनिसे विषयप्रवणे विषयासिन्नधानस्याप्यिकिचित्करत्वात् । तस्मादपि सार्श्यत्वरूपिता बुद्धिः परा । अध्यवसायाभावे मनसोप्यिकिचित्करत्वात् । तस्या अपि रथित्वेन रूपित आत्मा कर्तृत्वेन प्राधान्यात्परः । सर्वस्यात्मेच्छायत्तवात् आत्मेव महानिति विशेष्यते । तस्मादपि रथरूपितं शरीरं परम् । तदायत्तत्वान् ज्विवस्य सक्रस्पुरुषार्थप्रवृत्तीनाम् । तस्मादपि परः सर्वान्तरात्मभूतोऽन्तर्यामी

अध्वनः पारमृतः परमपुरुषः । यथोक्तस्यात्मपर्यन्तस्य तत्संकरुपायत्तप्रवृत्तित्वात् । स खरुवन्तर्यामितया उपासनस्यापि निर्वर्तकः। 'परातु तच्छूते 'रिति हि जीवात्मनः कर्तृत्वं परमपुरुषायत्तमिति वक्ष्यते । वशीकार्योपासनिनर्वृत्त्युपायकाष्ठाम्तः परमप्राप्यश्च स एव । तदिदमुच्यते 'पुरुषात्र परं किंचित्सा काष्ठा सा परा गति 'रिति । तथा अन्तर्यामित्राह्मणे 'य आत्मानि तिष्ठ 'त्रित्यादिभिः सर्वं साक्षात्कुर्वन् सर्वं नियम्यतित्युक्त्वा 'नान्योऽतोस्ति द्रष्टे 'ति नियन्त्वन्तरं निष्ध्यते । भगवद्गीतासु च 'अधिष्ठानं तथा कर्ता करणं च प्रथित्वधम् । विविधा च प्रथक्चेष्टा दैवं चैवाल पद्धम 'मिति । दैवमल पुरुषोत्तम एव । 'सर्वस्य चाहं हृदि सन्निविष्टो मत्तःस्मृतिन्न्नानिमपोहनं चे 'ति वचनात् । तस्य च वशीकरणं तच्छरणागतिरेव । यथाह 'ईश्वरस्सर्वभृतानां हृदेशेऽर्जुन तिष्ठति । भामयन् सर्वभृतानि यन्त्रारूढानि मायया ।। तमव शरणं गच्छे 'ति । तदेवं 'आत्मानं रिथनं विद्वी 'त्यादिना रथ्यादिरूपकविन्यस्ता इन्द्रियादयः इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा ह्यर्थ इत्यत्न स्वशब्देरेव प्रत्यिम् ज्ञायन्ते, न रथरूपितं शरीरमिति परिशेषात्तद्व्यक्तशब्देनोच्यते 'इति ॥ १०+११ ॥

एष सर्वेषु भूतेषु गृढोऽऽत्मा न प्रकाशते । दृश्यते त्वग्रयया बुद्धचा सक्ष्मया सक्ष्मदिशिभिः ॥ १२ ॥

एष सर्वेषु भृतोष्विति । सर्वेषु भृतेषु आत्मतया वर्तमानोसौ गुणत्रयमाया-तिरोहितत्वेन अजितबाह्यान्तः करणानां न यथावत्प्रकाशते । अश्रयया— ऐकाप्रय-युक्तया बाह्याभ्यन्तरच्यापाररहितया सूक्ष्मया— सूक्ष्मार्थविवेचेनशक्तया सूक्ष्मदर्शन-शीलैर्हिश्यत इत्यर्थः ॥ १२ ॥

यच्छेद्वाङ्मनसी प्राज्ञस्तद्यच्छेद् ज्ञान आत्मिन । ज्ञान-मात्मिन महति नियच्छेत्तद्यच्छेच्छान्त आत्मिन ॥ १३॥

बाह्याभ्यन्तरकरणव्यापारराहित्यप्रकारं 'अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमे ं नेति निर्दिष्टजीव-स्वरूपज्ञानप्रकारच्च दर्शयित—यच्छेद्वाङ्मनसीत्यादिना। इम मन्त्रं प्रस्तुत्येत्थं हि(१) भाष्यकृता 'हयादिरूपितानामिन्द्रियादीमां वशीकरणप्रकारोयमुच्यते । यच्छेद्वाङ्-र्मनसी—वाचं मनसि नियच्छेत् । वाक्पूर्वृकाणि कमेन्द्रियाणि ज्ञानेद्रियाणि च मनसि नियच्छेदित्यर्थः । वाक्छब्दे द्वितीयायाः 'सुपां सुस्तुगि' त्यादिना स्वकृ । मनसी इति सप्तम्याञ्छान्दसो दीर्घः। तद्यच्छेत् ज्ञान आत्मनि—तत् मनः बुद्धौ नियच्छेत् । ज्ञानशब्देनात्र पूर्वोक्ता बुद्धिरभिधीयते । ज्ञान आत्मनीति व्यधिकरणे सप्तम्यौ । आत्मनि वर्तमाने ज्ञाने नियच्छेदित्यर्थः । ज्ञानमात्मनि महति नियच्छेत्-बुद्धं कर्तरि महति आत्मिन नियच्छेत् । तद्यच्छेच्छान्त आत्मिन-तं कर्तारं परिसन् ब्रह्मणि सर्वान्तर्यामिणि नियच्छेत्। व्यत्ययेन तदिति नपुंसक-लिज्ञता । एवंभूतेन रथिना वैष्णवं पदं गन्तन्यमित्यर्थः ' इति भाषितम् । विवृतञ्च श्रुतप्रकाशिकायाम्—'वाचो मनसि नियमनं मनोननुगुणप्रवृत्तिवैमुख्यापादनम् । मनसः बुद्धौ नियमनं व्यवसायानुगुणप्रवृत्तितापादनम् । बुद्धिश्चार्थेषु हेयत्वाध्यव-सायरूपा । तस्याः बुद्धेरात्मनि नियमनं नाम स एवोपादेयतया साक्षात्कार्य इत्ये-तदर्थविषयत्वापादनम्। शान्ते स्वत ऊर्मिषट्कप्रतिभटे। शान्त आत्मिन महत आत्मनो जीवस्य नियमनं नाम तच्छेषताप्रतिपत्ति 'रिति । 'आत्मशब्दस्य पुछिङ्गत्वात् पुश्चिक्तच्छब्देन दिदेष्टव्ये छान्दसत्वाश्चिक्रव्यत्ययः दिते। ननु भाष्ये ज्ञान आत्मनीति व्यिषकरणे सप्तम्यौ, आत्मनि वर्तमाने ज्ञाने नियच्छेदित्यर्थः' इत्युक्तिरयुक्ताः; अव्यावर्तकत्वादात्मनीति विशेषणस्य । आत्मन्यवर्तमानज्ञानस्यैवाभावात् । न च ' तद्यच्छेत् ज्ञान 'इत्येतावत्युक्ते आत्मस्वरूपज्ञानभ्रान्तिसस्यात् । अतः ज्ञान आत्मनी-खुक्तमिति वक्तुं शक्यम् । तथा सति तस्या एव आन्तेः सामानाधिकरण्ययोजनया दृढीकरणप्रसङ्गात् । नह्यात्मनीत्यनेन आत्मभ्रान्तिर्व्युद्स्यते । न च आत्मनि वर्तमान इति भाष्यस्य आत्मिन विषयविषयिभावलक्षणसम्बन्धेन वर्तमान इत्यर्थः । आत्म-विषयज्ञान इति यावत् । अतो व्यावर्तकतया न वैयर्थ्यदोष इति वाच्यम् । तथासित ज्ञानमात्मिन महित नियच्छे 'दित्यस्य वैयर्थ्यापातात् । तदर्थस्य अनेनैव सिद्धे रिति चेत्—उच्यते । अयमभिषायो भाष्यकारस्य—तद्यच्छेत् ज्ञान आत्मनीत्यत्र आत्मनीति विषयसप्तमी । तचात्मविषयकज्ञानं, 'आत्मा उपादेयः तदतिरिक्ता अर्था हेया ' इत्येवंरूपम् । तचार्थेषु हेयताध्यवसायरूपा बुद्धिरिति श्रुतप्रकाशिकायां व्यक्तम् । अस्य चात्मानात्मविषयकाहेयहेयताध्यवसायरूपस्य ज्ञानस्य महत्यात्मनि नियमनं नाम स एवोपादेयतया साक्षात्कार्य इत्येतदर्थविषयत्वापादनमिति तहैव श्रुतप्रकाशिकायामुक्तत्वात् वाकयद्वयसापि सप्रयोजनतया त्वदुक्तवैयर्थ्यशङ्कानवकाश इति ॥ १३ ॥

उत्तिष्ठत जाग्रत प्राप्य वरानिबोधत । क्षुरस्य धारा निशिता दुरत्यया दुर्गं पथस्तत्कवयो वदन्ति ॥ १४ ॥

एवं वशीकरणप्रकारमुपदिश्य अधिकारिपुरुषानिभमुखीकरोति — उत्तिष्ठत जाग्रतेति । आत्मज्ञानािभमुखा भवत । अज्ञानिनद्रायाः क्षमं कुरुत । वरान् अष्ठान् आचार्यानुपसंगम्यात्मतत्त्वं निबोधत । यद्वा उपासिताद्भगवतो वा ब्रह्मिवद्भचो वा 'देवतापारमार्थ्यं च यथावद्वेत्स्यते भवानि 'त्येवंरूपान् वरान् प्राप्य ज्ञेयमात्मतत्वं निबोधत । नोदासितव्यमिति भावः । क्षुरस्येति । ज्ञानिनः तत् आत्मतत्वं दुर्गमं पंथानं वर्णयन्ति । तत् कस्य हेतोः, यत आमतत्त्वं, क्षुरस्य — आयुधिवशेष्या धारा — अत्रम्, निशिता — तीक्ष्णा, दुरत्यया — अनितक्रमणीया । तीक्ष्णक्षुरात्रे सञ्चरतः पुंसो यथा कियत्यप्यनवधाने आत्मनाशो भवति, एविमहात्मस्वरूपा-वगितदशायां स्वल्पेप्यनवधानापराधे आत्मनाशो भवतीति भावः ॥ १४ ॥

अशब्दमस्पर्शमरूपमव्ययं तथाऽरसं नित्यमगन्धवच यत्। अनाद्यनन्तं महतः परं ध्रुवं निचाय्य तं मृत्युमुखात्प्र-मुच्यते ॥ १५॥

उपसंहरति—अशब्दमस्पर्शमिति । अत्र नित्यमित्येतत् अशब्दिमत्यादौ प्रत्येकमिसंबध्यते । अशब्दत्वादिवशादेव काळवद्व्ययम् । अवयवापचयशून्य-मित्यर्थः । महत इत्यनेन 'आत्मिन महित नियच्छे 'दिति पूर्वमन्त्रनिर्दिष्टो जीवो गृह्यते । ध्रुवं—स्थिरम् । निचाय्य— हृष्ट्वा दर्शनसमानाकारोपासनेन विषयीकृत्ये-त्यर्थः । मृत्युमुखादिति । भीषणात्संसारादित्यर्थः ।। १५ ।।

नाचिकेतमुपाख्यानं मृत्युप्रोक्त श्सनातनम् । उक्त्वा श्चत्वा च मेधावी ब्रह्मलोके महीयते ॥ १६॥

उपसंहरति—नाचिकेतमिति । नचिकेतसा प्राप्तं नाचिकेतम् । मृत्युप्रोक्तं— मृत्योः प्रवक्तृत्वमेव, न स्वतन्त्रवक्तृत्वम् । अतस्सनातनम् । अपौरुषेयत्वात् प्रवाहरूपेण नित्यमित्यर्थः ॥ १६ ॥

य इदं परमं गुह्यं श्रावयेत् ब्रह्मसंसदि । प्रयतःश्राद्धकाले वा तदानन्त्याय कल्पते ॥ तदानन्त्याय कल्पत इति ॥१७॥

।। इति तृतीया वही ॥ ।। इति प्रथमोध्यायः ॥

य इदमिति । ब्रह्मसंसदि—ब्राह्मणसमाजे ॥ १७ ॥

॥ इति तृतीयवङ्घीभाष्यं समाप्तम् ॥ ॥ इति कठोपनिषदि प्रथमाध्यायभाष्यम् ॥

।। अथ द्वितीयोध्यायः चतुर्थवही ।।

हरिः ओं ।। पराश्चि खानि व्यतृणत्स्वयंभूस्तसात्पराङ्पश्यन्ति-नान्तरात्मन् । कश्चिद्धीरः प्रत्यगात्मानमैक्षदावृत्त-चक्षुरमृतत्विमच्छन् ।। १ ॥

'उतिष्ठत जाग्रते 'ति प्रोत्साहनेपि आत्मस्वरूपिवमुखान् पश्यन् शोचित—पराश्चीति । स्वानि इन्द्रियाणि । पराश्चि—परानञ्चतीति पराञ्चि, परप्रकाशकानि, न त्वात्मत्रकाशकानि । तल हेतुं वदन् शोचित—व्यतृणत्स्वयंभूः—स्वतन्त ईश्वरः इमानि स्वानि हिंसितवान् । 'तृह् हिंसाया 'मिति धातुः । यद्वा—धातृनामनेकार्थत्वात् परार्थप्रकाशकानि इन्द्रियाणि सृष्टवानित्यर्थः । तस्मात्पराङ्पश्यन्ति नान्तरात्मन्—पराङ्-पराच इति यावत् । पराग्नूपानात्मभूतान् पश्यन्ति उपल्भन्ते अन्तरात्मानं नेत्यर्थः । यद्वा—पराङ्मुखानि भूत्वा विषयानेव पश्यन्तीत्यर्थः । पराङ् पश्यतीति पाठे लोकामिप्रायमेकवचनम् । ईदृशेऽपि लोकस्वमावे नद्याः प्रतिस्रोतः प्रवृत्त इव कश्चित् पुरुषधौरेयः प्रत्यगात्मप्रवणोप्यस्तीत्याह—कश्चिद्धीर इति । प्रत्यञ्चमात्मानं पश्यतीत्यर्थः । छान्दसं परस्मैपदम् । अत एव वर्तमानार्थे लङ्कपपितिश्च । चश्चश्चाब्द इन्द्रियमात्वपरः । स्वस्वविषयव्यावृत्तेन्द्रियो मुमुश्च-रिस्थः ॥ १॥

पराचः कामाननुयन्ति बालास्ते मृत्योर्यन्ति विततस्य पाशम् । अथ धीरा अमृतत्वं विदित्वा ध्रुवमध्रवेष्विह न प्रार्थयन्ते ॥ २ ॥

पराच इति—बालाः अल्पप्रज्ञाः, बाह्यान् काम्यमानान्विषयानेवावगच्छन्ति । ते विस्तीर्णस्य संसारस्य बन्धनं यान्तीत्यर्थः । यद्वा विततस्य सर्वत्नाप्रतिहताज्ञस्य मृत्योर्मम पाशं यान्तीत्यर्थः । अथ धीरा इति । अथशब्दः प्रकृतविषयार्थान्तर-परिप्रहे । धीमन्तः प्रत्यगात्मन्येव ध्रुवममृतत्वं विदित्वा इह संसारमण्डले अध्रवेषु पदार्थेषु कमपि न प्रार्थयन्ते । प्रत्यक्तत्त्वज्ञस्य सर्वं जिहासितव्यमिति भावः । परमात्मनस्सर्वजीवगताहन्तास्पदत्वेन मुख्याहमर्थत्वात् प्रत्यक्तमस्तीति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ २ ॥

येन रूपं रसं गन्धं शब्दान् स्पर्शाश्च मैथुनान् । एतेनैव विजानाति किमत्न परिशिष्यते ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ ३ ॥

येनेति । मैथुनान् मिथुनिनिमित्तकसुखिवशेषानित्यर्थः । निश्शेषं येन एतेनैव साधनेन जानातीत्यर्थः । 'तं देवा ज्योतिषां ज्योति 'रिति रूपादिप्रकाशकाना-मिन्द्रियाणां तदनुगृहीतानामेव कार्यारम्भकत्वादिति भावः । किमत परिशिष्यते— किं तदप्रकाश्यमिति भावः । एतद्वे तत्—पूर्वं प्राप्यतया निर्दिष्टं तत्परमं पदं एतद्वे— एतदेव एतन्मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यात्मस्वरूपमेवेत्यर्थः ॥ ३ ॥

स्वमान्तं जागरितान्तश्चोभौ येनानुपञ्यति । महान्तं विभु-मात्मानं मत्वा धीरो न शोचिति ॥ ४ ॥

स्वमान्तमिति । सकलं स्वमप्रश्चं जाग्रत्पपञ्चं च मन आदीन्द्रियभावमापन्नेन येन परमात्मना लोकः पञ्चतीत्यर्थः । महान्तमित्यत्न तमिति शेषः । उक्तोऽर्थः ॥ ४॥

य इदं मध्वदं वेद आत्मानं जीवमन्तिकात्। ईशानं भूत-

य इदं मध्यदमिति । इदमिति लिङ्गव्यत्ययञ्छान्दसः । इमं मध्यदं— 'ऋतं पिबन्ता' विति निर्दिष्टं कर्मफलभोक्तारं जीवात्मानं 'गुहां प्रविष्टा' वित्युक्त-रीत्या तस्यान्तिके काल्ल्यवर्तिचिदचिदीश्वरं च यो वेद तं दुष्कृतकारिणमपि न निन्देदित्यर्थः । 'गुप्तिजिकद्भग्रसम्ति 'त्यत्न जुगुप्साशब्दः निन्दार्थेक उक्तः । 'जुगुप्साविरामप्रमादार्थाना 'मिति पञ्चमी । एतद्वै तदिति पूर्ववत् ॥ ५ ॥

> यः पूर्वं तपसो जातमद्भवः पूर्वमजायत । गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्तं यो भूतेभिर्व्यपश्यत ॥ एतद्वै तत्॥ ६॥

यः पूर्वं तपसो जातमिति ।

" अप एव ससर्जादौ तासु वीर्यमपासृजत् । तद्ण्डमभवद्भैमं सहस्रांशुसमप्रभम् ॥ तस्मिन् जज्ञे स्वयं ब्रह्मा सर्वलोकपितामहः॥"

इति स्मृत्युक्तरीत्या अद्भ्यः अपादानेभ्यः व्यष्टिस्टष्टेः पूर्वं यः अजायत, तं, तपसः-सङ्कल्पमालादेव पूर्वं जातं 'यो देवानां प्रथमं पुरस्ताद्विश्चािषको रुद्रो महर्षिः। हिरण्यगर्भं पश्यत जायमान 'मिति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या प्रथमं जातं गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्तं— हृदयगुहां प्रविश्य वर्तमानं, भूतेभिः—भूतेः देहेन्द्रियान्तःकरणादिभिरुपेतं चतुर्मुखं अयं सक्रुजगत्स्रष्टा स्यादिति कटाक्षेणेक्षतेत्यर्थः। एतद्वे तत्—उक्तोर्थः॥

या प्राणेन संभवत्यदितिर्देवतामयी। गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्ती या भूतेभिर्व्यजायत॥ एतद्वै तत्॥ ७॥

या प्राणेनेति। अयं च मन्तः 'गुहां प्रविष्टा विति स्त्रे भगवता भाष्यकृता व्याख्यातः। इत्थं (तत्रः) हि भाष्यकृता 'कर्मफलान्यतीति अदितिः जीव
उच्यते। प्राणेन संभवति—प्राणेन सह वर्तते। देवतामयी—इन्द्रियाधीनभोगा।
गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्ती—हृद्यपुण्डरीककुहरवर्तिनी। भृतेभिर्व्यजायत—पृथिव्यादिभिर्भृतैः सहिता देवादिरूपेण विविधाजायते दिति भाषितम्। एतद्वे तत्—
तत् तदात्मकमित्यर्थः। अत्रेव प्रकरणे 'ब्रह्मजज्ञं देवमीड्यं विदित्वे त्यत् देवमित्यस्य परमात्मात्मकमिति व्याख्यातत्वात् 'क्षेत्रज्ञं चापि मां विद्धां ति एतदुपच्चंहणगीतावचनेऽपि मां मदात्मकमिति भाष्यकृतेव व्याख्यातत्वात् अपृथक्सिद्धविशेषणवाचिशब्दस्य विशेष्य इवापृथक्सिद्धविशेष्यवाचिशब्दस्यापि विशेषणे निरूद्धत्वात्
तत्तदात्मकमित्यर्थो युक्त इति द्रष्टव्यम्॥ ७॥

अरण्योर्निहितो जातवेदा गर्भ इव सुभृतो गर्भिणीभिः। दिवेदिव ईडचो जागृवद्भिहिविष्मद्भिमनुष्येभिरग्निः॥ एतद्वै तत्॥ ८॥

अरण्योर्निहितो जातवेदा इति । अरण्योः अधरोत्तरारण्योः स्थितोऽिमः गिर्मिणीिमः पानमोजनािदना सुभृतो गर्भ इव निहित इति पूर्विणान्वयः । दिवेदिवे— अहन्यहिन, जागृविद्धः— जागरणशिष्टेः अप्रमत्तेः, हिविष्मिद्धः— आज्यादि-हिवःप्रदानप्रवृत्तैः ऋत्विग्मः स्तुत्यः, अमः अप्रनेता अरण्योनिहित इति योजना । एतद्वे तत्—एतत् अभिस्वरूपं तत्—पूर्वोक्तब्रह्मात्मकिमत्यर्थः ॥ ८,॥

यतश्रोदेति सर्यो अस्तं यत्न च गच्छति । तं देवास्सर्वेऽ पितास्तदु नात्येति कश्रन ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ ९ ॥

यतश्रोदेतीति । यसात् ब्रह्मणस्सकाशात् सूर्य उदेति यत च लयमेति, तं देवास्सर्वे अपिताः—देवास्सर्वे तिसान्नात्मिन प्रतिष्ठिता इत्यर्थः । तदु नात्येति कश्चन—इत्यवधारणे । तत् सर्वात्मंकं ब्रह्म कोऽपि नातिक्रमते (१) । छायावदन्तर्या-मिणो दुर्लंघत्वादिति भावः । एतद्वे तत्—उक्तोर्थः ॥ २ ॥

यदेवेह तदुमुत्र यदमुत्र तदन्विह। मृत्योस्स मृत्युमामोति य इह नानेव पश्यति ॥ १०॥

ननु परमात्मनः सर्वात्मत्वं न संभवति । अहमित्यहन्ताश्रयत्वेनानुसन्धीयमानो ह्यात्मा । स चाहमिहैवास्मीति देशान्तरव्यावृत्ततयाऽनुसन्धीयते । तस्य सर्वदेश-कालवर्तिसर्वपदार्थात्ममृत्वं कथिमत्याशङ्कयाह—यदेवह तद्मुत यद्मुत तद्विह्—यदेव परमात्मतत्त्वं इह अत्र लोके अहमित्यनुसन्धीयमानतया आत्मभृतं, तदेव लोकान्तरस्थानामपि आत्मभृतमित्यर्थः । ततश्च आत्मभेदो नास्तीत्यर्थः । अयमभिप्रायः । कि परमात्मतत्त्वविदामहिमिहैवेति प्रतीतिस्सर्वदेशकालवर्तिपदार्थात्म-त्ववाधकतयोपन्यस्यते । उत तद्वहितानाम् । नाद्यः । तेषामहिमिहैवेत्यादिप्रतीते-रेवाभावात् । प्रत्युत 'अहं मनुरभवं सूर्यश्चे 'ति सर्ववस्तुवर्तितयैवानुभवात् । न द्वितीयः । अतत्त्वविदामहंप्रतीतेर्जीवमात्रविषयत्वेन तत्र देशान्तरव्यावृत्तत्वप्रतीतेः तदानीमप्रतीतपरमात्मिन सर्वदेशवर्तिपदार्थात्मत्वविरोधित्वाभावादिति । मृत्योस्स

मृत्युमामोति य इह नानेव पश्यति—इह परमात्मिन भेदमिव यः पश्यति स तु संसारात्संसारं प्रामोतीत्यर्थः ॥ १०॥

मनसैवेदमाप्तव्यं नेह नानास्ति किश्चन । मृत्योस्स मृत्युं गच्छति य इह नानेव पश्यति ॥ ११ ॥

नन्वसाकं सर्वात्मभूतं परमात्मतत्त्वं कुतो लभ्यत इत्यलाह—मनसैवेदमाप्तव्य-मिति । इदं आत्मस्वरूपं विशुद्धमनोग्राद्यमित्यर्थः । उक्तमेवार्थं दढीकरणायाभ्यस्यति —नेह नानास्तीत्यादि । स्पष्टोर्थः ॥ ११ ॥

अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषो मध्य आत्मिन तिष्ठति । ईशानो भृतभव्यस्य न ततो विजुगुप्सते ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ १२॥

अङ्ग्रष्टमात इति । ईशानो भृतभव्यस्य—कालत्रयवर्तिनिखिलचेतनाचेत-नेश्वरः पुरुषः, मध्य आत्मनि--उपासकशरीरमध्ये, अङ्गुष्ठपरिमाणस्सन्नास्ते। न ततो विजुगुप्सते—ततः मृतभव्येश्वरत्वादेव वात्सल्यातिशयादेहगतानपि दोषान् भोग्यतया पश्यतीत्यर्थः । 'ननु प्राणाधिपस्संचरति स्वकर्मभिरङ्गुष्ठमात्रो रवितुल्यरूपः' 'अङ्गुष्ठमात्रं पुरुषं निश्चकषे यमो बलात् ' इत्यादिश्रुतिस्मृतिषु अङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वेन प्रति-पादितस्य जीवस्यैवास्मिनमन्त्रे प्रतिपादनं किं न स्थात् । न च न तस्य भूतभव्येशा-नत्वादीति वाच्यम् । प्रथमश्रुतजीवलिङ्गानुरोधेन चरमश्रुतभृतभव्येशानत्वस्य आपेक्षि-कतया योजयितुं शकचत्वादिति चेन्न । 'शब्दादेव प्रमितः' इत्यिधकरणे एवमेव पूर्वपक्षं कृत्वा ' हृदयावच्छेदनिबन्धनाङ्ग्ष्ठपरिमाणस्य परमात्मन्यपि संभवात् 'अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषोऽङ्गुष्ठं च समाश्रितः ' इति तैत्तिरीयके 'अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषोन्तरात्मा सदा जनानां हृदये सिन्नविष्टः इति श्वेताश्वतरे चाङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वस्य परमात्मन्यपि श्रवणात् असङ्कुचितभूतभव्येशितृत्वस्य अनन्यथासिद्धब्रह्मलिङ्गत्वादयं मन्तः परमात्म-पर एवे 'ति सिद्धान्तितत्वात् । यत्त्वत्र कैश्चिदुच्यते — अङ्गष्ठमात्रत्वं जीविलङ्गमेव। अथापि 'अङ्गुष्ठमातः पुरुषो मध्य आत्मनि तिष्ठती 'ति पूर्वा धेन जीवमनूद्य ईशानो भूतभव्यस्येत्यनेन परमात्मभावो विधीयत-इति । तदसमञ्जसम् । तथाहि सति परमात्मन्यङ्गृष्ठमात्रत्वसंभावनाप्रदर्शकस्य, 'ह्यपेक्षया तु मनुष्याधिकारत्वा' दिति सूतस्यासङ्गतिप्रसङ्गात् । ननु नास्मिन्मन्त्रे जीवानुवादेन ब्रह्मभावो विधीयते.

आराग्रमात्रतया प्रतिपन्नस्य जीवस्य अङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वे प्रमाणाभावादिति तटस्थशङ्कापिरहारार्थं जीवस्याङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वसाधनाय प्रवृत्तमिदं सूत्रमिति चेत्—तथाश्रयणस्य
क्षिष्ठस्वात् । ननु 'ईश्वररुवि ईशान 'इति निघण्टुपाठेन ईशानशब्दस्य देवताविशेषे रूढत्वात्, 'शब्दादेव प्रमित' इति सूत्रे 'ईशानो मृत्मन्यस्ये 'ति शब्दादेव ।
न च मृत्मन्यस्य सर्वस्येशितृत्वं कर्मवश्यस्य जीवस्योपपद्यत्, इति भाष्यं न्याकुर्विद्धव्यासार्थैः 'ईशानशब्दस्येव शब्दशब्देन विवक्षितत्वात् ' 'नात्न लिङ्गान्निणयः । किन्त्वीश्वरवाचिशब्दादेवेत्येवकाराभिप्राय ' इति व्याख्यातत्वात् ईशानशब्दस्य श्रुतित्वाभ्युपगमात् तयेव चेशानशब्दश्रुत्या जीवव्यावृत्तिवदेव नारायणस्यापि व्यावर्तितत्वेन रद्धपरत्वमेव स्यादिति चेन्न । योगरूदिमतः पदस्य सिन्नधाववयवार्थिवशेषकपदान्तरसिन्नधाने रूढयनुनमेषस्य 'पद्मानि यस्यायसरोरुहाणि प्रवोधयत्यूर्व्वमुखैर्मयूर्वे '
रित्यादिषु दर्शनात् । तत्न हि सरोरुहपदावयवार्थसरोवशेषकात्रपदोपादानेन सरोरुहपदस्वदिभङ्गस्य दर्शनात् । इतरथा पद्मानीति पदानुपादानापत्तेः । अत ईशानशब्दस्य
न श्रुतित्वम् । एतदस्वरसादेव व्यासार्थेरिप यथाश्रुतभाष्यानुगुप्येन यद्वेति पक्षान्तरस्य
आश्रितत्वादित्यस्यमित चर्चया । प्रकृतमनुसरामः । एतद्वे तत्—उक्तोऽर्थः ॥ १२॥

अष्ठङ्गुष्ठमातः पुरुषो ज्योतिरिवाधूमकः । ईशानो भूत-भन्यस्य स एवाद्य स उ धः ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ १३ ॥

अङ्ग्रिमातः पुरुषो ज्योतिरिवाधूमक इति । शुष्केन्धनानलवत् प्रकाशमान इत्यर्थः । स एवाद्य स उ श्वः—अद्यतनपदार्थजातं श्वस्तनपदार्थजातं कालतय-वर्तिपदार्थजातमपि तदात्मकमित्यर्थः । एतद्वै तत्—पूर्ववत् ॥ १३ ॥

यथोदकं दुर्गे वृष्टं पर्वतेषु विधावति । एवं धर्मान्पृथकपत्रयं-स्तानेवानुविधावति ॥ १४॥

यथोदक्रमिति । पर्वतम् क्षिं वृष्टं प्रत्यन्तपर्वतेषु नानाम् ततया पतित्वा पतित्वा धावित । एवं परमात्मगतदेवान्तर्यामित्वमनुष्यान्तर्यामित्वादिधर्मान् पृथगधिकरण-निष्ठान् पश्यन् पर्वतिनिर्श्वरपातमनुक्कत्य संसारकुहरे पततीत्यर्थः ॥ १४॥

यथोदकं शुद्धे शुद्धमासिक्तं ताहगेव भवति । एवं मुने-विजानत आत्मा भवति गौतम ॥ १५॥ ॥ इति चतुर्थवही समाप्ता॥

सर्वत्रैकात्मत्वज्ञानस्य फलमाह—यथोदकिमिति। यथा शुद्धजले शुद्धजलं योजितं तत्सदशमेव भवति न ऋथिद्यदिपि विसदशं एवं—इत्थं विज्ञानतः मनन-शिलस्य आत्मापि परमात्मज्ञानेन विशुद्धस्सन् विशुद्धेन परमात्मना समानो भवतीत्यर्थः। गौतमेति प्राप्यवैभवं सृचयम् सहर्षं संबोधयित ॥ १५॥

॥ इति कठोपानेपादि चतुर्थवङीभाष्यम् ॥

॥ अथ पञ्चमवही ॥

हरिः ओं ।। पुरमेकादशद्वारमजस्यावक्रचेतसः । अनुष्ठाय न शोचित विमुक्तश्च विमुच्यते ।। एतद्वै तत् ।। १ ॥

पुरमित । जननादिविक्रियारहितस्य ऋजुबुद्धेविकेन आत्मनः एकादशेनिद्गयरुक्षणबहिनिर्गमद्वारोपेतं शरीराख्यं पुरं भवति । पुरस्वामिनः यथा पुरं विविक्तं भवति, तथा शरीरमपि स्वात्मनो विविच्य ज्ञातं भवति । अविवेकिनस्तु देह आत्मेव भवतीति भावः । अनुष्ठाय न शोचिति—विवच्य जानन् देहानुबंधिमिः दुःखैः कामादिभिश्च विमुक्तो भवतीत्यर्थः । विमुक्तश्च विमुच्यते—
जीवद्शायामाध्यात्मिकादिदुःखरागद्वेषादिविमुक्त एव सन् 'भोगेन त्वितरे क्षपियत्वाथ सम्पद्यते ' इति न्यायेन प्रारव्धकर्मावसाने अचिरादिना विरज्ञां प्राप्य प्रकृतिसम्बन्धविमुक्तो भवतीत्यर्थः । एतद्वै तत्—एतन्मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यमुक्तस्वरूपमपि परमात्मात्मकमेवेत्यर्थः ॥ १ ॥

ह ५ सर्ग्याचिषद्वस्न १ ति । विद्या चिष्ट्रीणसत्। वृद्यस्म हतसद्व्यो मसद्व्जा गोजा ऋतजा अद्रिजा ऋतं वृद्द्या । २ ।।

पुनरप्यस्य सर्वात्मतामेव द्रवयित—हँसदशुचिषदिति । हंसः सूर्यः शुचौ व्रीप्मतौं सीदित वर्तत इति शुचिषत्—तेजस्वीति यावत् । वासयतीति वसुः वायुः अन्तरिक्षसत् अन्तरिक्षगतो वायुः । होता वेदिषत्—वेद्यन्तर्विद्यमान ऋति-विदोषोऽिर्मिर्वा । अतिथिदुरोणसत्—दुरोणं—गृहं, गृहागतोऽिथितिः । नृषत्—नृष्वात्मतया वर्तमानं, वरसत्—वरेषु देवेषु च तथा वर्तमानं, ऋते सत्यलोके सीदतीति ऋतसत् । व्योभसत्—व्योम्नि परमपदे वर्तमानं च प्रत्यक्तत्त्वम् ; अब्जाः—जलजाः, गोजाः—म्जाः, ऋतजाः— यज्ञोत्पन्नाः कर्मफलभ्ताश्च स्वर्गादय इति यावत् । यद्वा चिरकालस्थायितया ऋतशब्दताकाशजा इत्यर्थः । अदिजाः—पर्वतजाः, एतत्सर्वं चृहत् ऋतम्—अपरिच्छिन्नसत्यरूपन्नह्यात्मक-मित्यर्थः ॥ २ ॥

ऊर्ध्व प्राणमुन्नयत्यपानं प्रत्यगस्यति । मध्ये वामनः मासीनं विश्वे देवा उपासते ॥ ३॥

ऊर्ध्व प्राणिमिति । सर्वेषां हृदयगतः परमात्मा प्राणवायुम्ध्वमुखमुक्तमयति । अपानवायुमधोमुखं क्षिपित । मध्ये हृदयपुण्डरीकमध्ये आसीनं वामनम् वननीयं, भजनीयं, अथवा हृदयपुण्डरीकपरिमिततया हृस्वपरिमाणिमत्यर्थः । तं विश्वे देवाः स्वपृष्टराक्ष्मयः सर्वेपि उपासत इत्यर्थः ॥ ३॥

अस्य विस्नंसमानस्य शरीरस्थस्य देहिनः। देहाद्विमुच्यमानस्य किमत परिशिष्यते॥ एतद्वै तत्॥ ४॥

एवं परमात्मानमुपासीनस्य 'तस्य तावदेव चिरं यावन्न विमोक्ष्ये' इति श्रुखुक्त-रीत्या शरीरपात एवान्तरायः, न किंचित्कर्तव्यं परिशिष्यत इत्याह—अस्य विस्नं-समानस्येति । अस्य उपासकस्य देहिनः, शरीरस्थस्य शरीरप्रतिष्ठितस्य दृदशरी-रस्येति यावत् । एवं भृतस्य वा, विस्नंसमानस्य—शिथिलीभवद्गात्रस्य वा देहाद्विमुच्यमानस्य—कृतकृत्यत्वात् कर्तव्यं किमपि नावशिष्यत इति भावः । एतद्वे तत्—पूर्ववत् ॥ ४ ॥

न प्राणेन नापानेन मत्यों जीवति कथन। इतरेण तु जीवन्ति यस्मिन्नेतावुपाश्रितौ ॥ ५ ॥

तस्य सर्वप्राणिप्राणनहेतुत्वरूपं महिमानमाह—न—प्राणनेति । केनेतरेण जीवन्तीत्यत्नाह—यसिनेतावुपाश्रितौ । यदधीनं प्राणापानयोरिप जीवनं तद-धीनमेव सर्वेषां जीवनिमिति भावः । स्पष्टमन्यत् ॥ ५ ॥

हन्त त इदं प्रवक्ष्यामि गुह्यं ब्रह्म सनातनम् । यथा च मरणं प्राप्य आत्मा भवति गौतम ॥ ६ ॥

हन्त त इदमिति । गुह्यं — अतिरहस्यं सनातनं ब्रह्म ते पुनरिप प्रवक्ष्यामि । हन्तेति स्वगतम् आश्चर्ये । हे गौतम आत्मा मरणं मोक्षं प्राप्य यथा यत्प्रकार-विशिष्टो भवति तथा पुनरिप मुमुक्षवे रागाचनुपहताय उपदेशयोग्याय तुभ्यं वक्ष्यामीत्यर्थः ॥ ६ ॥

योनिमन्ये प्रपद्यन्ते शरीरत्वाय देहिनः। स्थाणुमन्येऽनुसंयन्ति यथाकर्म यथाश्रुतम्।। ७।।

अधिकारिविशेषितिर्देशपरेण "हन्त त" इत्यनेन सूचितमर्थं विवृणोति — योनिमिति । अन्ये परमात्मतत्त्वश्रवणिवमुखाः त्वद्विसदृशाः शरीरपरिग्रहाय ब्राह्मणादियोनि प्रपद्यन्ते । अन्ये स्थावरभावमनुगच्छन्ति । स्वानुष्ठितयज्ञादिकर्मो-पासनागितक्रमेण "रमणीयचरणाः" "तं विद्याकर्मणी समन्वारमेते" इत्यादि-श्रुत्यनुरोधादिति भावः ॥ ७॥

य एष सुप्तेषु जागातिं कामंकामं पुरुषो निर्मिमाणः।
तदेव शुक्रं तद्वस तदेवामृतमुच्यते।।
तस्मिन् लोकाः श्रितास्सर्वे तदु नात्येति कश्चन।
एतद्वे तत्।। ८।।

एवं शिष्यं प्ररोचनयाभिमुखीकृत्य प्रकृतमनुसर्गत—य एष सुप्तेषु जागतीति। सर्वेषु सुप्तेषु जीवेषु कामंकामं णमुलन्तिमदम्। सङ्गरूप्य सङ्गरूप्येत्यर्थः। न तु "सर्वान् कामान् छन्दतः प्रार्थयस्वे"ति प्रकृताः पुलादयः कामशब्देन निर्दिश्यन्ते। अयं चार्थः सन्ध्याधिकरणभाष्यश्रुतप्रकाशिकयोः स्पष्टः। सङ्गरूप्य स्वच्छन्दानुरोधेन निर्मिमाणः पुरुषो योऽस्ति तदेव शुक्रं—प्रकाशकं तदेवानन्याधीन-

एको चर्गिति । एकः समाभ्यधिकरहितः । वशः इच्छा सोऽस्यास्तीति वशी । जगद्वशे वर्तत ' इत्युक्तरीत्या वशविंपपञ्चक इति वाऽर्थः । एकं बीजं 'तमःपरे देव एकी भनती ' ति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या स्वेन एकी भृताविभागावस्थं तमो लक्षणं बीजं महदादि बहु विधपपञ्च रूपेण यः करोति तं य आत्मिन तिष्ठ नित्युक्तरीत्या स्वान्तर्या-मिणं ये पश्यन्ति तेषामेव मुक्तिरित्यर्थः ॥ १२ ॥

नित्यो नित्यानां चेतनश्चेतनानामेको बहुनां यो विद्धाति कामान् । तमात्मस्थं येऽनु पश्यन्ति धीरास्तेषां शान्तिः शाश्वती नेतरेपाम् ॥ १३॥

नित्यो नित्यानामिति । नित्यश्चेतन एक एव सन् बहूनां नित्यानां चेतना -नामपेक्षितार्थान् अनायासेन प्रयच्छति । शिष्टं स्पष्टम् ॥ १३ ॥

> तदेतिदिति मन्यन्ते निर्देश्यं परमं सुखम् । कथन्तु ति द्वजा-नीयां किम्र भाति विभाति वा ॥ १४ ॥

एवमुक्तिक्शिष्य आह---तदेतिदितीति। तत्—अलैकिकं परमात्मानमान-द-रूपं ब्रह्म एतिदिति—करतलामलकवत् अपरोक्षं भवाद्याः निष्पन्नयोगा मन्यन्ते। भवाद्यास्साक्षात्कर्तुं शक्नुवन्तीत्यर्थः। कथं रूपादिहीनं ब्रह्म ग्रहणासमर्थमानसोऽहं विजानीयाम्। तत् किंदीप्तिमत्तया आसते। तलापि विस्पष्टं प्रकाशते। उत तेजोन्तर-संवलनान्न विस्पष्टं प्रकाशते इति प्रश्नः॥ १४॥

न तत्न सूर्यो भाति न चन्द्रतारकं नेमा विद्युतो भान्ति कुतोऽयमग्निः । तमेव भान्तमनुभाति सर्व तस्य भासा सर्विमिदं विभाति ॥ १५॥

॥ इति पञ्चमवल्ली ॥

परमात्मनः योगयुगालम्बनाय 'आदित्यवर्णं तमसः परस्तात् ' 'सदैकरूपरूपाये ' ति प्रमाणप्रतिपन्नशुभाश्रयदिन्यमङ्गलिव्यहोऽस्ति, तिद्विशिष्टः परमात्मा विभाति सर्वातिशायिदीप्तिमानित्याह— न तत्र सूर्यो भातीति । अयं च मन्तः

'ज्योतिर्दर्शना ' दिति सूत्रे 'सर्वतेजसां छादकं सर्वतेजसां कारणभूतमनुम्राहकं च अङ्गुष्ठप्रमितस्य ज्योतिर्दृश्यत ं इति भाष्येण विवृतः । इदं च भाष्यं-- 'न तत्र सूर्य इत्यादिमन्त्र पूर्वार्थस्यार्थमाह—सर्वतेजसां छादकमिति । उत्तरार्थस्य पूर्वपादार्थ-माह—सर्वतेजसां कारणभूतिमिति । अनुभानं पश्चद्भानम् । तेन कार्यकारणभावः सिद्धः। पौर्वापर्यनियमो हि कार्यकारणभाव इति भावः। चतुर्थपादार्थमाह— अनुग्राहकमिति। 'यस्यादित्यो भामुपयुज्य भाती 'त्यादिश्रुतिश्चानुग्राहकत्वे प्रमाण-' मिति व्यासार्थेर्विवृतम् । तदीयदीप्तिसाक्षात्कारसंभवे तेजोन्तराणामभिभूतत्वं प्रथमा-र्घार्थः । तेजोन्तरोत्पत्तौ तदुपादानद्रव्यानुत्राहकत्वरूपं निमित्तत्वं तृतीयपादार्थः चाक्षुषरञ्ग्यनुग्राहकचन्द्रातपादेरिव उत्पन्नस्यापि तेजसः स्वसंबंधेन स्वकार्यकरण-सामर्थ्याधायकत्वलक्षणानुमाहकत्वं चतुर्थपादार्थ इत्यप्यर्थस्तेत्रेव द्रष्टव्यः । अधिष्ठान-ब्रह्मरूपभानव्यतिरिक्तभानशून्यत्वमध्यस्तप्रपञ्चस्य तृतीयपादार्थ इति यत्परेरुच्यते तद्युक्तम् । तथाहि सति भान्तमिति कर्त्रर्थशतृप्रत्ययस्य 'शिष्यज्ञानं प्रकाशत ' इतिवद्भेदेपि कथिञ्चत्संभवेपि अनुभातीत्यस्य शब्दस्यायोगात्। न हि देवदत्त-गमनिक्रयाव्यतिरिक्तगमनिक्रयाशून्ये तिष्ठति यज्ञद्ते, गच्छन्तं देवद्तं यज्ञद्तोऽनु-गच्छतीति प्रयोगो दृष्टचरः । ननु विह्नमेव दहन्तमयोऽनुदहतीति प्रयोगो दृष्टचर इति चेत्-न । अयसः पृथक् दुग्धृत्वाभावं निश्चितवतः तत्प्रतिपिपाद्यिषया तादश-प्रयोगस्य सम्प्रतिपन्नत्वाभावात् । ननु तदीयदीप्तिसाक्षात्कारसंभवे तेजोन्तराणामभि-भूतत्वमिति भवदभिमतार्थोपि न युज्यते, तदीयदीप्तिसाक्षात्कारवतामपि मुक्तानां तेजोन्तरसाक्षात्कारदर्शनेन सजातीयसंवलनाधीनाग्रहणलक्षणाभिभवस्याभावादिति चेदुच्यते । बद्धविषयमेवैतत् । बद्धानां तत्साक्षात्काराप्रसक्तेरिदं कथमिति चेन्न। बद्धानामेवार्जुनादीनां तत्साक्षात्कारदर्शनात् । यद्वा कालिदासकवौ परिगण्यमाने इतरः कुकविरकविरितिवत् भाति ब्रह्मणि परिगण्यमाने सूर्यादितेजोन्तरं न भाति । अतस्तदेव ब्रह्म अतिभास्वररूपशालीति पूर्वीधीर्थः । 'तदीयदीप्तिसाक्षात्कारसंभवे तेजोन्तराणामभिभूतत्व ' मिति व्यासार्यवचनस्याप्ययमेवार्थः । इममेवार्थं इतरतेजसां स्वरूपोत्पत्तौ फलजनने च परमात्मानुग्रहसापेक्षत्वप्रदर्शकेन तमेव भान्तमित्युत्त-राधेन द्रढयतीति न दोष इत्यवगन्तव्यम् । यद्वा पूर्वार्धस्य यथाश्रुत एवार्थः । नन्वतिभास्वररूपवति सूर्यादौ प्रत्यक्षेणानुभूयमाने न भातीति प्रत्यक्षविरुद्धं कथमभि- धीयत इत्यत्राह — तमेव भान्तमनुभातीति । इदं च परिदृश्यमानं भास्वररूपं न स्वाभाविकं, अपितु परमात्मदत्तं तदीयमेव तेजः । गीतं च भगवता 'यदादित्यगतं तेजो जगद्भासयतेऽिख्लस् । यच्चन्द्रमिस यच्चाभौ तत्तेजो विद्धि मामक 'मिति । विवृतं चैतद्भगवता भाष्यकृता— 'अखिलस्य जगतो भासकमेतेषामादित्यादीनां यत्तेजः तन्मदीयं तेजः । तैस्तैराराधितेन मया तेभ्यो दत्तमिति विद्धि ' इति । अतः अन्धकारे खद्योततुल्यानामेतेषां भास्वररूपशालिनां न भातीति व्यपदेशो युज्यत इति भावः ॥ १५ ॥

॥ इति कठोपनिषादि पञ्चमवल्लीभाष्यम् ॥

।। अथ षष्ठवही ।।

हिरः ओं ।। ऊर्ध्वमूलो अवाक्शाख एषोश्वत्थः सनातनः । तदेव शुक्रं तद्रह्म तदेवामृतमुच्यते ॥ तिसन् लोकाः श्रिताः सर्वे तदु नात्येति कश्चन । एतद्वे तत् ॥ १ ॥

उद्यम्लो अवाक्शास्त्र एषोश्वत्थः सनातनः—अयं च मन्त्रखण्डः ' ऊर्ध्वम्लमध्यशाखिमिति गीताव्याख्यानावसरे भगवता भाष्यकृता व्याख्यातः । इत्थं हि तत्र भाष्यम् । 'यं संसाराख्यमध्यथम्ध्यभूष्वम्लमध्यशाखमव्ययमध्यथं प्राहुः श्रुतयः उद्यम्लो अवाक्शाख एषोश्वयः सनातनः, ' ऊर्ध्वम्लमवाक्शाखं वृक्षं यो वेद सम्प्रति ' इत्याद्याः । सप्तलोकोपि निविष्टचतुर्मुखस्यादित्वेन तस्योध्व-म्लत्वम् । पृथिवीनिवासिसकलनरपशुमृगिकिमिकीटपतङ्गस्थावरान्ततया अध्यशास्त्रति । तिवृल्क्षणमेव ब्रह्मेति दर्शयति—तदेव शुक्रमिति । पूर्वमेव व्याख्यातोऽयं मन्तः ॥ १ ॥

यदिदं किंच जगत्सर्वं प्राण एजति निस्सृतम्। महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतं य एतद्विदुरमृतास्ते भवन्ति ॥ २॥

य इदं किंच जगत्सवें प्राण एजति निस्सृतेभ् महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतं— अयं च मन्त्रखण्डः 'कम्पना' दिति सूत्रे भगवता भाष्यकृता व्याख्यातः । तलामुं ' मन्त्रं प्रस्तुत्य 'कृत्स्वस्य जगतः अस्मिन्नङ्गृष्ठमात्रे पुरुषे प्राणशब्दनिर्दिष्टे स्थितानां सर्वेषां ततो निस्सृतानां तसात्संजातमहाभयनिमित्तमेजनं कम्पनं श्रूयते । तच्छास-नातिवृत्ती किं भविप्यतीति महतो भयात् वज्रादिवोद्यतात् कृत्सं जगत्कम्पत इत्यर्थः। 'भयाद्स्याभिस्तपती ' त्यनेनैकार्थ्यात् । महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतमिति पञ्चम्यर्थे प्रथमे 'ति भाषितम् । विवृतं चैतत् श्रुतप्रकाशिकायाम् 'प्राण इति सप्तम्यन्तपदसामर्थात् स्थितानामित्यध्याहारः । कुतो निस्सृतानामित्यपेक्षायां प्रकृतस्यैवापादनत्वमाह—तत इति । एजनं कम्पनमिति । एज कम्पन इति हि धातुः । प्रत्यवायभयात् स्वस्वकार्येषु प्रवृत्तिः कम्पनम् । उद्यतवज्रादिव परमपुरुषात्सञ्जातेन भयेन कृत्सं जगत् कम्पत इत्यर्थ, इति । अत्र महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतमिति चत्वारि पदानि पञ्चम्यर्थप्रथमान्तानि । आद्यं पञ्चम्यर्थप्रथमान्तपद्रद्वयं भयवाचि । उत्तरं तु पदद्वयं तद्धेतुभूतप्राणशिंदत-परब्रह्मपरमिति द्रष्टव्यत् । केचित् विसेत्यसमादिति भयम् भयानकमित्यर्थः । महा-भयानकोद्यतवज्रवत् स्वसान्निस्सृतं प्राणशब्दितपरमात्मा कम्पयति । एजतीत्यस्य ण्यन्तगर्भोप्यर्थ इत्यपि अमुमप्यर्थं वर्णयन्ति । य एतद्विदुरमृतास्ते भवन्ति – स्पष्टोऽर्थः । 'अत एव प्राण ' इत्यधिकरणन्यायात् प्राण शब्दस्य परमातमपरत्वे न विवाद इति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ २ ॥

> भयादस्याग्निस्तपति भयात्तपति सूर्यः । भयादिन्द्रश्च वायुश्च मृत्युर्घावति पश्चमः ॥ ३॥

भयादस्याभिरिति। धावतिशब्दः इन्द्रादीनां स्वव्यापारप्रवृत्तिपरः। शिष्टं स्पष्टम् ॥ ३ ॥

इह चेदशकद्वोध्दुं प्राक् शरीरस्य विस्नसः। ततस्सर्गेषु लोकेषु शरीरत्वाय कल्पते ॥ ४॥

इहचेदिति । शरीरस्य विस्नसः विसंसनात् पतनात्प्रागिह लोके ब्रह्म बोद्धं अशकचेत् अशक्तुवन् चेत् विकरणव्यत्ययः छान्दसः । तेतः—तसात् ज्ञाना- भावद्धेतोः सुज्यमानसर्वछोकेषु जन्मजरामरगादिमस्दलक्षणशीर्यमागत्वाय भवतीत्वर्थः। तसात् शरीरपातात्पागेव आत्मज्ञानाय यतेतेति भावः॥ ४॥

यथादर्शे तथात्मिन यथा स्वभे तथा पितृलोके। यथाप्सु परीव दृहशे तथा गन्धर्वलोके छायातपयोरिव ब्रक्कलोके॥५॥

आतमनो दुर्बोधत्वमेवाह — यथेति । यथा द्रेश चिन्द्रकाया अभावात न स्पष्टः प्रतिमासः तथा इह लोके आत्मनीत्यर्थः । यहा—यथा आद्रशे—द्र्भणे प्रतीयमानं वस्तु साक्षादृष्टवस्तुवत् प्रत्यङ्मुखत्वादिकितार्थानवरुद्धतया नोपलम्यते, तथेहात्मविषयिणी प्रतीतिरित्यर्थः । लोकान्तरेपि तथेत्याह — यथा स्वभे तथा पितृलोके । यथा स्वभदर्शनस्य जाप्रदर्शनवत् सम्यक्तया संशयादिविरोधितया पुनरनु-सम्धानयोग्यत्वाभावः तथा पितृलोक इत्यर्थः । यथाप्यु परीव दृष्टशं तथा गन्धर्व लोके । यथा जलान्तस्थवस्तुनो नेतरवत् स्वप्यक्षशाः, तद्वत् परिदृदशं इव न वस्तुतः परितो हत्थत इत्यर्थः । गन्धर्वलोकप्यापाततः प्रतीतिमात्रमित्यर्थः । क्राया-तप्योरिव ब्रह्मलोके—यथा लायातप्योर्मिश्रणे शुद्धातपवर्तिपदार्थवन्नोपलम्भः । एवं ब्रह्मलोकेपि न सम्यगुपलम्भः । अतो दुर्धिगममात्मतत्त्वमिति भावः । यद्वा— ब्रह्मलोके यद्यपि लायान् देविन्द्योद्ध्यनद्धान्द्धन्वद्धान्त्यन्वद्धान्त्यार्थित्वन्द्रापलम्भ-स्संभवति, तथापि नात्रत्यानामात्मतत्त्वं सुलभमिति भावः ॥ ५ ॥

इन्द्रियाणां पृथग्भावमुद्यास्तमयौ च यत् । पृथगुत्पद्य-मानानां मत्वा धीरो न शोचिति ॥ ६॥

इन्द्रियाणाभिति । पृथग्म्तानामुत्पद्यमानानाभिन्द्रियाणाम् । इन्द्रियाणामित्ये-तद्देहादीनामप्युपलक्षणम् । उद्यास्तमयौ च यत्—यदित्यव्ययं यावित्यर्थे । यौ उत्पादविनाशौ यश्च परम्परवैलक्षण्यलक्षणपृथग्भावश्च तान् सर्वान् इन्द्रियादि-गतान्मत्वा धीरो न शोचतीत्यर्थः । परम्परवैलक्षण्योत्पादविनाशाः ज्ञानैकाकारे नित्ये आत्मनि न सन्तीति ज्ञात्वा न शोचतीत्यर्थः ॥ ६ ॥

> इन्द्रियेभ्यः परं मनो मनतस्तरद्युतनः । सत्याद्धि महानात्मा महतोऽच्यक्तं मुत्तमम् ॥ ७॥

अन्यक्तातु परः पुरुषो न्यापकोऽलिङ्ग एव च । यत् ज्ञात्वा मुच्यते जन्तुरमृतत्वं च गच्छति ॥ ८॥

देहिविविक्तप्रत्यगात्मयाथात्म्यज्ञानेऽपि भगवच्छरणागितरेवोपाय इति पूर्वोक्तं शरणवरणमेव प्रतिपादयति— इन्द्रियेभ्य परिमत्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन । इन्द्रियेभ्य इत्येतदर्थानामप्युपलक्षणम् । 'इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा ह्यर्था अर्थेभ्यश्च परं मन ' इत्यनेन्वेन्त्रार्थात् । सत्त्वशब्दो बुद्धिपरः । 'मनसस्तु परा बुद्धि रिति पूर्वोक्तेः । अलिङ्गः—लिङ्गागम्यः । परत्वं च वशीकार्यतायां विविक्षितम् । परस्य च वशीकरणं शरणागितरेव । शिष्टं स्पष्टम् ॥ ७ ॥ ८ ॥

न सन्हशे तिष्ठति रूपभस्य न चक्षुषा पश्यित कश्रनैनम्। हदा मनीषा मनसाभिक्ष्ट्रंसो य एत(नं वि) द्विदुरमृतास्ते भवन्ति ॥ ९॥

न सन्दशे तिष्ठतीति । अस्य रूपं स्वरूपं विग्रहो वा व्यापकत्वादेव सन्दर्शन-विषये अभिमुखतया न तिष्ठतीत्यर्थः । अथवा दृश्यं नील्रूपादिकं नास्तीत्यर्थः । अत एव न चक्षुपा पश्यिति कश्चनैनिमिति । स्पष्टोऽर्थः । हृदा मनीपेत्यादि—अयमंशस्सर्वत्रप्रसिद्ध्यधिकरणे व्यासार्थैः 'हृदेति भक्तिरुच्यते । मनीपेति धृतिः । 'न सन्दशे तिष्ठति रूपमस्य न चक्षुपा पश्यिति कश्चनैन 'मिति पूर्वार्ध-मेकरूपं पठित्वा 'मक्त्या च धृत्या च समाहितात्मा ज्ञानस्वरूपं परिपश्यतीहे ति महाभारते उक्तम् । अभिक्लक्षः याद्यदिति विश्वतः । 'धृत्या समाहितात्मा भक्त्या पुरुषोक्तमं पश्यिति । साक्षात्करोति प्राप्नोतीत्यर्थः । 'मक्त्या त्वनन्यया शक्य ' इत्यनेनैकार्थ्यादिति वेदार्थसङ्ग्हे प्रतिपादितम् । य एनं विदुरिति । स्पष्टोऽर्थः ॥ ९ ॥

यदा पञ्चावितिष्ठन्ते ज्ञानानि सनसा सह। बुद्धिश्च न विचेष्टेत तामाहुः प्रमां गतिम् ॥ १०॥

यदा पश्चेति । ज्ञायते अनेनेति ॰युत्पत्त्या ज्ञानानि—इन्द्रियाणीत्यर्थः । "सप्तगते"रित्यधिकरणे व्यासार्थेस्तथा व्याख्यातत्वात् । अध्यवसायोपेतं मन एव बुद्धिशब्देनोच्यते । अत एव तल भाष्यम् 'अध्यवसायाभिमानचिन्तावृत्तिभेदान्मन एव बुद्धचहंकारचित्तशब्दैर्व्यपदिश्यत ' इति । शरीरान्तस्सञ्चरणं विहाय मोक्षार्थ- गमनं परमा गतिरिति तलेव स्पष्टम् ॥ १० ॥

तां योगमिति मन्यन्ते स्थिरामिन्द्रियधारणाम् । अत्रमत्तस्तदा भवति योगो हि प्रभवाप्ययो ॥ ११ ॥

तां योगिमिति । तां — पूर्वमन्त्रनिर्दिष्टां बाह्याभ्यन्तरकरणधारणां परमां गितं योग इति मन्यन्ते । उक्तं च व्यासार्थैः । "परमा गितर्योग इत्यर्थ" इति । अप्रमत्तस्तदा भवित इन्द्रियाणां निर्व्यापारत्व एव अविहतिचित्तता भवित । चित्तावधानं किमर्थमित्यत्राह — योगो हि प्रभवाष्ययाविति । योगस्य प्रतिक्षणा-पायशालितया अवधानमपेक्षितमिति भावः । यद्वा इष्टप्रभवानिष्टाप्ययलक्षणसर्वपुरुषार्थ-साधनत्वाचोगस्य तत्र अप्रमत्तत्या भवितव्यमिति भावः ॥ ११॥

नैव वाचा न मनसा प्राप्त शक्यो न चक्षुषा। अस्तीति ब्र्वतोन्यत कथं तदुपरुभ्यते॥ १२॥

नैव वाचिति । स्पष्टोर्थः। प्राणपादे "सप्त गतेविंशेषितत्वचिति । इन्द्रियाणि सप्तेव । "सप्त इमे लोका येषु चरन्ति प्राणा गुहाशया निहितास्सप्तसप्त" इति सप्तानामेन परलोकगितश्रवणात् । "यदा पञ्चावतिष्ठन्ते ज्ञानानि मनसा सह । बुद्धिश्च न विचेष्टेत" इति योगदशायामिन्द्रियाणां परिगणितत्वाच सप्तेविति प्राप्ते उच्यते। "हस्तादयस्तु स्थितेऽतो नैवम्"—शरीरे स्थिते आदानादिरुश्चणकार्यो-पयोगित्वाद्धस्तादयोपि इन्द्रियाण्येव । अतो नैवम् । "दशेमे पुरुषे प्राणाः आत्मेकादशः" इति । आत्मशब्देन मनोऽभिधीयते, "इन्द्रियाणि दशैकं च" "एकादशं मनश्चात्र" इति श्रुतिस्मृतिभ्यां इन्द्रियसङ्ख्यानिश्चिता । न्यूनसंख्यावादा उपकारिवशेषाभिप्रायाः, अधिकसंख्यावादाश्च मनोवृत्तिभेदा दिति स्थितम् ॥ अमुमेवार्थमुपपादयति — अस्तीतीति । अस्तीति श्रुवतः शब्दादन्यत्रेत्पर्थः । तस्योपनिषदेकगम्यत्वादिति भावः ॥ १२ ॥

अस्तीत्येवोपलब्धव्यस्तत्त्वभावेन चोभयोः । अस्तीत्येवोपलब्धस्य तत्त्वभावः प्रसीद्ति ॥ १३ ॥

अस्तीत्येविति । तत्त्वं भावयतीति तत्त्वभावः अन्तःकरणं । तेन परमात्मा अस्तीत्येवोपलब्धव्यः । वदान्तवाक्वैरस्तीत्युपलब्धस्य मनसाप्यस्तीत्येवं मननिदि-ध्यासने कर्तव्ये इत्यर्थः । उभयोहित्वोः उभाभ्यां शब्दमनोष्ट्रपाभ्यां अस्तीह्येबोप-

रुब्धस्य ज्ञातवतः भुक्ता ब्राह्मणा इतिवद्यं निर्देशः । तत्त्वभावः प्रसीद्ति मनः प्रसन्ते भवति । निर्दुष्टं भवतीत्यर्थः ॥ १३ ॥

यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्ते कामा येऽस्य हृदि श्रिताः। अथ मर्त्योऽमृतो भवत्यत्र ब्रह्म समञ्जते ॥ १४ ॥

यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्त इति । कामाः—दुर्विषयविषयकमनोरथाः हृद्गताः यदा शान्ता भवन्ति । तदनन्तरमेवायमुपासकः अमृतो भवति—विश्विष्टा-श्चिष्टपूर्वोत्तरदुरितमरो भवतीत्यर्थः । अत्र ब्रह्म समद्भुते — अत्रैवोपासनवेलायां ब्रह्मानुभवतीत्यर्थः । 'समाना चास्रत्युपक्रमादमृतत्वं चानुपोप्ये' त्यत्र भाष्यम्— 'अनुपोप्य शरीरेन्द्रियादिसंबन्धमद्ग्ध्वैव यदमृतत्वं उत्तरपूर्वाधयोरश्चेषविनाश- रूपं प्राप्यते तदुच्यते यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्त इत्यादिकया श्रुत्येत्यर्थः । अत्र ब्रह्म समश्चत इति च उपासनवेलायां यो ब्रह्मानुभवः तद्विषयमित्यभिप्रायः ' इति ॥१४॥

यदा सर्वे प्रभिद्यन्ते हृदयस्येह (स्यैव) ग्रन्थयः। अथ मर्त्योऽमृतो भवत्येतावदनुशासनम् ॥१५॥

उक्तमेवार्थमाद्रेणाभ्यस्य उपदेष्टव्यांशः एतावानेवेत्युपसंहरति— यदा सर्वे प्रभिद्यन्त इति । प्रन्थयः प्रन्थिवहुर्मोचा रागद्वेषादयो यदैव प्रमुच्यन्त इत्यर्थः । एतावद्नुशासन्म्—अनुशासनीयं उपासकस्य कर्तव्यत्वेन उपदेष्टव्यमेतावदेव । वक्ष्यमाणमूर्धन्यनाडीनिष्क्रमणार्चिरादिगमनादिकं न साधकस्य कृत्यं, किन्तु उपासन-प्रीतभगवत्कृत्यमिति भावः ॥ १५ ॥

शतं चैका च हृदयस्य नाड्यस्तासां मूर्धानमभिनिस्सृतेका। तयोर्ध्वमायन्नमृतत्वमेति विष्वङ्ङन्या उत्क्रमणे भवन्ति ॥१६॥

'विमुक्तश्च विमुच्यत' इति पूर्वमुक्तां द्वितीयां परममुक्तिमाह—शतं चैका चेति । हृद्यस्य प्रधाननाङ्यः शतं चैका च सन्ति । तासां मध्ये एका सुषुम्नाख्या ब्रह्मनाडी मूर्धानमभिनिस्सता । तया नाड्या ऊर्ध्वं ब्रह्मलोकं गच्छन् देशविशेष-विशिष्टब्रह्मप्राप्तिपूर्वकस्वरूपाविभीवलक्षणां मुक्तिं प्रामोतीत्यर्थः । अन्यास्तु नाड्यः विष्टङ्क्ष्ममणे नानाविश्वसंसारमार्गोक्कमणायोपयुज्यन्ते । विष्वक् किता नाड्यः अन्योत्क्रमणे उपयुज्यन्त इति व्यासार्यैर्ध्यास्यातम् । इदं च वाक्यं भगवता बादरायणेन उत्क्रान्तिपादे चिन्तितम् । तथा हि—मूर्धन्यया शताधिकया नाड्या विदुषो गमनं, अन्याभिरविदुष इति नियमो नोपपद्यते । नाडीनां भूयस्त्वात् अतिस्क्ष्मत्वाच्च दुर्विवेचतया पुरुषेणोपादानुमशक्यत्वान् । 'तयोध्वेमायन्नमृतत्वमेति विष्वङ्ङन्या उत्क्रमणे भवन्ती'ति याद्यच्छिकीमुत्क्रान्तिमनुवद्तीति युक्तमित्येवं प्राप्ते पूर्वपक्षे—'तदोक्षोत्रज्वलनं तत्प्रकाशितद्वारो विद्यासामर्थ्यापच्छेषगत्यनुस्मृतियोगाच हार्दानुगृहीतक्शताधिकयां इति स्त्रेण सिद्धान्तितम् । तस्य चायमर्थः । तदोकः— तस्य जीवस्य स्थानं हृदयं अग्रज्वलनं अग्रेज्वलनं प्रकाशनं यस्य तदिद्मप्रज्वलनम् । तेन अग्रज्वलनेन प्रकाशितद्वारो भवति । 'तस्य हृदयस्याग्रं प्रद्योतते तेन प्रद्योन्ते अग्रज्वलनेन प्रकाशितद्वारो भवति । 'तस्य हृदयस्याग्रं प्रद्योतते तेन प्रद्योनेष आत्मा निष्क्रामिति चक्षुषो वा मूर्ध्नो वा अन्येभ्यो वा शरीरदेश्चेभ्यः इति श्रुतेः । एतावद्विद्वद्वविद्वत्साधारणम् । विद्वांस्तु शताधिकया मूर्धन्ययेव नाड्या उत्क्रामिति । न चास्या नाड्या विदुषो दुर्विवेचत्वम् । विद्वान् हि परमपुरुषाराधन-मूतात्यर्थप्रियविद्यासामर्थ्यात् विद्याशेषभूतत्वयाऽऽत्मनोऽत्यर्थप्रियगत्यनुस्मरणयोगाच प्रसन्नेन हर्दोन परमपुरुषेणानुगृहीतो भवति । ततस्तां नाडीं विज्ञानातीति तथा विदुषो गितरुपपद्यत् इति । प्रकृतमनुसरामः ॥ १६ ॥

अङ्गुष्ठमातः पुरुषोन्तरात्मा सदा जनानां हृदये सन्निविष्टः। तं स्वाच्छरीरात्प्रवृहेन्मुङ्जादिवेषीकां धैर्येण।। तं विद्याच्छुक-ममृतं तं विद्याच्छुक्रममृतिमिति ।। १७ ॥

अङ्गुष्ठमात इति । स्पष्टोर्थः । तं स्वाच्छरीरादिति । यथा देवदत्तः स्वाच्छरीराद्वित्व इत्युक्ते स्वराब्दः समिन्याहृतदेवदत्तसम्बन्धिपरामर्शी, एवं प्रविनिर्दिष्टान्तरात्मसंबन्धिपरामर्शी स्वराब्दः । तत्थ्यायमर्थः । तं जनानामन्तरात्मानं तच्छरीरमूतात् जनशब्दिताचेतनात् प्रवृहेत् — विविच्य जानीयात् । 'जुष्टं यदा पश्यत्यन्यमीरा ' मिति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या धारकत्वनियन्तृत्वरोषित्वादिना विरुक्षणं जानीयादित्यर्थः । गुज्ञात् — तृणविरोषात् । इषीकां — तन्मध्यवर्तिस्थूरुतृणविरोषमिव । धेर्येण — ज्ञानकोशिलेति पूर्वणान्वयः । तं विद्याच्छुक्रममृतं तं विद्याच्छुक्रमम् स्वराक्षस्व ।

मृत्युप्रोक्तां नचिकेतोऽथ लब्ध्वा विद्यामेतां योगविधिं च कृत्स्नम् । ब्रह्म प्राप्तो विरजोऽभूद्विमृत्युरन्योप्येवं यो विद्ध्यात्ममेव ॥ १८॥

आस्वायिकार्थमुपसंहरति—मृत्युप्रोक्तामिति । निचकेताः मृत्युप्रोक्तां आस्मिवद्यां, यदा पञ्चत्यादिना उक्तयोगिविधं च लब्ध्या—प्राप्य 'परं ज्योतिरूप-सम्पद्य स्वेन रूपेणाभिनिष्पद्यत ं इति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या ब्रह्म प्राप्य, आविर्भृतगुणाष्टकोऽ भूदित्यर्थः । अन्योष्येवं यो विद्ध्यात्ममेव—अध्यात्मिवद्यां यो अन्योपि वेति सोपि एवमेव निचकेता इव भवतीत्यर्थः ॥ १८॥

सह नाववतु । सह नौ भ्रुनकु । सह वीर्यं करवावहै । तेजिस्व नावधीतमस्तु मा विद्विषावहै ।। ओं शान्तिश्शान्ति-श्शान्तिः ।। हरिः ओं ।। १९ ।।

शि कठोपनिषदि षष्ठवल्ली ॥शि द्वितीयाध्यायस्ममाप्तः ॥॥ कठवल्ली समाप्ता ॥

शिष्याचार्ययोः शास्त्रीयनियमातिरुङ्घनकृतदोषप्रशमनार्था शान्तिरुच्तते । स ह नाववतु—सः विद्याप्रकाशितः परमात्मा । हशब्दः प्रसिद्धौ । नौ शिष्याचार्यौ अवतु—स्वस्वरूपप्रकाशनेन रक्षतु । सह नौ भुनक्तु—विद्याप्रचयद्वारा आवां सहैव परिपालयतु । यद्वा विश्लेषमन्तरेण आवां सहितावेव यथा स्याव तथा परिपालयत्वित्यर्थः । सह वीर्यं करवाहै—सनियमकविद्याप्रदानेन विद्यायास्सामर्थ्यं निष्पादयावहै । नियमाभावे विद्या निर्वीर्या भवतीति भावः । तेजस्वि नावधीत-मस्तु—नौ आवयोः यदधीतं तत् तेजस्व अस्तु, वीर्यवत्तरं भवत्वित्यर्थः । मा विद्विषावहै—'यश्चाधर्मेण विद्वते यश्चाधर्मेण प्रच्छित । तयोरन्यतरः प्रैति विद्वेषं वाधिगच्छितः । इति स्मृत्युक्तरीत्या अधर्माध्ययनाध्यापनिमित्तो द्वेष आवयोर्माभूदित्यर्थः । शान्तिक्शान्तिक्शान्तिः— त्रिर्वचनं सर्वदोषशान्त्यर्थम् ॥

इयं चोपनिषत् भगवत्परैवेति भगवता बाद्रायणेन समन्वयाध्याये त्रिभि-रिवकरणैर्निणींतम् । तल 'यस्य ब्रह्म च क्षतं चोभे भवत ओद्नः । मृत्युर्यस्योपसेचनं क इत्था वेद यत सः' इति वाक्ये ब्रह्मक्षत्रयोरोद्नत्वरूपणेन भोज्यत्वस्य वा भोग्यत्वस्य वा प्रतीतेः तत्प्रतिसंबन्धी यस्येति षष्ठयन्तयच्छव्दिनिर्दिष्टो भोक्ता जीव एव स्यात्; परमात्मनो मोक्तत्वासंभवादिति पूर्वपक्षं कृत्वा, 'अत्ता चराचरप्रहणात्, प्रकरणाच, गुहां प्रविष्टावात्मानौ हि तद्दरीनात्, विदोषणाचि ति चतुर्भिस्तूतै-स्सिद्धान्तः कृतः । तेषां चायमर्थः । 'उमे भवत ओद्नः ' इति ओद्नप्रति-सम्बन्धितया प्रतीयमानः अत्ता प्रमात्मैव । ब्रह्मक्षत्रशब्दगृहीतनिखिलचराचर-संहर्तृत्वस्यात्र मन्त्रे प्रिपाद्नात् । अत्र ब्रह्मक्षत्रशब्दयोः निखिलचराचरलक्षकत्व-प्रकारः, ओद्नशब्दस्य विनाश्यत्वलक्षकत्वप्रकारश्च एतन्मन्त्रव्याख्यानावसरे प्रति-पादितस्तत्रैवानुसंघेयः । 'महान्तं विभुमात्मान'मिति प्रस्तुतत्वेन तस्य ब्रह्मप्रकरण-मध्यगतत्वाच । ननु ऋतं पिबन्तावित्युत्तरमन्त्रे कर्मफलभोगान्वयिनोरेव प्रतिपादनात्, परमात्मनश्च जीववत् कर्तृत्वेन वा अन्तःकरणवत् करणत्वेन वाऽन्वयासंभवात्, परमात्मप्रकरणमध्यगतत्वं नास्तीत्यारंक्योक्तं 'गुहां प्रविष्टावात्मानौ हि तद्दर्शना'दिति । गुहां प्रविष्टी जीवपरमात्मानावेव । तयोरेवास्मिन्प्रकरणे गुहाप्रवेशद्रीनात् । 'तं दुर्दर्शं गूढमनुप्रविष्टं गुहाहित'मिति परमात्मनो गुहाप्रवेशः श्रूयते । 'या प्राणेन संभवत्यदितिदेवतामयी। गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्ती'ति जीवस्यापि गुहा-प्रवेशो दृश्यते । अतः द्वयोरपि गुहाप्रवेशद्र्शनात् तयोरेवपिवद्पिवतोश्छितिन्यायेन 'ऋतं पिवन्ता'विति निर्देशस्य संभवात् , ऋतं पिवन्ताविति मन्त्रेण न परमात्म-प्रकरणविच्छेदश्शकग्रशङ्कः । 'विशेषणाच '। अस्मिन्प्रकरणे 'ब्रह्मजज्ञं देवमीड्यं विदित्वे'ति जीवपरयोरुपास्यत्वोपासकत्वादिना विशेषितत्वात् तयोरेवोपासनसौकर्याय एकाधिकरणस्थत्वप्रतिपाद्नार्थत्वात् 'ऋतं पिबन्ता'विति मन्त्रस्य जीवपरप्रतिपाद्-कत्वमेव । अतः यस्य ब्रह्म च क्षत्रं चेति मन्तः परमात्मपर एवेति निर्णीतम् ।

तथा 'अङ्गुष्ठमातः पुरुषो मध्य आत्मिन तिष्ठति । ईशानो भूतभव्यस्य न ततो विजुगुप्सते ' इति मन्ते अङ्गुष्ठमात्रतया निर्दिश्यमानो जीव एव; अङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वस्य जीवधर्मतया 'प्राणाधिपस्संचरति स्वकर्मभिरङ्गुष्ठमात्रो रिवतुल्यरूपः ' 'अङ्गुष्ठमात्रं

पुरुषं निश्चकर्षं यमो बलात् ' इति श्रुतिस्मृतिप्रसिद्धत्वादिति पूर्वपक्षं कृत्वा—'शब्दादेव प्रमितः ' 'ह्यपेक्षया तु मनुप्याधिकारत्वात् ', 'कय्पनात् ' 'ज्योतिर्दर्शनात् ' इति चतुर्भिस्स् त्रैः सिद्धान्तः कृतः । तेषां चायमर्थः । अङ्गुष्ठप्रमितः परमात्मा, शब्दादेव 'ईशानो मृतमन्यस्य'इति ईश्वरत्ववाचकेशानशब्दादेव । ननु कथं तिर्दं परमात्मनोऽङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वमित्यलाह्—'ह्यपेक्षया तु मनुप्याधिकारत्वात् '। हृदि हृद्वये परमात्मनो वर्तमानत्वात् तद्देश्वया अङ्गुष्ठमालत्वमुपपद्यते । न च खरतुरगादीनामङ्गुष्ठश्रूर्त्यानां हृदयस्याङ्गुष्ठप्रमितत्वाभावात् तदन्तर्वार्तनः परमात्मनः कथमङ्गुष्ठमालत्वमिति वाच्यम् । उपासनाविधायिशास्त्रस्य मनुष्याधिकारिकत्वात् तेषां चाङ्गुष्ठसंभवात् तद्वृदयवर्तिनः अङ्गुष्ठसमपरिमाणहृदयावच्छेदिनबन्धनाङ्गुष्ठप्रमितत्वे नामुपपत्तिः । 'कम्पनात् । 'यदिदं किंच जगत्सर्वं प्राण एजित निस्सृतम् । महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतंभिति समस्त-प्राणिकम्पनहेतुभयहेतुत्वस्यास्मिन्नङ्गुष्ठप्रमिते आम्नानात् तस्य च परमात्मधर्मत्वस्य 'मीषास्माद्वातः पवत 'हत्यादिश्रुतिप्रतिपन्नत्वात् अङ्गुष्ठप्रमितः परमात्मा । 'ज्योति-देशनात् । 'न तत्न सूर्यो भाति न चन्द्रतारकमि'ति अङ्गुष्ठप्रमिते सकलतेजञ्जान्दक्रज्योतिस्सम्बन्धप्रतिपादनात् ताद्यज्योतिस्सम्बन्धस्य आधर्वणे ब्रह्मसम्बन्धितया प्रतिपादितत्वाच अङ्गुष्ठप्रमितः परमात्मेत्यर्थः ॥

तथा—'इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा ह्यर्था अर्थभ्यश्च परं मनः। मनसस्तु परा बुद्धिः बुद्धेरात्मा महान्परः। महतः परमव्यक्तमव्यक्तापुरुषः परः। पुरुषान्न परं किंचित् सा काष्टा सा परा गितः।' इति वाकच्ये सांख्यप्रिक्रयाप्रत्यिमज्ञानात् पंचिवंशातिरिक्त-पुरुषिनिषेधाच सांख्यामिमतमब्रह्मात्मकं प्रधानमेव अव्यक्तशब्देनािमधीयत इति 'आनुमानिकमप्येकेषािमिति चेदिति स्वलाण्डेन पूर्वपक्षं कृत्वा 'शरीरस्वपकविन्यस्त-गृहीतिर्देशियति च' 'स्क्ष्मं तु तद्वहित्वात्' 'तद्धीनत्वाद्र्थवत्' 'श्रेयत्वावचनाच्य' 'वदतीित् चेन्न प्राज्ञो हि प्रकरणात्' 'त्रयाणामेव चैवमुपन्यासः प्रश्नश्चः' 'महद्वचें'ति सप्तिः स्त्रेनिस्तद्धान्तः कृतः। तेषां चायमर्थः। आनुमानिकं नाव्यक्तशब्दािभरुप्यम्। उपासनोपयोगिवशीकरणाय 'आत्मानं रथिनं विद्धि शरीरं रथमेव चें'ति वाक्ये रथिरथादिभावेन स्वितेषु आत्मशरीरबुद्धिमनइन्द्रियविषयेषु रथरूपकात्मना 'शरीरं रथमेव चें'ति विन्यस्तस्य शरीरस्यैवाव्यक्तशब्देन प्रहणसंभवात्। अस्मिश्च प्रकर्णे

इन्द्रियादिवशीकरणप्रकारस्यैव 'यच्छेद्राङ्मनसी प्राज्ञः' इत्यादिद्रशनान् , तद्नुसारे-णाव्यक्तशब्देन शरीरमेव गृह्यते । ननु कथमव्यक्तशब्देन व्यक्तस्य शरीरस्याभि-धानम् । तत्राह—'सूक्ष्मं तु तद्दित्वात्'। भूतसूक्ष्ममन्याकृतं ह्यवस्थाविशेषमापन्नं शरीरं भवति । ततश्च कारणवाचिना अन्यक्तशब्देन स्थूलं शरीरमेवोपचारादुच्यत इत्यर्थः। ननूक्तशब्दस्य मुख्य एवार्थोऽस्तु, कुतः स्थूलशरीरे लक्षणाभ्युपगन्तव्यं-त्यत्नाह—तद्हित्वादिति। स्थूलशरीरस्यैव कार्याहत्वान्, तस्यैव वशीकार्यत्वाय प्रतिपादनस्यापेक्षितत्वात् , अन्यक्तशब्देन कारणवाचिना स्थूलशरीरलक्षणा उचितेति भावः । ननु यदि भूतस्क्ममन्याकृतमभ्युपगम्यते, कापिलतन्त्रसिद्धोपादाने कः प्रद्वेष इत्यताह—'तद्धीनत्वाद्धवत्'। अस्मन्मते अन्यक्तस्य परमात्माधीनतया तद्धिष्ठितत्वेन प्रयोजनवत्त्वमस्ति । सांख्यमते तदनभ्युपगमात् तस्य निष्प्रयो-जनत्वमिति भावः। ' ज्ञेयत्वावचनाच '। यदि तन्त्रसिद्धमव्यक्तमेवाविवक्षिष्यत् , तदास्य ज्ञेयत्वमवक्ष्यत्। व्यक्ताव्यक्तज्ञविज्ञानानमोक्षं वदद्भिस्तान्तिकैस्तेषां सर्वेषां ज्ञेयत्वाभ्युपगमात् । नचास्य ज्ञेयत्वमुच्यते । अतो न तन्त्रसिद्धस्येह ग्रहणम् । ' वदतीति चेन्न प्राज्ञो हि प्रकरणात्'। 'अशब्दमस्पर्शमरूपमव्ययं तथाऽरसं नित्यमगन्धवच यत् । अनाद्यन्तं महतः परं श्रुवं निचाय्य तं मृत्युमुखात्रमुच्यते' इत्यव्यक्तस्य ज्ञेयत्वमनन्तरमेव श्रुतिर्वदतीति चेन्न। 'सोऽध्वनः पारमाप्नोति तद्विष्णोः पर्मं पद्'मिति प्राज्ञस्य परमात्मनः प्रकरणात् स एव अशब्दमस्पर्शमिति मन्त्रे ज्ञेयत्वेन निर्दिश्यते, न तन्त्रसिद्धमन्यक्तम्। 'त्रयाणामेव चैवमुपन्यासः प्रश्नश्च'। अस्मिन्प्रकरणे हि उपायोपेयोपेतृणां त्रयाणामेव ज्ञेयत्वोपन्यासः 'अन्यत् धर्मादन्यत्रा-धर्मादि'ति प्रश्नश्च दृश्यते । नाव्यक्तादेः । 'महद्वच'। यथा 'बुद्धेरात्मा महान्पर'इत्यवात्मशब्दसामानाधिकरण्यान तन्त्रसिद्धं महत्तत्त्वं गृह्यते, एवमव्यक्त-मध्यात्मनः परत्वेनाभिधानान्न कापिळतन्त्रसिद्धं गृह्यत इति स्थितम् । अत इयमुप-निषत्सर्वापि परमात्मपरेति विभिरधिकरणैर्निणीतम् ॥

> क्षेमाय यः करुणया क्षितिनिर्जराणां भूमावजृम्भयत भाष्यसुधामुदारः । वामागमाध्वगवदावदतूळवातो रामानुजस्स मुनिराद्रियतां मदुक्तिम् ।। ।। इति कठोपनिषदि षष्ठवळ्ळीभाष्यम् ॥ ।। इति श्री रङ्गरामानुजमुनिविरचितं कठोपनिषद्भाष्यं समाप्तम् ।।

कठोपनिषद्भाष्यिटप्पणी

श्रीनिवासं परं ब्रह्म प्रणिपत्य प्रणीयते। रङ्गरामानुजमुनिकठभाष्यस्य टिप्पणी॥

प्रथमवल्ली

श्रिया महार ६म्या सादरसंश्रितवक्षस्थलम् । अञ्जनाचलश्कारं शेषाचलगरुडाचल-नारायणाचलाञ्जनाचलिसहाचलश्रुषभाचलवेङ्कटाचलाः सप्त अचलाः । तदुपलक्षणार्थिमिह अन्य-तमस्य अञ्जनाचलस्य महणम् । तस्मिन् भासमानं सर्वहृदयाह्णादकं उत्तमरसं भगवन्तं श्रीनिवास-भिर्सार्थः ।

- १. खस्तये तार्स्यम् ऋवे. १०-१७८-१.
- ५. "स एवमुक्तः पुत्र एकान्ते परि देवयाश्वकार । कथिपति । उच्यते । बहूनां शिष्याणां पुत्राणां दा एमि प्रथमः सन् मुख्यया शिष्यादिवृत्त्येखर्थः । मध्यमानां च बहूनां मध्यमयैव वृत्त्या एमि । नाधमया कदाचिदिष । किंस्विद्यमस्य कर्तव्यं प्रयोजनम् । मया प्रतेन करिष्यित यदकर्तव्यमद्य । नूनं प्रयोजनमनपेक्ष्येव कोधदशादुक्तवान् पिता" । [इति] ॥
 - ''तथापि तत् पितुर्वचो मृषा माभूदित्त्येवं मत्वा परिदेवनापूर्वकमाह पितरं शोकाविष्टं कि मयोक्तमिति। (अनुपश्येति)'' इत्यन्यत्र।
- ६. तथेति चार्थे । यथे खनुषज्यते । तदाह यथा चेति । पूर्वे यथा आसन् तदनुपश्य । परे च यथा भवन्ति तत्प्रतिपश्य । कथमासन् कथं भवन्ति । तत् स्पष्टयन्नाह सस्यमिवेति । पूर्वे सर्वेऽम्रियन्त । इदानीन्तना अपि म्रियन्ते । ते सर्वे पुनर्जनिष्यन्ते । तस्मात् सर्वजन्तुसाधारणोऽयं जननमरणधर्मः । स चेन्ममापि तत्र कः शोकः इति कृत्स्रो मन्त्रः (मृषावादप्रसङ्गं विना) शोकापनोदनार्थ पितुराश्वासनपरोऽपि भवितुमईतीति भाति ।
 - ७. " प्रोच्यागतं यमममाला भार्या वा ऊचुर्बोधयन्तः । "
 - ८. ''अनिर्ज्ञातप्राप्येष्टप्रार्थना आशा । निर्ज्ञातप्राप्यार्थप्रतीक्ष्णं प्रतीक्षा।'' इखन्यत्र 🏲
- ५. 'यद्यपि भवदनुम्रहेण सर्व मम स्यात् तथापि त्वद्धिकसम्प्रसादनार्थमनशनेनोपोषि-तामेकैकां रात्निं प्रति त्रीन् वरान् वृणीष्व अभिष्ठेतार्थविशेषान् प्रार्थयस्व '' इत्यन्यत्र । तस्मादिति तच्छब्देन अनश्रतो रात्रित्रयवासं परामृश्य तस्मात्प्रति तत्स्थाने इत्यर्थे 'प्रतिनिधिप्रतिदाने च यस्मात् ' इति स्त्रेण पश्चमीति किं युक्तमिति पर्थालोचनीयम् ।
 - १०० " प्रतीतः लव्धस्मृतिः । ्स एवायं प्रत्रो ममागत इति प्रसमिजानिन्नसर्थः । "

- ११. एतदनुरोधेन 'त्वां दृशिवान् 'इति मूलपाठेन भाव्यमिति भाति। तदैव छन्दः-सामजस्यमपि। यथास्थिते द्वादशाक्षराणि भवन्ति। त्विष्टुभि एकादशभिरेव भाव्यम्।
- १४. तत् मया उच्यमान अग्नि तद्नुबन्धि चान्यत् । "खर्गाय हितं खर्गसाधन-मिन प्रजानन् विज्ञातवानहं प्रब्रवीमि । तिन्नबोधिति च शिष्यबुद्धिसमाधानार्धं वचनम् । अग्निं स्तौति—अनन्तलोकाप्तिं खर्गलोकफलप्राप्तिसाधनम् । अथो अपि प्रतिष्ठामाश्रयं जगतः विराष्ट्रपेण । तमेतमिन मयोच्यमानं जानीहि । निहितं स्थितं—गुहायां विदुषां बुद्धौ निविष्टमिस्यर्थः"।
 - १५. ' लोकादिं लोकानामादिं प्रथमशरीरित्वाद्गिनम् । ''
- १६. अस्य तुष्ट इति तोषहेतुत्वं पूर्वमुक्तम्। आद्रव्यञ्जनाय वचनकर्मत्वं वक्तुं मृत्योर्महात्मत्विविशेषणं दातुं च पुनिरह 'तमब्रवीत् प्रीयमाणो महात्मा' इत्युच्यते । सृङ्काम् । अन्यत्र
 काप्यहष्टमन्युत्पादितिमदं पदम् । स गतौ कैशब्दे इति धातुद्वयात् सरत्का—प्रसरच्छन्दा इत्यर्थे
 पृषोदरादित्वेन सङ्किति रूपं भवतीति कृत्वा शब्दवतीं रत्नमालािमति व्याचक्षत इति भाति ।
 "यद्वा सङ्काम् अकुत्सितां गितं कर्ममर्यां गृहाण । अन्यदिष कर्मिविशानमनेकफलहेतुत्वात्
 स्वीकुर्विल्यंः ।" इत्यर्थान्तरमप्यन्यत्रोक्तम् । परन्तु अनन्तरबङ्घां 'नैतां सङ्कां वित्तमयीमवातः ' इति पुनःप्रयुक्तस्यास्य पदस्य " नैतामवाधवानित सङ्कां स्वतिं कृत्सितां मूहजनप्रवृत्तां
 वित्तमर्यीं धनप्रायाम् " इति कृत्सिता गितिरिति व्याख्यानं दृश्यते । स्थातोः सृतिरर्थः ।
 'का ' इति कापुरुष इत्यादाविव कृत्सितार्थे । परनिपातादि पृषोदरादिपाठात् इति निष्पत्तिरिमित्तति भाति । एवं कृत्सिता गितिरिति उपरि व्याख्याने स्थिते कथिनेह अकुत्सिता गितिरिति व्याख्यानं युक्तमिति विमृत्यम् । कृत्सितामित्येव स्थितःपाठः वरदानप्रकरणे अयमर्थो न
 सङ्गत इति वुख्याऽन्यथाकृतः स्थादिष । कृत्सिताकं ज्ञानमार्गोपेक्षयाऽवरत्वम् । न तु गिर्हितत्विमिति ।
- २०. किश्चेति । 'अन्यद्प्याह एतमग्निमिति 'इखर्थः । 'एतमिमिति । किश्च ' इति वा पाठः ।
 - २२. "अतिस्ज विसुच्च एनं वरं मा मां प्रति"।
- २३. अत्र देवैरिप विचिकित्सितम्। "किलेशि। भवत एव नःश्रुतम्।" तादश-मिदम्। किच्च त्वं यत्र सुविज्ञेयमात्थ तादशम्। किञ्च त्वादगन्यो वक्ता दुर्लभः। अतिदुर्लभ-वक्तुकम्। किञ्च यो यो वरो दीयेत तस्मात्सर्वसमादिधकम्।
- २४· याविदिति सामान्ये नपुंसकम् । यावतीः शरदो जीवितुमिच्छिसि तावतीःशरदो जीवेखर्थः ।
- २५. काम्यमानानामिति । कामानां मध्ये ये कामाः उत्कृष्टा इत्यर्थः, तद्भाजमित्यस्मा दर्थाद्विारीष्टोऽयमर्थः ।
- २७. "श्वो भविष्यन्ति न वेति सन्दिह्यमान एव येषां भावो भवनं त्वयोपन्यस्तानां भोगानां, ते श्वोभावाः"।

- १९. ''अजीर्थतां वयोहानिमप्राप्नुवताम् अमृतानां सकाशमुपेख उपगम्य ''। इत्यैवं व्याख्यानेऽपि अजीर्थताममृतानाभिति मुक्तावस्था माह्या। यमस्यापि मुक्तेः ।सिद्धत्वात् भविष्यन्ती-मिप दशां वर्तमानवद् भूतवच्चोपचरति। 'अनिखेईव्यैः प्राप्तवानस्मि निखम् ' इति हि वक्ष्यति।
- ३०० योऽयमिलादि श्रुतिवचनं, यतः 'नान्यं तस्मादहं वृणे रहित उत्तमो न श्रूयते । अपि तु नान्यं निचकेता वृणीत इति प्रथमः । अत एव वर्तमानानुपपत्तेः स्मेति पूरणिमिति भावः ।

यावत् निवकेतःसंज्ञभिदं शरीरं ध्रियते तावत् नान्यं वरं वरिष्ये इति दृढमात्मनोऽध्यव-सायं व्यञ्जयितुकामः स्वयमेवात्मानं प्रथमपुरुषवद् व्यवहरतीत्याप युक्तं भाति ।

द्वितीयवही

- १. प्रेय उत्तव प्रेयोऽपि च।
- २. ताविति पुङ्किन्नोपपत्तये श्रेयःप्रेयः पदार्थाविति । श्रुतौ पुङ्किन्न कर्तृत्वोपपत्तये तयो:-स्वातन्त्र्यन्यञ्चनाय ।
- ३ 'सङ्काञ्चेमामनेकरूपां गृहाण 'इति पूर्वमधिकदरत्वेन यमेन दत्तां रत्नमालां नचि-केता न प्रतिजन्नाह । तत इदिमदानीमाह यमः 'नैतां सङ्कां वित्तमयीमवाधः' इति । इत्यपि युक्तम्।
- ५. घनीभूते तमसि वर्तमाना इव । आत्मानं पण्डितं मन्यमाना इखध्याहृत्य योज्यम्। तात्पर्यगत्या धीरं मन्यमाना इखिप् दिवक्षितम् । द्रम गतौ ।
- ६. ''सम्परेयत इति सम्पराय परलोकः । तत्प्राप्तिप्रयोजनः साधनविशेषः शास्त्रीयः साम्परायः ॥ ' भावप्रकाशिका— ' सम्यगवश्यम्भावेन परा परस्तात् देहपातस्य पश्चात् ईयते गम्यत इति सम्परायः । तत्प्राध्यर्थः साधनविशेषः साम्परायः ''— इति ॥
- ' दुर्मानी सर्थः ' इसस्यानन्तरं ' तस्यायं लोकः परश्व लोको नास्ती सर्थे' इसिकं विषयं-वाक्यदी विकायां पठवते ।

उतपद्स्य योजनाऽत्र न द्शिता। पर उत परश्रेस्यिम्प्राये चशब्दाध्याहारो नोक्तःस्यात्। उत अपि च मानी पुनःपुनिरत्युपर्यन्वयाभिप्राय उचित इति भाति। पाठान्तरं वा। संयमने त्वनुभूमिति स्त्रे श्रुतप्रकाशिकायां नायं पाठः कण्ठोक्तो दृश्यते। 'अयं लोको नास्ति न पर इति' इति भाष्यो-खृतस्य मन्त्रस्य नञ्द्रयघितं प्रतीकं धृत्वा 'अत्रामुत्र च सुखं नास्तीसर्थः' इत्यर्थवर्णनमात्रं तु कृतं दृश्यते। अयं लोको नास्तीसंशस्य अत्र सुखं नास्तीति विवरणम् , न पर इति मानी पुनःपुनर्वश-मापद्यते मे 'इस्यवशिष्टांशस्य अमुत्र च सुखं नास्तीति विवरणम् इति यद्यपि स्थितं कथित्रद्य योजयितुं शक्यम् , तथापि एतैरुपनिषद्भाष्यकारैः श्रीभाष्ये वा विवरणे वा अवलोकितःपाठः 'अयं लोको नास्ति पर 'इति द्वितीयनञ्जूरहित एवेति तदनुसारेणैव भावप्रकाशिकायां ब्याख्यायि— "अयमेव लोकः स्त्र्यन्नपानादिरूपोऽस्ति । न परः परलोकः इति मानी इति मननशीलः तदनुरूपभाचरन् पुनःपुनः जन्मसरणप्राप्त्या मम वशमा ग्यत इत्येवमयं लोक इत्यत्र एवशब्दमध्याहृत्य व्याख्यानं परैः । आचार्यास्तु च-शब्दमध्याहृत्य व्याच्यातं परेः । अचार्यास्तु च-शब्दमध्याहृत्य व्याच्यातं अत्रामुत्र च सुखं नास्तीत्यर्थः इति । देहान्तरोप भोग्यमिति शेषः"।

इति । अत्र परेषामिमतो यः पाठः तमेव्न कृत्वा आचार्या व्याचक्षत इत्येतेरुच्यत इति स्फुटम् । तत्र चकारध्याहारेण अयं परश्च छोको नास्तील्य मिति अस्यार्थस्य इतिमानीत्युत्तरत्र कथमन्वय । न हि कश्चिदयं छोको नास्तीति मन्येत इति शङ्कापरिहारायोक्तं देहान्तरेति । वर्तमाने देहे अयं छोको नास्तीति सत्यं न कश्चिन्मन्येत । देहान्तरोपभोग्यं तु ऐहिकमा-मुष्मिकं वा सुखं नास्तीति देहातिरिक्त आत्मा नास्तीत्यज्ञानन् सर्वो मन्येतेव । ऐहिकसुखमेव चायं छोक इत्युच्यते, इत्याशयः । एवं भावप्रकाशिकायामेकथा व्याख्याने कृते कस्मिश्चित् श्रीभाष्यकोशे 'अयं छोको नास्ति पर उत मानी ' इति स्थितं पाठं स्प्रुा तदनुसारेणेह प्रकारान्तरेण व्याख्यातमिति भाति ।

- ८. "न हि नरेण मनुष्येण अवरेण प्रोक्तः अवरेण हीनेन प्राकृतदुद्धिनेत्येतत् । उक्तः एषः आत्मा यं त्वं मां पृच्छिसि । न हि सुष्टु सम्यक् विज्ञेयः विज्ञातुं ज्ञाक्यः । यस्मात् बहुवा अस्ति नास्ति कर्ताऽकर्ता ग्रुद्धोऽग्रुद्ध इखाद्यनेकथा चिन्त्यमानः वादिभिः । कथं पुनः सुविज्ञेय इति । उच्यते । अनन्यप्रोक्ते अनन्येन अपृथग्द्शिंन।ऽऽचार्येण प्रतिपाद्यब्रह्मात्मभूतेन प्रोक्ते उक्ते आत्मिन गितः अनेकथा अस्तिनास्तीखादिरुक्षणा चिन्ता गितः अत्र अस्मिन्नात्मिन नास्ति न विद्यते । सर्वविकरुगितप्रखस्तमित्रहभरवाद्यत्मनः । अथ वा स्वात्मभूते अनन्यस्मिन् आत्मिन प्रोक्ते अनन्यप्रित्त अन्यविक्तानम् । अतो गन्तव्याभावात्र गित्तप्राविश्वष्यते । संसारगित्विऽत्र नास्ति अनन्य आत्मिन प्रोक्ते नान्तरीयकत्वात् तिद्वज्ञानफरुस्य मोक्षस्य । अथ वा प्रोच्यमानब्रह्मात्मभूतेनाचार्थेण अनन्यतया प्रोक्ते आत्मिन अगितः अनववोधोऽपरिज्ञानमत्र नास्ति । भवत्येवावगितिस्तिद्वषया श्रोतुः तद्नन्योऽहमिखाचार्थस्यवेखर्थः । एवं सुविज्ञेय आत्मा आगमवताऽऽचार्येणानन्यतया प्रोक्तः । इतरथा अणीयान् अणुतरः अणुप्रमाणादिप सम्पद्यत आत्मा । अतकर्ये अतकर्यः अणुप्रमाणो न तकर्यः स्ववुष्यभ्यहेन केवरुन तकेण । तक्यमाणेऽणुप्रमाणो केनचित् स्थापिते आत्मिन ततोऽणुतरमन्योऽभ्यहिति । ततोप्यन्योऽणुतरिनिति । न हि तर्कस्य निष्ठा कचिद् विद्यते ।"
 - ९. आपनीयेति स्थाने आपनेयेति ।
- १०. अनिस्रमिति लिङ्गव्यस्यश्छान्दसः । शेवधिः अनिस्यं वस्तु इति जानामीति वा । शेवधिरिति शेवधिरित्येतत् अनित्यं जानाभीति वा ।
- ११. एतन्मन्त्रपर्यन्तमौपोद्घातिकमेकं प्रकरणम् । तत्र प्रथममन्त्रे—हितं प्रियमिति मार्गद्वयमर्थानर्थफलमस्तीति, द्वितीये —तत्र धीरेण विविच्य प्रियपरिखागेन हितं परिप्राह्यमिति, तृतीये—निचकेतसा विवेकिना प्रियं परिखंक्तमिति, चतुर्थे—प्रियस्य अविद्येति नाम, हितस्य

विद्यति, तत्र निकेतसा हितरूपा विद्या परिगृहीतेति, पश्चमषष्ठयोः—अविवेकिनां प्रिय एव आसक्तिभैवति न तु विद्यामार्गप्रतिभानमपीति, सप्तमे— परतत्त्वविषये समीचीन आचार्यो वा शिष्यो वाऽतिदुर्लभ इति, अष्टमे—असमीचीनाचार्योपदेशाद्वा आचार्थमनपेक्ष्य खप्रज्ञयैव वा तद्ज्ञानं दुर्लभमिति, नवमे—एवंविधो दृढो निश्चयो निचकेतसो जात इति, दशमे—केवल-कर्मणां परमपुरुषार्थप्राप्त्युपायकोटिप्रवेशविरहेऽपि पूर्वोपदिष्टो नाचिकेताग्निस्तदौपियको भवतीति तद्गुष्टानेन खस्य आचार्यकोपयुक्तज्ञानसम्पत्तिरस्तीति, एकादशेऽस्मिन्—निचकेतसः परतत्त्व-जिज्ञासा सुदृढेति श्रवणाधिकारः पूर्ण इति च ज्ञापितम्।

"स्तोमं स्तुलम्। महत् अणिमाधैश्वर्याद्यनेकगुणसंहतम्। स्तोमं च तन्महच निरितशयत्वात् स्नोममहत्।" इति स्तोममहिद्दियेकपद्पाठं कृत्वाऽन्यत्र व्याख्यातम्।

- 'येयं प्रेते विचिकित्सा मनुष्ये ' इति पूर्वं निचकेतसः तृतीये प्रश्ने मरणानन्तरं मनुष्यस्य किमात्यन्तिक उच्छेदः अथ वाऽस्त्यनुवृत्तिरिति साक्षात् पृष्टम् । तथापि न तावदेव तस्य जिज्ञासितम्। 'अभिध्यायन् वर्णरतिप्रमोदाननतिदीधें जीविते को रमेत ।' 'यत् साम्पराये महित ' इत्यादि वदतो हि नचिकेतसो बुद्धौ ' जातस्य हि ध्रुवो मृत्युर्धुवं जन्म मृतस्य च ' इति प्रसिद्धजननमरणप्रबन्धव्यतिरिक्तेन केनचिद्धैन स्थितेन भाव्यम्। यदा चरमं मरणं भवति यतः परं पुनरपि जननादिक्केशो नास्ति ताहशे मरणे वृत्ते ततः परं मनुष्यः कथं भवतीति प्रश्नार्थः । एतेन मोक्षरकर्षं पृष्टं भवति । एवं प्राप्ये मोक्षे पृष्टे तत्साधनमि पृष्टमेव । यमश्च नचिकेतसो विषयवैराग्यातिशयं दृष्ट्रा जिज्ञासिते मोक्षखरूपे अस्ति तस्य कश्चन विवेक इति जानन् 'स्तोमं महदुरुगायं दृष्ट्रा घृत्या धीरो निचकेतोऽत्यस्राक्षीः ' इति मोक्षे प्राप्यं वस्तु निचकेतसा गृहीतत्वेन प्रस्तुत्य तं प्रशशंस । एवं निचकेत:प्रशंसाया अवसितत्वात्, तेन दष्टतया उक्तं वस्तु 'तं दुर्दर्श 'मिति तच्छब्देन परामृश्य अत्र विशेषणात् , प्रश्नोत्तरतया यद् वक्तव्यं तदस्मिन् मन्त्रे उक्तमिति अनन्तरमन्त्रे 'एतच्छूत्वा' इत्यादिना प्रतीतेश्व तृतीयप्रश्नस्य इदं प्रतिवचनमिति ज्ञायत इति वेदितव्यम् । अत्र हर्षशोकौ जहातीत्यनेन प्रेतो मनुष्योऽस्ति न वेति सन्देहस्य "अस्ति, संश्व हर्षशोकरहितौऽस्ती" ति परिहारोऽभिप्रेतः। 'अध्यातमयोगाधिगमेन देवं मत्वा ' इत्यनेन स्वात्मवेदनपुरस्सरं भगवदुपासनं तादशमोक्षस्योपाय इति ज्ञापितम् । उपासनानु-रूपत्वात् प्राप्तेः उपास्यमानभगवत्सान्निध्यतदनुभवाविप मुक्तस्य स्त इत्यि व्यिष्ठितम्। 'एतमाप्य ' इसनन्तरमेवेदं स्पष्टीक्रियते ।
- १३. प्रवृह्य । वृह् उद्यमने । उद्यमने ग्रहणम् उपादानम्, प्रत्युपसर्गः प्रतिष्ठत इत्यादाविव विपरीतार्थः । तदुक्तं पृथक्कृत्येति ।

नचिकेतसं हे नचिकेतः त्वां प्रतीत्यर्थः ।

१४. तद्वदेति प्रार्थनात् प्रार्थियतुर्निचिकेतस इदं वचनिमिति स्पष्टम् । किं पुनिरह पृच्छियत इति तु न स्पष्टम् । तथापि प्राप्यस्य ब्रह्मणः प्राप्तुर्जीवस्य प्राप्त्युपायस्योपासनस्य चोपिर यमेन विश्वत्मुपदिश्यमानत्वात् तदर्थ एवायं प्रश्न इति ज्ञायते । प्रसिद्धाभ्यां धर्माधर्माभ्यां कालत्रयवर्तितया ज्ञातपदार्थभ्यश्च यथा विलक्षणं प्राप्यत्रह्मादि भवति तदुपदेष्टव्यमित्यर्थः ।

२०. माष्ये ' इभयत्रान्वये ' इसत्र ' गुहायामप्यन्वये ' इति ' उत्तरत्राप्यन्वये ' इति वा उचितः पाठः ।

ज्यायानेभ्यो लोकेभ्य इत्यादिष्विति। इत्यादिष्ठ परमात्मधर्मतयाऽवगतत्वादित्यर्थो बोध्यः। इत्यादिभिरित्येवं पाठान्तरेण वा केनचिद् भाव्यम्।

- २१. मादशजनादिति । मदन्य इत्यत्रास्मच्छज्दः ब्रह्मविदुपलक्षणार्थ इति तात्पर्यम् ।
- २२. निखत्वेनेति । अवस्थितमित्यत्र अवेत्युपसर्गामिप्रेतोऽयमर्थः । अत्रापि पाठः शोध्य इति भाति ।
 - २४. यस्तु पुरुषार्थमपीति । अपिर्भिनकमः । अतिलङ्घरापीति ।
- २५. किश्वित् प्रतीति । ब्रह्म वा. तदितरद्वां प्रतीत्यर्थः। किश्वत् प्रतीति किं पाठोऽस्ती सन्वेष्टव्यम् ।

असाधारणरूप एव माह्य इति । असाधारणगुण एवेति युक्तम् । असाधारणाकार एवेति पाठान्तरम् ।

तं दुर्दर्शमिलादिचतुर्दशमन्त्रात्मकिमदं साक्षादुपदेशपरं । प्रकरणम् । तत्र प्रथमे उपदेष्टव्यस्यातिसङ्ग्रहेणोपदेशः । अनन्तरे उगसंहारः । तृतीये विस्तरेणोपदेशाय निचकेतसः प्रश्नः । तत्र उपासनकाले अनुसन्धयस्य प्रणवस्योपदेशः स्तुतिश्च सर्वे वेदा इलादिषु त्रिषु मन्त्रेषु । अथ जीवात्मस्वरूपोपदेशो द्वयोः । अथानन्तरे परमात्मोपदेशः । तत्र गुहायां निहित इति यदुक्तं तस्योपपादनं 'आसीनो दूरे व्रजति ' 'अशरीरं शरीरेषु ' इति द्वयोः । धातुप्रसादादिति यदुक्तं तत् किश्चिद् विवृतं 'नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन रूभ्यः ' इल्पनेन । अनेनानुष्टेयोपायिवशेष आक्षिप्यते । एतदङ्गतया वर्जनीयान्याह 'नाविरत ' इत्युत्तरमन्त्रेण । परस्य ब्रह्मणो दुर्शनत्व-कथनपूर्वकमुपदेशोपसंहारः चरमेण 'यस्य ब्रह्म चे 'लनेन ।

तृतीयवङ्घी

१. सखपदवाच्येति । ऋतपदवाच्येति युक्तःपाठः । ननु एवम्भूता ब्रह्मविद् इत्ययुक्तम् । ब्रह्मविदामत्र अश्रवणादिखलाह—केवलपञ्चांशीति ।

अप्रकाशत्वप्रकाशत्वयोरिति । अनयोः रूपवदचेतनधर्मत्वादिति भावः । उपष्टम्भकसुवर्णे इति । द्वन्दः । प्रथमाद्विवचनम् ।

- ११. अत्र अन्ते 'भाषितम् ' इसनन्वितं पठचते ।
- १३. 'इमं मन्त्रं प्रस्तुत्य ' इत्यस्यानन्तरं 'इत्थं हि ' इति स्थितमनन्वितम् ।

१५. अत्र अवतारिका—उपसंइरतीति । उत्तरमन्त्रेऽप्येवं दश्यते । तेनेह स्थितेय-मवतारिका किं युक्तेति विमर्शनीयं भवति । परमात्मोणदेशोपसंहार इह । उपाख्यनोपसंहार उत्तरत्रेति वा होयम् ।

अस्यां वह्नचां योगः प्राधान्येन प्रतिपाद्यत इति स्फुटमेतत् । मन्त्राणां सङ्गतिराप स्पष्टा ।

चतुर्थवली

पूर्वोक्तार्थविशद्भिकरणार्थमेवास्य द्वितीयाध्यायस्य प्रवृत्तिः ।

तत्र "अणोरणीयान्महतो महीयानात्मा" इत्यत्राभित्रेतं सर्वात्मत्वं अस्यां चतुर्थ-वह्नयां प्राधान्येन प्रकाश्यते ।

तत्र परमात्मविज्ञानार्थप्रवृत्तौ अधिकारिदौर्लभ्यं, परमात्मेतरस्य सर्वस्य हेयत्वं, तस्य सर्वे निद्रयनियन्तृत्वं, मनोनियन्तृत्वं, शरीरिन्यन्तृत्वं, सर्वजगत्स्रष्टृब्रह्मनियन्तृत्वं, सामान्यतः सर्वजीवात्मनियन्तृत्वं, अग्निनियन्तृत्वं, तदितरसूर्योदिसवे देवतानियन्तृत्वं, सर्वे देशकाळवर्ति-सर्वपदार्थोत्मत्वं, एवंविधत्वस्य मनोग्नाह्मत्वं, शरीरे स्थितस्यापि निरवद्यत्वं, निख्तवं, मेद-ज्ञानिनामनर्थापातं तत्त्वज्ञानवतां नि श्रेयसं च क्रमेण पश्चदशसु मन्त्रेषु प्रतिपाद्यन्ते ।

१२. किंतु ईश्वरवाचिशव्दादेवेति । ईशत्ववाचीति श्रुत्रप्रकाशिकापाठः । अत ईशानशब्दस्य न श्रुतित्विमिति । श्रुतित्वे नारायणव्याद्यत्तिर्भवतीति वादिनः प्रतिपक्षिणः सर्वधाऽत्र अवकाशो न देय इत्यिभप्रायेणेदम् । वस्तुतस्तु रुद्रप्रतीतिहेतुरूढिपरिंत्यागेन केवल्यौगिकोऽपि सन् अयमीशानशब्दः श्रुतिर्भवति । अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः जीवः परमात्मा वेति संशयः । परमात्म-सर्वेश्वर इति नार्थमेदोऽस्ति । 'जीवो व। सर्वेश्वरो वा ' इत्यपि हि संशयप्रदर्शनं युज्यते । तत्र सर्वेश्वरत्वस्य साक्षाद्वाचकःशब्दः श्रूयमाणः श्रुतिः कथं न भवेत् ? सर्वेश्वरत्वातिरिक्तेन केनिवत् धर्मेण परमात्मात्मत्वे साध्यमाने स धर्मः लिङ्गपदाभिधेयो भवति । रूढिरेव श्रुतिरिति तु नियमो निर्निबन्धनः । निरपेक्षावाचकस्यैव श्रुतित्वात् ।

कालत्रयेति । अद्य श्व इति भूतकालस्याप्युपलक्षणिमिति भावः।

~-0**%8500~--**

पद्धमवली

- १. पुरस्वामिनो यथा पुरं विविक्तं भवतीति । जीवात्मनः शरीरमत्यन्तं बाह्यमिति भावः ।
- २. पुनरप्यस्य सर्वात्मतामेव द्रढयतीति । 'देवं मत्वा ' 'तमकतुः पश्यति ' इत्युक्तो-पासनोपयोगितया पूर्ववञ्चयां प्रतिपादितमपि सर्वात्मत्वं पुनःप्रकारान्तरेणोच्यत इत्यभिप्रायः । शरीरादत्यन्तिविक्तः तदन्तःस्थो जीवात्मेति पूर्वस्मिन् मन्त्रे उक्तम् । तस्याप्यन्तरात्मा परं ब्रह्मेति इह विवक्षितमिति विभाव्यम् ।

- ३. नियमनिशेषप्रदर्शनेन अन्तरात्मत्वं दृढीकर्तु प्रवृत्तः ऊर्ध्वमिति मन्त्रः। सत्त्व-प्रकृतयः सर्वेऽपीति । विश्वे दृदा उपासत इत्यस्य यद्यपि चतुर्मु वादिसर्वदेददन्यत्वमर्था दृत्तुं शक्यते, तथापि उपासनस्येह मोक्षार्थभक्तिरूपत्वौचित्यात् तत्र च मनुष्याणामप्यधिकारित्वात् साधारण्येन सत्त्वप्रकृतय ६ति व्याख्यानं कृतमिति बोध्यम् । 'देवसम्बन्धिनी सम्पद् देवी । देवा भगवदाज्ञानुवृत्तिशीलाः ' इति श्रीमद्गीतामाष्यसृतिरिह अनुसन्ध्या ।
- ४. एवं परमात्मानमुपासीन स्वेति । एतन पूर्वमन्त्रप्रस्तुतोपासनपर्यालोचनया तदनुगुणार्थविवरणिमह कियत इति ज्ञापितम् । यदा तु पूर्वत्र' प्रधानप्रतिपाद्यस्य अन्तरात्मत्वस्य
 पर्यालोचनं कियतं तदा अन्यथाऽपि योजनं शक्यम् । दही जीवात्मा दहवान् जायने ।
 शरीरस्थः अथ शरीरे वाल्ययोवनादिकमण वर्तते । विसंसमानः जरया प्रशिधलगात्रो भवति ।
 देहाद् विमुच्यमान म्रियमाणो भवति । किमत्र पिशिष्यते देहादुत्कान्ते जीवात्मनि अत्र
 तेन सक्ते भूतपूर्वदेहे कि परिशिष्यते तदीयत्वेन व्यपदशाई कि दृस्तु अविष्ठिते । न किमपीसर्थः । भूतपूर्वशरीर पिण्डस्य तत उत्कान्तस्य जीवान्मनश्च न कश्चन सम्बन्धोऽस्तीति भावः ।
 पुरमेकादशद्वारमिति पूर्वमुक्तो विवेक इह दढीकृतः । एवं शरीरं प्रति जीवस्य आत्मत्वमोपाविकमस्थिरम् । जीवं प्रति परमात्मन आत्मत्वं तु स्वाभाविकमनिवर्धं यावत्स्वरूपमावीति वेदितव्यमिति ।
- ५. तस्य...महिमानमाहे।ति । ऊर्ध्व प्राणिमखत्र अन्यपरतया व्यङ्गवतया च स्थित-मिह तात्पर्येण स्पष्टमाहेति भावः ।
 - ६. अश्वर्य इति । विलक्षणाधिकारिलाभजनितविस्मयाभिव्यज्जकमित्यर्थः।
- ७. हन्त त इत्यनेन सूचितमर्थामित । परमात्मतत्त्वश्रवणविमुखसामान्यजनवृत्तान्त-मित्यर्थः ।
- ८. प्ररोचनयति । विरुक्षणाधिकारितां वो यथित्वा उत्साहजननेन सर्थः । प्रकृतमनुसर-तीति । पूर्वमन्त्रे विच्छिनं । रमात्मोपदेशं पुनः परिगृह्णातीसर्थः ।

इदमत्र भाति जीवात्मनो जीवनावस्थायां जीवनहेतुत्वरूपं माहात्म्यं न प्राणेन 'खनेन मन्त्रेणोच्यत इति स्थितं भाष्य एव । जीवनप्रतिद्वन्द्विमरणप्राप्तयनन्तरावस्थायां तदवस्था-हेतुत्वरूपं माहात्म्यं वक्तुं हन्त त इत्यादि मन्त्रद्वयप्रद्यतिः । हन्तेति पञ्चापिनविद्योक्तरीत् । इदं परमं रहस्यभिति व्यक्षयति । ''गुद्धं सनातनं ब्रह्म प्रवक्ष्यामि । मरणं प्राप्य आत्मा यथा अवृति तच्च प्रवक्ष्यामि '' इति योजना । मरणानन्तरभाविनीं जीवात्मावस्थां वक्ष्यामि । तत एव तद्भेतुत्वरूपमाहात्म्यविशेपवत्त्तया ब्रह्मोपदिष्टं विद्वीति भावः । एवं जीवनप्रतिद्वन्द्वितयोप-स्थितमरणोत्तरावस्थाहेतुत्वं प्रतिपाद्य अथ जीवनावस्थायामेव स्वाप्नार्थनिर्मातृत्वरूपं माहात्म्यमाह-—य एष स्रोष्टिवति ।

- ९. पुनरप्युपिदशतीति । दृष्टान्तप्रदर्शनेन वैशदं सम्पादयतीत्यर्थः ।
- १०. उदाहरणान्तरमिति । अग्निदृष्टान्तेन तस्य दुरुपगमत्वं मा शंकि । सूपगम एवं सः तेजस्वित्वातिशयेऽपीति श्रुतिहृदयामिति भावः ।

- ११. आत्मत्वाविशेषेऽपीति । पूर्वमन्त्रावधि अन्तरात्मत्वं सामान्यतो विशेषतश्चोपदिष्टम् । ततः अन्तरात्मत्वे जीवात्भवदस्य दोषसंस्पर्शः स्यादिति शङ्कापरिहारायास्य मन्त्रस्य प्रवृत्तिरिति भावः।
- १२-१३ ये पश्यन्ति तेषामेव मुक्तिरिति । तमात्मस्थं येऽनुपश्यन्तीति धात्वर्थो विधेय इति भावः । मत्वेति पूर्वमुक्तं दर्शनाकारमेव मननमिति हृदयम् । शाश्वतं सुखं नित्य आनन्दः । तदुक्तं मुक्तिरिति । शाश्वती शान्तिः मोक्षप्रतिबन्धकस्य सर्वस्य आत्यन्तिकी निवृत्तिरित्यर्थः ।
- १४ एवमुक्तः शिष्य आहेति । परमात्मखरूपविषयमुपदेशं समाप्य तदुपासनप्रस्तावं कृतं श्रुत्वा पुनरिप खरूपविषयस्यैव संशयिवशेषस्य परिहरणाय तद्विषयं प्रश्नं करोतीस्थंः । एतिदितीति । तत् मन्यन्ते निष्पन्नयोगाः । कथं मन्यन्ते । एतिदिति निर्देश्यं मन्यन्ते । एतिदिति निर्देश्यं मन्यन्ते । एतिदिति निर्देश्यं मन्यन्ते । एतिदिति निर्देश्यमित्यस्य कोऽर्थः । करतलामलकददपरोक्षामिस्थंः । परेत्वत्र अनिर्देश्यमिति पठित्वा व्याचक्षते । कथं रूपादिहीनिमिति । रूपादिहीनत्वात् चक्षुषा तावन्न गृह्यते । चक्षुषा अगृहीत्स्य मनसा भावनमसम्भवि । मनसा तदीयं रूपं विभाव्य भावियतुं शक्यमिति चेत्-तदूपं कथं विभावनीयम्—कि घटपटादिवदप्रवाशस्त्ररूपम् ; अथ वा दिपादिवत्प्रकाशस्त्ररूपम् । उत्तर्त्रापि कि दीपादिवदेव साधारणप्रकाशस्त्ररूपं उतादिस्यादिवत् विशिष्टप्रकाशस्त्ररूपम् ? इति प्रश्नामिप्राय इति भावः ।
- १५. एवमत्र पूर्वार्ध मन्यन्त इस्रत्र अधिकारिविशेषपरतया योजनं कृतम् । ये पुरुषार्थ विशेषसाधनाय प्रवर्तन्ते ते तत्साधनप्रवृत्तेः पूर्व अयं पुरुषार्थः एवंविध इति निर्देश्यं विविच्य क्षातव्यं लोके जना मन्यन्ते । न हि साध्यस्य निष्कृष्टं ज्ञानं विना साधने प्रवृत्तिर्भवति । अतो मयापि तद् ज्ञातव्यम् । कथं तद् विजानीयामिति समानाधिकारिकमि योजियतुं शक्यामिति भाति ।

सवोतिशायिदी तिमानिति । किमादिखादिवत् विशिष्टभानवदिति प्रस्नः । यथा तत्सन्तिधौ धादिखादीनां भानमेव नास्ति तथा विशिष्टभानवत् । न परमेवं तदपेक्षया आदिखादीनामखरूपं भानम् , किन्तु इदमेषामरूपं भानमपि तदनुष्रहायत्ति सिखुत्तरमिति भावः ।

षष्ठवल्ली

योगोपदेशपरेयं चरमा बङ्घी ।

- १. तद्विलक्षणमेव ब्रह्मेति दर्शयतीति । वृक्षत्वरूपणेन तस्य छेदात्वनश्वरत्वासारत्वादि-श्रापनद्वारा तत्र वैराग्यजननमिहाभिप्रेतमिति भावः ।
- २. प्राणशन्दितपरमातमा कम्पयंतीति। तथाच 'परमात्मनि यो रक्तः' इत्युक्त-रीत्या परमात्मनि अनुरागातिशयं जनियतुं अस्मिन् अनन्तरे च मन्त्रे तस्य माहात्म्यं वर्ण्यत इति भावः।
 - ३. पूर्वमन्त्रे सामान्यत उक्तं जगत् कम्पत इति । तद् विशेषत इह दिशदीक्रयते अग्रन्थादीनां देवेषु प्रधानानां भये उक्ते इतरस्य सर्वस्थैव कै.मृतिकेन तत् सिध्यति ।
 - ४. तस्मात् रारीरपातात्प्रागेवेति । तथाच उपाये त्वराजननमस्मिन् मन्त्रे क्रियत इति भावः।

आत्मज्ञानायेति । मन्त्रे बोध्दुमिति । शास्त्राधीनः परमात्मवोधो विवक्षितः । सोऽपि निद्धिः । सिद्धासनपर्यवसाय्यभिप्रतः ।

५. आत्मनो दुर्बोधत्वमिति। 'क इत्था वेद यत्र सः' इत्यादिना तस्य दुर्बोधत्वं पूर्वमुक्तम्। तथा सित कथिमिह तस्य बोधः सम्पाद्यततयोच्यत इति शङ्कायाः परिहारोऽत्र कियते। दुर्बोधत्वं यदुक्तं तत् तथैव। अथापि शास्त्राद् यावद् बोद्धं शक्यते तावद् बुध्वा तदुपासनं कार्यमिति तात्पर्यमिति भावः।

प्रखड्मुखत्वादयो ये कल्पितार्थाः तैः अनवरुद्धतया अनाकुलतया।

- ६. आत्मनि न सन्तीति ज्ञात्विति । योगो वक्तव्यः । तत्र खात्मज्ञानमप्यक्रम् । तिहह उपिद्यत इति भावः ।
- ७-८. दहिविक्तिति । ज्ञानेऽपीत्यपिना तत्पूर्वकयोगः समुचीयते । अनेन मन्त्रद्वयेन योगिवरोधिसर्वप्रतिबन्धकनिवर्तनपूर्वकतदुपकारकसर्वोङ्गसम्पादनमभिष्ठेयत इति भावः ।
- ९. माह्य इति विवृत इति । पूर्वार्धे प्रकारान्तरेण दुर्प्रहत्वमनू व उत्तरार्धेन भक्तियोगो विभीयत इति भाव. ।
 - १०. योगस्बस्पिम् विशदीकियते।
 - ११. अप्रमत्तत्या भवितव्यमिति । प्रमादवर्जनयत्न इह विधित्सित इति भावः ।
- १२-१४. गभीरोऽयमथों मनिस प्रतिष्ठाये असकृत् प्रतिपादनमहंती सिप्रायेण पुनर्भक्रियन्तरेण थोगप्रवृत्तिक्रम उच्यते । तत्र शास्त्रेतराबोध्यतं 'नैव वाचा दसनेन मन्त्रेणोच्यते ।
 प्रथमं शास्त्रतो ब्रह्म ज्ञातव्यमित्युक्तं भवति । अथानन्तरमन्त्रे ''अस्तित्येवोपलव्धव्यस्तत्त्वभावेन
 च '' इस्रनेनांशेन श्रवणानन्तरभाविनी मनननिदिध्यासने उच्येत । अवशिष्ठांशेन मनसो नैर्मल्यप्रतिपादनद्वारा दर्शनसमानाकारशस्ययोऽभिभेतः । अनुष्ठानकाल एव जायमानं योगाभ्यासफलं
 'यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्ते ' इस्रनेन मन्त्रेणोच्यते ।
- १५. उक्तमेवार्थमिति । कामाः प्रमुच्यन्त इति योऽर्थ उक्तः स एव कामानां दुर्मोचत्वं तथापि उपासनेन अत्यन्तं निवर्तन्त इति योगवेभवं च प्रतिपाद्यितुं 'प्रन्थयः प्रभिद्यन्ते ' इत्यतिशयोक्तिभङ्गया उक्तः, न त्वर्थान्तरमिति भावः ।
- १६. द्वितीयां परममुक्तिमिति । पूर्व 'अथ मत्यों ऽमृतो भवस्त्र ब्रह्म समञ्जूते ' इति शर्पातात् प्रागेव भवन्ती मुक्तिरुक्ता । इह तु तत्पातादनन्तरं गतिविशेषेण देशविशेषं गत्बा प्राप्या निवृत्तिप्रसंगरहितनिरतिशयानन्दस्राभरूपा मुक्तिरुच्यत इति ।
- १७. यथा उपकमे 'तं दुर्दर्शं गूहमनुप्रविष्टं' इति तत्त्वहितपुरुषार्थसङ्गृहः कृतः तथेह उपसंहारेऽपि कियत इति बोध्यम्।
- १८. आख्यायिकार्थमिति। अध्यायद्वयस्य वक्कीषट्कस्य चायसुपसंहार इति भाषः। तत्र विद्यामेतामिति प्रथमाध्यायस्य प्रधानप्रतिपाद्यार्थनिर्देशः। योगविधिश्वेति द्वितीयाध्यायस्य।

पा ठान्त राणि.

कोशसकेतिववरणम् — श्रीवेकटेश्वरप्राच्यकोशागारस्थ लिखितपत्रात्मकः — क. पूना-भानन्दाश्रममुद्रितः ख.

- पु. पं
 - ४ १७ प्रार्थयस्व क.
- " २५ प्रयुइक्ताम् क
- ६ २ त्वमेतिन ख.
- ,, १४ पुनराह ख.
- ,, २१ ददानि क. 💩
- ७ १ किंव विचित्रा ख.
- . ८ त्रिभि अमिभि क.
- , ११ मन्त्रखण्ड ख.
- ८ ९ प्रसियततमै क.
- ११ १८ विशेषे उत्कर्ष क.
- १४ ११ अन्ये वित्ति ख.
- १५ २४ सुज्ञेय ख.
- १६ ६ सुहोय ख.
- १८ १२ तत्रखान् वर्णरतिप्रमोदान् वर्णा स्व.
- २० २ बहवोऽलोछप-त ख.
- २० ६ नालोछपन्त ख.
- ,, ८ अद्भावश्च ख.
- ,, २५ चशब्दश्चाध्याहर्य इति स्थाने 'क' कोशे 'इतिशब्दश्चार्थ. 'इसस्ति। तत्र 'इतिशब्द 'इतिस्थाने उत-शब्द इति कि स्यादिस्यालोच्यते।
- २५ ७ विलक्षण उपाय क.
- २६ ७ दर्शनादधर्मादन्यन्नेति प्रसि-द्वोपायविरोधिविलक्षणविरो-
 - धिप्रश्न
- ,, १७ न ब्रह्म- अपितु स्त्र.
- २८ १ तत्रैव शुद्धात्म स्व.

- पु पं.
- ३१ ९ द्वाभ्यां सूत्राभ्या ख,
- ३३ २४ प्रतिपाद्यं दुर्विज्ञा ख.
 - ,, २६ दुर्विज्ञानत्व ख.
- ३४ ८ तद्वलात् न जायते क.
- ३५ १० प्रवचनशब्देन प्रवचनसाधनं मनन लक्ष्यते । उत्तरत्र ' न मेधया न बहुना श्रुतेने 'ति वक्ष्यमाणध्यानश्रवणसमभि-व्याहारबलन प्रवचनशब्देन मननस्येव गृहीतु मुचितत्वात् ख.
- ३७ ७ स्त्रासाधारणाकार एव ख.
- ,, १९ सूपासत्व क.
- ३८ ११ कर्मफलभोक्तल ख.
- ३९ २५,दुरुपासत्व क.
- ४१ ..२५ पुरुषार्थसाधन ख.
- ४८ ९ इवेत् सुभृतो क.
- ,, ४ पूर्वेणान्वयः। इदि स्वधारणे। क.
- ,, १२ नातिकामति ख.
- ५७ ६ याचितकमण्डित पुरुषतुरुया-नामेतेषा स्व
- ५८ १४ स्वस्मानिस्सृत सकलं जगत् खः
- ,, २० स्वस्त्रव्यापार ख.
- ६३ ८ जीवस्य ओक.-स्थानं क.
- ., ⁻९ तस्य हैतस्य हृद्यस्य कृ
- ६४ ८ इवैव क.
- ६५ ३ निरूपणेन ख.
- ६७. ५ नन्वव्यक्तशब्दस्य कृ.

शुद्धिपत्रम्.

| a . | ų . | g. | र्षं. |
|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|
| ٩ | २४ हितकाम | ₹\$ | १५ सिद्धवति |
| २ | ३ घेनुर्दक्षिणे | ३२ | २० सर्वेषाम |
| ४ | ७ इष्टं यागादि | ४१ | ६ प्रापयति |
| Ŋ | ७ विगतमन्यु | ४२ | २४ मिन्द्रियादीनां |
| ,, | १० मत्प्रेषितम् | 1.0 | २५ मनसी |
| ঙ | १४ परमात्मकत्वपर्यन्तोऽर्थः | ४३ | १२ निर्देष्टव्ये |
| ۷ | ४ ब्रह्मात्मकस्वस्वरूप |) 1 | १४ दात्मनि वर्तमान इति विशेषणस्य |
| ٩, | ३ सूर्यान्तरं | ४४ | ४ क्षयं |
| ,, | २६ किंच ''श्वोभाषा | ४५ | १३ परानश्चन्तीति |
| 99 | १६ ज्योतिष्टोमस्याह | 77 | १५ खानि |
| 93 | १२ समर्थेते | ४६ | १९ स्वाप्तप्रपश्चं |
| 94 | ७ मर्त्यः | ४७ | १ गुप्तिज्किद्भय |
| ,, | ११ 'पुह्लिंगतच्छब्देन परामर्श्दर्श- | 28 | १२ 'इलक्धारणे ' इलिकम् । |
| | नात् 'गुहां प्रविष्टावात्मानौ हि | | तथाच 'कश्चन-तत् सर्वात्मकं' |
| | तद्दर्भनात् 'इत्यत्रापि प्रविष्टाः | | इत्येव पाट्यम् । |
| | विति उपसर्जनतया निर्दिष्टस्य | 49 | १५ आत्मैव |
| | प्रवेशस्य तद्दर्शनादिति तच्छब्दे- | ५३ | २४ सङ्कल्प्य सङ्कल्प्य |
| | न परामर्शदर्शनात् 'सर्वनाम्रा- | 48 | २०-२१ चक्षुर्मलदिंभि |
| 7.5 | ,, सन्धिर्वृत्ति | ५५ | २ वार्थः। " इमी सा मुनिशार्द्छ |
| 96 | ६ वर | | किंकरी समुपस्थिती ' इत्युक्त- |
| ₹ \$ | २५ लभ्य इ | | रीत्या भक्तवस्य इति वार्थः। एकं |
| २२ | १९ कामस्याप्ति | | बीजं |
| २४ | १७ स्वरूपंच | €0 | ४ इन्द्रियेभ्यः |
| ,, | २७ म्रियते | ६१ | ৎ সাণ্ট্র |
| २५ | २६ सामानाधिकरण्य | ६२ | २४ स्वस्वरूपा |
| २६ | ५ मानसो | ६३ | १४ हार्देन |
| २८ | ६ भाषात् 'न तत्र स्यों - | | |
| | | | |

कठोपनिषद्भाष्योदाहतप्रमाणानां आकरसूची

इन्द्रियाणि द्शैकं च

पु

तै. ना. ३६-५३ ४९ अङ्ग्रप्टमात्रः पुरुषो अङ्ग्रष्टमातं पुरुषं महा. भार ४९ अज्ञुष्ठमात्रः पुरुपोन्तरात्मा श्वे. ३-१३ ४९ अङ्ग्रप्टमात्रो रवितुल्य श्वे. ५-७-८ 88 अत एव शणः ब्र. स् १-१-२४ 46 अत्ता चराचरप्रहणास् ब्र. सू. १-२-९ 88 गी, १८१४ अधिष्ठानं तथा ४२ अनेन जीवनात्मना छा. ६-३-२ 39 अप एव ससर्ज मनु. १.८ ४७ अपहत्य पाम्पानं केन. ४.९ 93 अभिवद्ति नाभिवाद्यते ४ अमेदव्यापिनो वायोः वि.पु.२ १४-३२ २८ ते, काठ. ३ अयं वाव यः पवते V अविन।शि तु गी. २-१७ २७ गौ. ८-२१ अव्यक्तोक्षर इत्युक्त २७ अस्मात्प्रवक्ष्यते महा.भा.शा.मो. ३४३-४५ ३२ ष्ट्रह. ३-४-१० अहं मनुरभवं 86 अहः खःकतौ पाणि वा 99 तै. आ. १ आकाशाद्वायुः ३० तै- आ. १ ₹ o आत्मन आकाशः आदिखवर्णं तमसः श्वे. ३८ ५५ आदिखश्चश्चभूत्वा ऐ. उ. १-२ ५४ कौ ३-८ १३ आनन्दोऽजरो आनुमानिकमप्येकेषां ब्र, सू. १-४-१ ६६ गुप्तिज्किद्भ्य पाणि, सू. ३-१-५ आभूतसंस्रवं स्थानं इदं महोप महा भा शा.मो ३४८-६२ ३९ इदं शतसहस्राद्धि महा. भा. शा.

इमो स्म मुनिशार्द्ल रामा. बा. ३१-४ 44 इयदामननात् ब्र. सू. ३-३-३४ ३८ ईश्वरक्शर्व ईशान अमर १-१-३० 40 **ईश्वरः** सर्वभूतानां गी. १८-६१ ४२ **उत्र**त्त्यसंभवात् ब्र. सृ. २-२-३९ 39 ऊर्षमूलमधः गी. १५-१ 40 ऋग्यजुस्साम महा भ.शा मो ३४३-४१ ३२ एकदेशेनि यो तन्त्र वा १-४-७ 99 वि, पु १-२-४७ एकाद्शं मनश्रात्र 59 एतस्माज्जायते प्राणः मुण्ड. २-१-३ 39 एवं तत्त्वमिदं फ़ुत्सम् 37 एष म अत्मान्तहृद्ये छा. ३-१४-४ 38 एष ते दायौ पू. सी-९-३-३ 93 एष लोकाञ्चिपति 93 एष संत्रसादो छा. ८-३-४ २३ कौ. ३-६४ एषद्येव साधुकर्म 93 ओं तत्सदिति निर्देशः गी १७-२३ २८ ओमिखनेनाक्षरेण प्रश्न. ५-५ 26 औदुम्बरस्सोमतचमसो पू.सी.१०-३ १९ कम्पनात् ब्र. सु. १-३-४० क्यन्तो युः पाणि, लिङ्गा, ४१ क्षेत्रज्ञं चापि मां गी. १३.२ ४७ ४७ गुहां प्रविष्टी ब्र स्. १-२-११ २४ प्रसिष्णु प्रभविष्णुं च गी. १३-१६ २७ पाणि, सूँ ६-१-१६ महिज्या मो. ३५३-११ ३१ छन्दस्युभयथा पाणि. सू. ३-४-११७ इदं श्रेय इदं महा भा शा मो ३४३-३३ ३२ं जगद्भशे वर्तते महा भार. ५५

g

99

गी, १३-५

| जीवेशावाभासेन नृ. ता. ९ | ३९ |
|--|--|
| जुगुप्साविराम पाणि.वा. २-३ २८ | ४७ |
| जुष्टं यदा पश्यति श्वे. ४-७ | ३४ |
| ज्योतिर्दर्शनात् ब्र सू. १-३-४१ | ष६ |
| ज्योतिषामपि तत् गी. १३-१७ | २८ |
| ज्ञाज्ञोद्वावजो श्वे १.९ | ३० |
| ज्ञेयत्वावचनाच ब्र. सू. १-४-४ | इ६ |
| तद्धीनत्वादर्थंवत् ब्र, सू. १-४-३ | इ६ |
| तदोकोग्रज्वलनं इ. सू. ४-२-१६ | ६३ |
| तमेव शरणं गी. १८-६२ | ४२ |
| तमेव शास्त्रकर्तारः महा. भा. शा. | |
| सो ३५९-७० | ३२ |
| तमः परेदेवे एकी प्रश्न, ४-२ | 44 |
| तयोरन्यः पिप्पलं पैङ्गिरहस्य | ३८ |
| तस्मिम् जज्ञे खयं मनु. १-९ | 80 |
| तस्य तावदेव चिरम् छा. ६-१४-२ | 45 |
| तस्य धेनुरिति गवाम् पू.मो.सू.१०-३-५ | ६२ |
| . 6 | |
| तस्य घेनुदक्षिणा पू. मी १०-३-१४ | २ |
| तस्यां हिरण्सयःकोशः तै. उ. | 92 |
| तस्यां हिरण्सयःकोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य मृह, ६-४-२ | 92 |
| तस्यां हिरण्मयःकोशः तै. उ. तस्य द्दैतस्य हृदयस्य मृह. ६-४-२ तस्यैष आत्मा विश्वति मुण्ड. ३-२-४ | 92 43 23 |
| तस्यां हिरण्मयःकोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य मृह. ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड. ३-२-४ शिस्र एव साह्रस्य १ ३-३-८ | 92 |
| तस्यां हिरण्सयः कोशः तै. उ. तस्य द्वेतस्य हृदयस्य मृह, ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड, ३-२-४ तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ | 9 4 7 9 |
| तस्यां हिरण्मयःकोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य नहः, ६-४-२ तस्यैष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड. ३-२-४ तिम्र एव साह्रस्य १ ३-३-८ तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति बृह. ६-४-८ | 9 4 4 9 9 8 |
| तस्यां हिरण्मयःकोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य गृह, ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड. ३-२-४ तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति गृह. ६-४-८ ते ब्रह्मलोके त | 9 4 7 9 |
| तस्यां हिरण्मयः कोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य गृहः ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मुण्डः ३-२-४ तिम्र एव साहस्य १ ३-३-८ तिम्रन्तं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति गृहः ६-४-८ ते ब्रह्मलोके तु मुण्डः ३-२-६ तै. अम्म- २-१०-२२ | 9 4 4 9 9 8 8 |
| तस्यां हिरण्मयःकोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य गृह. ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड. ३-२-४ तिम्न एव साह्स्य १ ३-३-८ तिम्नतं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन भीरा भिपयन्ति गृह. ६-४-८ ते ब्रह्मलोके तु मुण्ड. ३-२-६ ते. अम्भ- २-१०-२२ तोयेन जीवान् व्यससर्ज तै.अम्भ, १-१ | 0 W W 0 0 0 W 0 0 |
| तस्यां हिरण्सयः कोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य गृह, ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड, ३-२-४ तिम्नतं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति गृह, ६-४-८ ते ब्रह्मलोके तु मुण्ड, ३-२-६ ते, अम्भ-२-१-२२ तोयेन जीवान् व्यससर्ज तै, अम्भ, १-१ तं देवा ज्योतिषां गृह, ६-४-१६ | 9 W W 9 9 W 0 0 W W |
| तस्यां हिरण्सयः जोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य जृहः ६-४-२ तस्यैष आत्मा विशति मुण्डः ३-२-४ तिस्र एव साहस्य १ ३-३-८ तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति जृहः ६-४-८ ते ब्रह्मलोके तु मुण्डः ३-२-६ ते. अम्भः २-१०-२२ तोयेन जीवान् व्यससर्ज तै.अम्भः १-१ तं देवा ज्योतिषां जृहः ६-४-१६ तं विद्याकर्मणी समन्वा जृहः ६-४-२ | A W W O O O O O W W W |
| तस्यां हिरण्ययः कोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य वृह. ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड. ३-२-४ तिम्न एव साहस्य १ ३-३-८ तिम्नतं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति वृह. ६-४-८ ते ब्रह्मलोके तु मुण्ड. ३-२-६ ते. अम्भ- २-१०-२२ तोयेन जीवान् व्यससर्ज तै.अम्भ. १-१ तं देवा ज्योतिषां वृह. ६-४-१६ तं विद्याकर्मणी समन्वा वृह. ६-४-२ त्रयाणामेव चैवम् ब्र स्. १-४-६ | and |
| तस्यां हिरण्ययः कोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य मृह. ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मृण्ड. ३-२-४ तिष्ठनतं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति मृण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ब्रह्मकोके तु मृण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ब्रह्मकोके तु मृण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ते व्याज्योतिषां मृह. ६-४-१ तं देवा ज्योतिषां मृह. ६-४-१ तं विद्याकर्मणी समन्वा मृह. ६-४-२ त्रयाणामेव चैवम् ब्रह्म पू. १-४-६ इश्वेमे पुरुषे प्राणाः मृह. ५-९-४ | or o |
| तस्यां हिरण्सयःकोशः तै. ज. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य मृष्ट. ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड. ३-२-४ तिम्नतं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२० तेन धीरा अपियन्ति मृण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ब्रह्मकोने तु मुण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ब्रह्मकोने तु मुण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ब्रह्मकोने तु मुण्ड. ३-२-६ ते विद्यानमंणी समन्वा मृह. ६-४-१ त्रयाणामेव चैवम् ब्रह्म ६-४-१ त्रयाणामेव चैवम् ब्रह्म ५-१-४-६ द्वतापारमार्थं च वि. पु. १-१-६६ | or we we or or or or or we we we we were |
| तस्यां हिरण्ययः कोशः तै. उ. तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य मृह. ६-४-२ तस्येष आत्मा विशति मृण्ड. ३-२-४ तिष्ठनतं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति मृण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ब्रह्मकोके तु मृण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ब्रह्मकोके तु मृण्ड. ३-२-६ ते ते व्याज्योतिषां मृह. ६-४-१ तं देवा ज्योतिषां मृह. ६-४-१ तं विद्याकर्मणी समन्वा मृह. ६-४-२ त्रयाणामेव चैवम् ब्रह्म पू. १-४-६ इश्वेमे पुरुषे प्राणाः मृह. ५-९-४ | or o |

द्वितीयं विष्णुसंज्ञस्य वि. पु. ६-७-५५ २७ ध्रवसूर्योन्तरं यत्तु वि. यु. २-७-१८ न केसरिणो ददाति पू. मी. ३-४-१४ न च कर्तुःकरणं ब्र.स्.२-२-४० 39 न जातुकामः कामानां सनु. २-९४ नारदपरिव्राजकोपनिषत् ३-३७ 90 न तद्भासयते स्र्यः गी. १५-६ २८ न प्रेख संज्ञास्ति बृह. ६-५-१३ 88 नवनीतं यथा महा.भा.शा.मो३५३-१२ न सन्हरो तिष्ठति महाभारत ξo नात्मा श्रुतेः ब्र. स्. २-३-१८ नास्य नरयैतज्ञीर्यति छा. ८-१-५ निर्व्यापारमनाख्येयम् २७ नेतरोनुपपत्तेः व्र. सू. १-१-१७ ३४ पद्मानि यस्याप्र कुमारसं १-१६ परं ज्योतिरुप छा, ८-१२-२ ५ परात्तु तच्छूतेः ब्र. सू. २-३-४० ४२ पराभिध्यानाँसु ब्र. सू. ३-२-४ १५ पाप्यसानाय्य पाणि. सू. ३-१-१२९ ११ पू. सी. ५-३-३ <u>पृथुपाजनत्यो</u> 93 प्रजापतिः प्रजा अस्जत यजु, अष्ट २ 30 प्रसीद खच्युतस्तस्मिन् 38 प्रसूतिनाशस्थिति २७ प्राणस्तथानुगमात् व्र. सू. १-१-२९ १३ प्राणाधिपः संचरति श्वे. ५-७ ४९ प्राणी तु चेतनो जन्मी अमर १-५-३० प्रैतु होतुश्रमसः प्रमी. ३-३-६ ११ ब्राह्मणैः क्षत्रियैः महा. भा. भी. ६६-३९-४० ३२ गी. ११-५४ ६० भक्तथालनन्यया भविष्यति प्रमाणं म,भा,शां,मो३४३-४५ ३२ भीषास्माद्वातः तै आ. ८-१ भूयसां स्थात्सधर्मस्बम् पू. मी. सू. 97-7-28 90

/ **y**.

भोगेन दिवतरे त्र, स. ४-१-१९ 49 मनुष्याणां सहस्रेषु गी, ७-३ 29 मुख्यं वा पू. मी. स्. १२-२-२५ 90 मूलत.शाखां परिवास्य पू.मी. ४-२-३ 33 य आत्मनि तिष्ठन् बृह माध्य ५-७-२२ 83 यदादिखगतं तेजो गी, १५-१३ 40 यदि तु ब्रह्मणः पू. मी. स्. १०-३-७२ 3 यद्येकं यूपं पू. मी. ९-३-३ 93 यथाधर्मेण बित्रते महा.भा.शां.३३५-५ 88 यस्मिन्नोष्णम् 90 यस्यादिखो भां 48 यावतोऽश्वान् पू. मी. ११-४-११ 93 यावनविश्रमाः ३२ यूपो वै यद्भस्य पू. मी. ९-३-३ 93 ये चामुष्मात्पराश्चो लोकाः छा, १-६-८ ३६ योगिनः प्रतिस्मर्येते ब्र. स्. ४-२-२० 93 यो देवानां प्रथमं श्वे. ३-४ 80 रमणीयचरणाः **動**、 4-90-6 43 रिमभिरेषोऽस्मिन् बृह् ५-५-२ 48 पाणि. सू. ३-१-३४ **ख**पसद 78 लौकिके दोषसंयोगात् पू. मी. ९-३-३ 93 बदतीति चेन्न बृ. सू. १-४-५ 88 वायुश्वन्तरिश्च चैतदमृतं ₹. ४-३-३ 30 वासुदेवात्संकर्षणो परमसंहिता 39 विज्ञानादिभावे वा ब. स्. २-२-४१ 39 वित्रतिषेधाच ब्र. सू. २-२-४२ 39 विशेषणाच 可. 根. 9-2-92 वैदिकी वैदिकत्वैन शासदीपिका 93 ब्यविह्ताश्च पाणि, सू. १-४-८२ Ę शब्ददेव प्रमितः ब्र. सू १-३-२३ 88 सङ्गर्षणात् प्रसुम्नसंइं पर्मसंहिता 39 स तत्र पर्येति छा. ८-१२-३ २३ सदैककपकपाय 44

પુ. संन्दिग्घेषु वाक्यशेषात् पू.मी.१-४-२४ सप्त इमे लोकाः मुण्ड. २-१-८ सप्तगतेः ब्र.सू २-४-४ समानाचासृति ब्र. सू. ४-२-७ ६२ समिधमानवतीं सिगध्यवतीं पू.मी.सू. 4-3-38 99 समं सर्वेषु भूतेषु गी. १३-२७ २७ स यदि पितृलोक **ত্তা**. ८-२-१ 93 सर्वतः पाणिपादं नी. १३-१३ २८ सर्वनाम्रानुसन्धि काव्या,सू ५-१-११ 94 सर्वस्य चाहं गी. १५-१५ ४२ सर्वे प्रमाणं हि तथा महा, भा, शा, मो. ३४३ ४४ 33 सर्वेषु च नुपश्रेष्ठ महा, भा, शा मो. ३५९-६९ ३२ सह ब्रह्मणा विपश्चिता तै. आ. १-१ ३४ सह्यनादिरनन्तश्च परमछंहिता 39 सांख्यं योगः पाश्वरात्रम् महा, भा, शां, मो, २५९-६४ 35 सुपां सुद्धक्, पाणि, स्. ७-१-३९, ४२ गी. १३-१५ सूक्ष्मत्वात्तद् विशेषं 20 स्समं तु तद्हीत्वात् ब्र. स् १-४-२ ६६ संयमनेत्वनु भूय **म. स्.** ३-१-१३ २० सःस्वर्गःस्यात् पू. मी. स् ४-३-१५ सर्गादिभ्यो यद्वक्तव्यः पाणि सू वा. 4-9-999 खर्गापदर्गमार्गाभ्यां क्रगीपवर्गयो रेकं खाराँपि पातभीतस्य वि. पु. ६-५-५० 92 स्तरमे तार्ध्यम् ऋ. वे. १०-१७८-१ ब्र. सू. २-४-५ हस्तादयस्तु हिरण्यगर्भ पश्यत क्वे. ४-१२ हृद्यपेक्ष्या त ब्र. सु. १-३-२४ 88

HARIH OM

KATHOPANISAD

I. 1.

Usan ha vai Vājasravasah sarvavedasam dadau | tasya ha Naciketā nāma putra āsa ||

Once, the son of Vājaśravas, desiring (some fruit) gave away all his possessions. He had a son named Naciketas.

COMMENTARY:

- 1. Let my anjali go to the Beauty of Anjanadri, of the colour of common flax(atasi)-flower-bunch, with His chest adorned by Śri.
- 2. Bowing to Vyāsa, Rāmānuja and other teachers, I shall write this comment, according to my intelligence, on the Kathopaniṣad, for the delight of the learned.

uśan: Desirous. The word comes from the root vaśa meaning desire with the suffix śatr, which gets sampraśāraņa (Pāṇṇṇi I. i. 45) as enjoined in the sūtra beginning with grahijya (Pāṇṇṇi VI. i. 16).

ha vai: These two are particles, (used to) indicate things that have transpired. 'The fruit' is to be supplied.

vājaśravasah: son of Vājaśravas. Vājaśravas is one who has attained fame through gists of food (vāja). His son is Vājaśravasah. Or it may be a name, merely, Vajaśravas.

The meaning is that the sage indeed performing the sacrifice called Viśvajit (conqueror of the world) in which every

- 1. Beauty of Anjanādii is Śri Venkateśvara.
- 2. cf. Sri Krishna Piem Yoga of the Kathopanisad p. 14. meaning of Vajaśravasa.
- 3. The Viśvajit-sacrifice was performed by Mahābali when Vāmana came and asked for three paces of ground symbolically the earth, Heaven and Self, thus completing the sacrifice fully, Vāmana is stated to be the subject dealt with by this Upaniṣad. cf. Viṣṇusahaṣranāma . viśvam (1).

possession is to be offered as fees for performance (daksinā) (to Rtviks), gave away in that sacrifice all his possessions. By the word 'usan' is indicated that the sacrifice, being one that is performed for getting some fruit, the fees, must necessarily be in every way correct (and proper).

 $\bar{a}sa:babh\bar{u}va:$ there was. Here $(bh\bar{u})$ has not replaced the root 'as' as in 'svasthaye $t\bar{a}rksyam$ ' (for the well-being, the Garuda), since lit (Perfect tense) is a $s\bar{a}rvadh\bar{a}tuka$ (Pāṇiṇi III 4. 113) as enjoined in Chandasy ubhayathā.

I. 2.

tam ha kumāram santam dakṣināsu niyamānāsu śraddhāviveśa somanyata.

While the fees were being distributed, Śraddha overtook him, who was still young. He reflected thus:

COMMENTARY:

tam: Him, Naciketas

kumāram santam: who was still young1

dakṣiṇāsu niyamānāsu: at the time of distribution of fees, namely, cows to the rtviks (the officiating priests)

śraddhā: devotional mentality due to his wishing well for his father (pitur-hita-kāma-prayuktā)

āviveśā: overtook.

Even though the thing which helps in procuring consent (to officiate as priests) is called fee(s) (dakṣiṇā), and the consent is (given) only once in a sacrifice, since (the word fees) gains its significance on account of that consent, therefore the word fees (dakṣiṇā) has to be only a singular number; wherefore (ata eva) it is stated by Jaimini (Mīmāmsā-sūtra X. iii. 56) "O-milch cows in the passage cow is the fee of it" (tasya dhenūr iti gavām), that in the passage 'the milch cow is its fee' read in

- 1. cf. Krishna Prem "boy as he was."
- 2. Krishna Prem translates it as Faith, but it is not "blind faith' accompanied by hidden doubt" It is true faith or "fair faith," which is a form of Knowledge.

the context of the one-day sacrifice called $bh\bar{u}$, all the fees, the cows (112), the horse, & etc, belonging to the original sacrifice (pratrtasya) are to be substituted by it (dhenu), this word fee vere signifies some wealth (bhrti). And it is used with referocc "o action as in "In this action this is the wage". It is also used with reference to the agent (kartr) as in "In this action, this is the wage to this person (agent)." Since therefore the fees are many on account of the officiating priests being many, the plural 'fees' (daksināsu) is proper. Therefore, it is said in the adhikarana in Chapter X beginning with the sūtra "If the gift is to the brahman (priest) the see (to the Rk priest) will be less by it, with the modification the fee will be the same" that, if the passage occuring in the sacrifice called Rtapeya "The fee is the Soma-vessel made of Udumbara (fig-wood)," it must be given to the Brahman-priest. who is friendly and of the same gotra," is to be one single sentence (which it is not), then, the Brahman-priest's share alone is to be substituted, since the word 'daksina' could signify it with its primary significance without any resort to the secondary significance (laksanā) in respect of the portion.

Now therefore it can be seen that even though the fee in reference to this (Viśvajit) sacrifice be one, there may be differences in the fees in reference to the *rtviks*, and as such, there is no impropriety in the (use of the) plural 'fees'

I. 1. 3.

pitodakā jagdha-trnā dugdha-dohā nirindriyāh | anandā nāma te lokās tān sa gacchati tā dadat ||

He who gives these (cows) by which the water has been drunk, the grass has been eaten, the milk has been given (and) which have become barren, goes to those worlds that are well-known as delightless.

COMMENTARY .

The manner of this devotional mentality (on the part of Naciketa.) is thus shown

^{1,} Yadı tu brāhmanāh tad, ımama tad-vikārasyāt Jaimini. P M S X. iii. 72.

pitodakāh: Those (cows) by which (last) water has been drunk¹

jagdhatṛṇāh: Those by which (last) grass has been eaten dugdhadohāh: Those from which (last) milk has been drawn

nirindriyāh: Incapable of future progeny, worn out. In other words, 'useless.' He who gives (the cows) of this nature to the officiating priests deeming them as dakṣiṇā (fees) (goes to delightless worlds).

 $anand\bar{a}$: delightless. Are there not worlds known from scriptures which are delightless?

tan: to those (worlds)

sah: He, the sacrificer, goes to. Thus he (Naciketas) reflected: this is the meaning.

I. i. 4.

sa hovāca pitaram tata kasmai mām dāsyasīti dvityam tritīyam. Tam hovāca Mṛtyave tvā dadāmīti.

He spoke to his father: "Father, to whom will you give me," for the second (time), for the third (time). To him spoke (the father) thus: "To Death I shall give you"

COMMENTARY:

sahovāca pitaram: The most faithful Naciketas who thought that the fees that are being given are defective (bad) and who wished to make the sacrificial performance of his father good, even through giving himself away (as fees), approached his father and said:

tata: O Father!

kasmai: to which Rtvik by way of sacrificial fee

mām: me

dāsyasi: will you give.

[sah:] He (Naciketas) not having been paid any attention to by his father though addressed by him thus,

1. The idea is that these cows had drunk water for the last time, eaten grass for the last time etc. and which therefore are dying, are absolutely useless as gifts, for gifts must be of good cows and not of worthless ones.

dvitīyam: for the second time, (and)

tṛtīyam api: for the third time also spoke to him "To whom will you give me?"

The father, pressed very much, became angry and told his son that he would give him away to Death.

I. i. 5.

bahūnām emi prathamo bahūnām emi madhyamaḥ | kim svid yamasya kartavyam yan mayādya kariṣyati ||

I go ahead of many, I go in the midst of many. What is that Death has to do, which he would have done by me?¹

COMMENTARY:

Though thus spoken to (Naciketas) without fear or sorrow told his father thus: Of all those who go to the abode of Death I shall be either in the forefront or in the middle but never at the end. The intention is "I am not at all worried about going to the abode of Death." (If it be asked) what is it that (you are worried) about? he replies:

kinsvid: What purpose has Death got which He is going to have done by me? Is there any advantage with one so tender as me to Death of fulfilled desires, where the gift of me to Him will be of some use, as (the gift of me) to Rtviks (will be). Therefore it is about this alone that I am worried. This is the intention.

I. i. 6.

anupasya yathā pūrve pratipasya tathā pare | sasyam iva martyah pacyate sasyam ivā jāyate punah ||

Reflect on how the ancestors (were); ponder how the others (present ones are). Man ripens like corn; he is again born.

COMMENTARY:

(Naciketas) looking at the father of remorseful heart who thought on hearing these words of such a son, who was free

1. Krishna, Prem: "What is the task that Yama, Lord of Death, will accomplish on me today ?"

from any fear or anger, "I said, I give you to Death out of anger' but I do not wish to give away such a son to Death," said:

purve: grandfather and others, as they were without any false speech; so also,

apare: the good men that are now even after them: in the same manner must you behave. This is the meaning.

sasyam iva: like corn

maityah: the man becomes like corn worn out in a short while and, becoming worn out, dies, and like corn is he born again. In this mortal world which is transitory, what is the use of uttering falsehood. Keeping to truth send me to Death. This is the meaning.

I. i. 7.

vaisvānarah pravisaty atithir brāhmaņo gṛhān | tasyaitām śāntim kurvantı hara Vaivasvatodakam ||

The fire, the brahman-guest, enters the house. To him (they) this appearement make. O son of the Sun! take water (unto him, Naciketas).

COMMENTARY:

That son (Naciketas), having been thus sent away, stayed at the gates of Death who was away, eating nothing for three nights. Then an old man at the gates (of Death's abode) told Death (Yama), who returned after having been away, thus:

Verily the God of Fire himself in the form of Brāhmanguest enters the house. To that fire good men perform this appeasement of the form of water for feet-cleansing and offering of seat, so that they may not be burnt by their disrespect to him. Therefore, O Vaivasvata! bring to Naciketas water for feet-cleansing.

hara means ahara: bring. This is the meaning.

I. i. 8.

āśāpratikse samgatam sūnrtān ca
istāpūrte putrarasūms ca sarvān
etad vrņķte purusus salpamedhaso
yasyānashan vasar brūmano grhu

Hope and expectations, contact (with good men), the true and kind words, sacrificial good deeds, beneficence, the sons and cattle of the unintelligent person at whose house a brāhman dwells starving, this (sin) destroys.¹

COMMENTARY:

And they showed that failing to do it (honouring the guest) results in sinfulness (pratyavāya).

yasya alpamedhasah purusasya grhe: In the house of which unintelligent person

anasnan: starving Brāhmaṇaḥ: guest

vasati: dwells tasya: of him

 $\bar{a} \dot{s} \bar{a} pratikse$: desire and will; or else, $\bar{a} s \bar{a}$ means desire for the unaccomplished, and $pratiks\bar{a}$, desire to get things which are existing.

samgatam: contact with the good

sūnṛtām: speech that is true and pleasant

ișță purte: ișța means sacrifice and others and purta means construction of tanks etc.

putran pasums ca: sons and cattle

etad: the sin of the form of starving

vinkte: deprives, destroys is the meaning: from viji varjane: to deprive. (VIII conjugation śnam) or else this is a form from the root vija varjane (II conjugation) which omits the conjugational sign śah.)

I. i. 9.

tisro rātrīr yadavātsīr gṛhe me
'naśnan brahman natithir namasyaḥ |
namas te'stu brahman svastī me
'stu tasmāt þrati trīn varān vṛṇṣṣva'||

I. This translation is in accordance with the commentary. But the incheseems to be capable of another interpretation also.—"Hope and expectings etc. etc., (all) this of the unintelligent person at whose house a bichman remains starving, the (starving brahmen) destroys."

O Brahman! since you, the respectful guest, have stayed without food in my house for three nights, let my salutations be to you, O Brahman! Let there be well-being to me In return, therefore, choose three boons

COMMENTARY

Thus addressed by the elders, Death said to Naciketas me grhe in my house, O Biahman fit to be ievered, thou, the guest have spent three nights without food This is the meaning

namas te the meaning is clear

tasmāt. therefore, for this reason

me: to me

svastı well-being, so that I may be well trīn varān pratı three boons in return vrnīsva choose

Even though you are desireless, you should choose three boons corresponding to the three nights of fasting to favour me This is the meaning

I 1 10

śāntasamkalpah sumanā yathā syād
vītamanyur Gautamo mābhr mrtyo |
tvatprasrstam mā'bhrvadet pratītah
etat trayānām prathamam varam vrne ||

O Death! (please make) Gautama (my father) free from all anxieties, well-disposed, free from anger to wards me, (and) well pleased let him speak to me, sent back by you This is the first of the three boons I elect

COMMENTARY.

Thus being requested, Naciketas said

Mrtyo · O Death!

śāntasamkalpah free from anxiety of the form "having reached Death, what will my son do?"

sumanāh with his mind settled in peace mābhi: towards me.

Gautamah: Gautama, my father

vītamanyuh: freed from anger (roṣa)

yathā syāt: (make him) so as to be thus.

And

tvat prasṛṣṭam: sent back (home) by you

mābhi: towards me

pratītah: pleased as before

vadet: would speak.

Or else

abhi vadēt: will bestow (on me) blessings, since the abhivādana is used to signify the giving of blessings in Śmṛti-texts such as abhivadata, nābhivādayate

etad...: the meaning is clear.

I. i. 11.

yathā purastād bhavitā pratītaḥ
Auddālakir Āruņir mat prasṛsṭaḥ |
sukham rātrīḥ śayitā vītamanyus
tvām dadṛśivān mṛtyumukhāt pramuktam ||

Son of Uddālaka of Aruņa-family, influenced by me will be well-disposed (towards you) as before. On seeing you released from the mouth of Death, free from anger. he will happily sleep in the nights.

COMMENTARY:

Asked thus Death replied: he will become as before well-disposed towards you. Auddālaki is to be taken as Uddālaka; Āruņi means son of Aruņa. Or else he is a descendent of the two families, or else the son of Uddālaka and of the family of Aruņa²

mat prasṛṣṭaḥ: influenced by me or as favoured by me, the meaning is 'due to my blessing.'

sukham: Having become free from anger in respect of you, he will sleep happily in the future nights. Lut (1st Future) signifies that he will get (thereafter) good sleep.

- 1. darsivān: Nir. Sa. Ed.
- 2. Dvyāmusyāyana means the son of a lady who was given in marriage to an individual on the condition that her issue is to be deemed to be the son of the giver (of her). (Anandagiri's Commentary).

You of such nature, O Death, knowest the Agni leading to Svarga Teach it to me who have much faith. Those who exist in Svarga-world attain immortality This is the second boon I choose.

COMMENTARY:

sa tram: You whose omniscience is well-known in Purāņa, You know the fire which is helpful in the attainment of Svarga.

yat (ya) is the suffix signifying prayojana (utility) according to the passage "yat comes as suffix after Svarga and others" (Gaṇapātha Pāṇini V. i. 111). It will be clear later on that the utility of Agni of the form of fire-altar in attaining Svarga is through meditation.

śraddudhānāya: to one who has ardent desire for liberation. What is that which you will get attaining the Svargaworld? The reply is:

Svargalokāķ (amṛtatvam): those whose world is Svarga; this means those who have attained the supreme world, since the immortality which is called liberation (mokṣa) which is of the form of the manifestation (or revealment) of (ones) own nature comes only after the attainment of the Brahman in that particular place (here called Svarga) as in the passage "Having attained the Supreme Light one stands revealed with one's own nature."

etad... This is clear.

I i. 14.

pra te bravini tad u me nibodha
Svargyam agnim Naciketah prajānan |
anantalokā ptim atho pratisthām
viddhi tvam enan nihitam guhāyām |

I shall explain to you fully: know that of me, O Naciketas! Knowing that fire which leads to Svarga (one gets) the attainment of the world of the infinite (Viṣṇu) and permanence, Know thou this (fire) placed in the cave.

COMMENTARY:

Thus spoken to, Death speaks:

pra te bravimi: I shall tell you who have requested. The use of the particle pra separated from the 100t is in accordance with Pāṇini Sūtra: 'They can be used separately from roots' (I. iv. 82).

me: From me: from my teaching do you know This is the meaning. The goal of knowledge is now made known.

anantalokāptim: the attainment of the world of the Infinite Viṣṇu, because of the subsequent passage (I. iii. 9) "That is Viṣṇu's Supreme Abode"

atho: After the attainment of that

pratistham: Non-return also. '()ne gets' is to be supplied. Thinking that Naciketas may have a doubt as to how that knowledge could have such a power (Death) says:

viddhi: Know This nature of knowledge that is the cause of liberation due to its being a subsidiary to the meditation on Brahman placed in the cave, others do not know. (Therefore) do you know it, is the idea.

Or else, the relation of cause and effect is determined when it is said "Knowing Agni do thou get attainment of the world of the Infinite and Permanence" since the root vid meaning 'to know,' could mean 'to get.' The suffix (satr) in prajanan is in accordance with Pānini (III. 2.126) "The suffixes satr and sānac come after the root whose meaning signifies or is the cause of another action."

I. i. 5.

lokādim agnim tam uvāca tasmai yā iṣṭakā yāvatīr vā yathā vā | sa cāpi tat pratyavadad yathoktam arthasya Mṛtyuḥ punarevāhatuṣṭah ||1

To him, Death taught that fire, the means of (attaining) the world (Svarga). (He also taught him)

1. Prem tushtim, satisfied.

which and how many are the blicks and how (they are to be arranged). He (Naciketas) too repeated it as it was taught. Then pleased with (his) ability Death spoke again

COMMENTARY.

Then the Veda speaks

lokasya ādim means to the world, the meaning is the same as Svargya (leading to Svarga).

tam Agnim uvāca taught that fire The meaning is that he taught him all this, the bricks, of what nature, of what number and the manner in which they have to be piled The assimilation in jāvatīr (yāvatī as yāvatyah must be the proper form) is due to the exception in Vedic grammar

sa cāpi He too: and Naciketas too

tat all that he heard exactly repeated, this is the meaning

arthasya ca. Death pleased on seeing the ability to

grasp (the instruction given) of his disciple spoke again

I. i 16

tam abravīt prīyamāno mahātmā
taram tavehādya dadīmi bhūyah |
tavarva nāmnā bhavitāyam agnīh
srnkām cemām anekarūpām grhāna ||

The Mahātman (Death) being pleased told him. Now I give you here one more boon. This Fire verily shall be known by your name. Also take this resonant necklace of many hues

COMMENTARY.

High-minded Death well pleased told Naciketas thus "I shall grant you a fourth boon.' What it is he explains.

tavaiva This fire I have been teaching you will be known by the name of Nācıketa.

vicitrain srnkani many-colouied resonant necklace of precious stones. This do you accept is the meaning.

1 Kushma Prem gives a very interesting occult interpretation of this Garland of many forms in his work

I. i. 17.

trināciketas tribhir etya sandhim trikarmakrt tarati janma-mrtyu | brahmajajñam devam īdyam viditvā nicāyyemām śāntim atyantam eti ||

One learning these three anuvākas dealing with Nāciketa and performing three actions, attaining contact (with brahmopāsana) with the three, (by means of it) crosses over birth and death. Knowing and realising the soul born-of-Brahman and conscient as the worthy Lord, one attains thorough peace for ever.

COMMENTARY.

Again He (Death) refers to Karma:

trināciketah: One learning the three anuvākas (Tait. Brāh. III. xi. 7, 8 & 9) beginning with "ayam vāva yah pavate" This verily which blows (is the Fire-Naciketas).

trikarmakrt: One that does the actions of sacrificing and learning and giving, or else one who performs the pāka-yajña (seven domestic sacrifices), havir-yajña (corn-sacrifices) and somayajña (soma-sacrifices)

tribhir: with the fires thrice performed (constructed), sandhim: contact with meditation on the Supreme Self etya: attaining

janma-mṛtyu tarati: Crosses over birth and death, This is the meaning. Since this has to have the same sense as the passage karoti tad yena punar na jāyate occuring in the next mantra(d), and since this mantra has been interpreted in this manner by Vyasārya (author of Śruta-Prakāśika)¹ under the Vedānta Sūtra: I. iv. 6 "trayānām eva caivam—and of three only there is this mention and question."

Thereafter he speaks of the meditation upon the Supreme Self which is the principal (angi) mentioned in the first half of this verse tribhir etya sandhim.

1. Commentary on the Śrī Bhāsya of Śrī Rāmānuja.

This mantra has been explained in the Bhāsya under the Sūtra (I. ii. 12) "Because of the specification" as follows:—Brahmajajāna is soul, since he is born of Brahman and is conscient, knowing Him as the worthy Divine. The meaning is "Knowing the soul who meditates as that which has Brahman as its self."

deva: What is meant by the Bhāṣya is this: "The significance of the word deva goes up to one who has the Supreme Self as one's self, since the word deva signifies the Supreme Self and since identity cannot be between the soul and the Supreme.

nicāyya: Realizing one's own self as one whose self is Brahman.

imam: this is mentioned in the earlier part of this mantra as trikarmakṛt tarati.

śāntim: means the abolition of the miseries of the form of samsāra (the cycle of births and deaths).

I. i. 18.

trinaciketas trayam etud viditva ya evam vidvāns cinute Nāciketam | sa mṛtyupāśān puratah pranodya śokātigo modate Svargaloke

He who, having mastered the performance of the three sections dealing with the Nāciketa (fire) and knowning in this manner, periorms the piling of the Nāciketa-altar after knowing the three, that person, even prior to casting away the fetters of death, transcending sorrow, enjoys in the celestial world.

C MMENTARY.

trināciketa: has already been explained.

- 1, Krishna Prem discusses the views of Śańkara and Madhva and inclines to the view that Madhva's view is more revealing, as Krama mukti is true of the occult development.
- 2. Sri Śankara considers this to be Father, Mother and Guru: Premidentifies them as Atma-Buddhi-Manas.

trayam etad: The nature of Brahman and the nature of the soul having the former as its self mentioned in the mantra brahmajajñam devam idyam: (I i. 17) and the nature of the fire (altar) mentioned in the passage "Tribhīr etya saindhim" (ibid.)

viditvā: having known through the instruction of gurus or from sacrifices

evam vidvān: The knower who with this knowledge of these three, constructs the Nāciketa-fire-altar

sah: That person (casting away) the Death's fetters of the form of attachment and hatted etc.,

puratah: even prior to leaving the body

pranodya: Casting away. The meaning is being free from the attachment and hatred even while living

śokātigaḥ: transcending sorrow; this has been already commented upon.

I. i. 18b.

This mantra is not in other texts, and is special to Rāmānuja's Bhāṣya ou the Upanisads.

yo vā' pyetām brahmajajīnātmabhūtam citim viditvā cinute Nāciketam | sā eva bhūtvā brahmajajnātmabhutah karoti tad yena punar na jāyate u

Whoever knowing this piling up which is the selfborn of Brahman and sentient constructs Nāciketa (fire-altar), that very p rson becoming the born of-Brahman and sentient, does that by which (he) is not born again.

yah: Whoever, knowing this piling up, knowing this to be of the form of his self, constructs the fire-altar-Nāciketa with Brahman as his Self,

sa eva: that same person,

brahmajajñātmabhūtah bhūtva: Having the knowledge of his own self with Brahman as his Self, performs that Editation of God which is the means of non-rebirth.

Therefore the intention is that what was mentioned in the previous mantia "tribhin etva samdhim trikarmakrt tarati janmamrtyu. One leaining these three anuvākas dealing with Nāciketa and performing three actions attaining contact (with Brahmopāsana) the three by means of it crosses over birth and death," as the means of liberation through the meditation upon the Lord, is the piling up of the fire-altar preceded by the knowledge of his own self having God as his soul and not anything else.

This mantia is not seen in some texts and was not commented upon by some Since however, this is commented upon by such most reliable (authorities) as $Vy\bar{a}s\bar{a}rya$ (author of the Śrutapiakāśika) and others, the doubt as to its being an interpolation is not proper

I. 1 19

esa te'gnır Nacıketah svargyah
yam uvmīthā dvitīyena varena |
etam agnım tavaiva pi avaksyantı janāsah
tritīyam vai am Nacıketo vrnīsva |

This is the fire, O Naciketas 'that leads to heaven which you chose as your second boon. People will call this fire as yours alone O Naciketas! choose a third boon

esa This etc: 'has been taught' has to be supplied.

yam which the meaning is clear And besides people will
call this Agni by your name alone.

tritiyam varam. third boon the meaning is clear

If it be asked as to what authority there is for taking the word sverga frequently used in this context, to mean liberation (or the abode of the liberated, we

1 Madhva considers this to be the highest abode of Brahman and it is after attaining this which is a it were immortal or eternal, one goes to Mukti, Liberation The second book thus refers to the created world on Brahman whereas the third refers to the highest Bliss of Sri Kiishna Prem 1b d, p 36 ff

state thus: There is no room for any doubt (in this matter since the Bhāsyakāra (Rāmānuja) himself has explicitly and impliedly explained with reference to the mantra containing. the words svargyam and agnim (Katha. I. i. 13) as follows " It is the (abode of) liberation which is the highest end, that is meant by the word svargya here, because the text "Those that live in svarga attain immortality" (Katha. I. i. 13) states that one who is there has neither birth nor death; because the reply is "One learning the three anuvākas dealing with Nāciketa and performing three actions, attaining contact with the three, by means of it crosses over death and birth" (Katha. I. i. 17), and because it cannot be that Naciketas whose face is turned away from perishable ends can ask for the means for attaining a svarga that is transitory, as he scorns at the transitory ends when asking for the third boon; and because the liberation of the form of the unbounded bliss can be meant by the 'svarga' signifying the supreme Happiness

(Pūrvapaksa) Objection: If it be asked: The word 'svarga' is repeated four times in the two mantras concerning the second boon (Katha. I. 1.12 and 13). If it means (the abode of) liberation, is it true through primary significance or through secondary significance? The first (alternative) is not acceptable, because the svarga which is well-known in the Vedic and worldly usage means the opposite of liberation (apavarga) in such passages as "Through the two paths of svarga and apavarga..."; "One of the two, svarga and apavarga. ". "Neither the svarga nor the non-birth"... and "It may be svarga, since it is common to all (to be desired)" P.M S. IV. 3.15), and because, according to the (Paurānic) passage1 "the distance of fourteen lakhs (of miles) between ' the Sun and the Pole Star is spoken of by those who have studied the arrangement of the worlds as the svarga," the word 'svarga' can signify that particular space that lies between the Sun and the Pole star and to that alone the usages of the Vedic and worldly usages have reference; and that is

I. Śrī Bhāṣya: I. iv. 6.

not the abode of liberation. Nor is the second alternative that is (that it means that) through secondary significance acceptable, because the primary significance has nothing to contradict it. If there can be anything to contradict it, what is it? (i) is it the mention of the absence of old age, death, the attainment of immortality etc., stated in the text of the question (praśna-vākya) or (ii) (is it) the crossing over old age etc., contained in the reply or (iii) (is it) the transitory 'svarga' that cannot be asked by Naciketas who is indifferent to all worldly desires?

Not the first (alternative), because the word 'svarga' which precedes (the word amrta) in the sentence which cannot be explained otherwise and signifies the principal (viśesya) of the sentence, cannot be interpreted according to the word 'amrta' (immortal) which is well-known in Vedic and worldly usages as signifying relative immortality and which stands at the concluding part of the passage; because in the Puiānic contexts explaining the nature of the svarga, it is seen that those that dwell in the world of svarga are free from old age, death, hunger, thirst, sorrow etc.; because there is the Smṛti (passage) "Immortality is spoken of as existence till deluge (pralaya)"; because in this Upanisad itself the word 'immortal' is used in respect of Death in the passage "Having approached those that do not grow old and that are immortal" (K.U. I. i. 28); and because the statement (passage) that "they whose world is svarga attain immortality" can be explained as stated in (the passages) "These in the world of Brahman at the time of final departure." (Tait. Nar.) and "Those that live in the world of svarga can attain immortality through meditation upon Brahman " (Mund. U. 111. ii. 6).

Not the second (alternative), because the mantra Trināciketa.'....(K. U. I. i. 17) may be taken to mean that the fire (Nāciketa) that leads to svarga (Heaven) performed thrice is the means to the Brahman-Knowledge that helps the crossing over birth and death, and thus it need not be in contradiction with the primary meaning of the word 'svarga.' For the same reason, the passage (K. U. I i. 18d) which has the same meaning as the passage already stated, does not contradict the primary sense of the word svarga.

Nor the third alternative, according to which it is stated that it is impossible for Naciketas who is indifferent to any other goal, to ask for the transitory svarga. Yama (Death), the beneficent, replying to the question regarding the fire that leads to svarga, introduced the topic on the nature of liberation, though not asked for (by Naciketas) in the passages "The attainment of the world of the Infinite (K.U. I. i. 14c) and "One, learning the three anuvakas dealing with Naciketa and performing the three actions, attaining contact with the three, by means of it crosses over birth and death" (K.U. I. i. 17ab), which created in Naciketas the desire to get freed (liberated). Thus he was made stronger by Yama's reluctance to teach (as in the passage) anyam varam Naciketo vṛṇṣṣva' ' O Naciketas! ask for a different boon" (K U. I. i. 21c). How can his (Naciketas's) scorn at the transitory ends made at that stage contradict his earlier prayer for svarga? Besides, it is seen in the passages śvobhāvā martyasya yad An. takaıtat sarvendriyānām jarayanti tejah | api sarvam jīvitam alpam eva tavaiva vāhās tava nṛtyagīte | (K.U. I. i. 26) "O Death! (all those enjoyable things) of man will be non-existent to-morrow. These will wear out whatever power these senseorgans have. All the life is but brief. Let the conveyances, dance and music remain only for thee" and others, that the human enjoyment alone is scorned at, and svarga is not spoken of derisively. If the word 'svarga' refers to liberation (abode of liberation) it cannot be the result of fire (of Naciketas), it being the result of knowledge alone. The word 'svarga' repeatedly used at the beginning, the middle and the end will get a strained meaning.

Or let there be contradiction in the reply. Still the word 'svarga' that is found in the question-passage that precedes (the reply), is strong enough according to the principle of

Upakramādhikaraņa (PMS. III. 3.1-7). Nor can it be said that "for the sake of many" the few found in the beginning are to be rejected according to the principle enunciated in the Sūtra "Those of the larger number must have their common subsidiaries." (PMS. XII ii. 2); because in the Sūtra (PMS. XII. ii. 23) it is said that "that alone which is first" is stronger than those at the end, though they are larger in number. Therefore there is no reason at all for over-riding the primary sense of the word svarga.

Siddhānta: The reply (to the above objection) is as follows: The word svarga signifies liberation (abode of liberation) only through its primary significance. The Mimāmsakas have stated in the Svargakāmādhikarana (PIS VI. i. 1) that the word svarga according to the principle Nāgrhīta-v seṣaṇanyāya (that the cognition does not grasp the principal without grasping the attributes), signifies ionly delight (prīti) and not the substance that grants delight (prīti-visiṣṭa-dravya).

Further they raise the issue that though it is true that the word svarga signifies delight according to the principle above-mentioned it is yet to be established that it signifies that delight which is enjoyable in another body at another place. It cannot be said that the supplementary passage "That in which there is no heat etc.," leads to the conclusion that the word svarga used in the injuncting texts, signifies the particular kind of delight, because here there is no room for the principle "That which is doubtful is to be determined with the help of the rest of the passage" (PMS. I. iv. 25), since there is no doubt here as to the significance which has been already determined as having reference to delight in general (pritimātra) to which issue they (themselves) reply as follows:

"No doubt it is true the word svarga has its significance determined according to common usage itself. If, however, the word svarga is taken to mean the limited delight known to us, then the sacrifices such as Jyotistoma will have to be means to that (limited) delight. Consequently, the

injunction regarding Jyotistoma and other sacrifices will become impotent on account of there being no intelligent person to perform these sacrifices which are full of hindrances and requiring much money, men and labour, when there are easier worldly means to achieve it, requiring comparatively little money, men and labour. When accordingly the significance of the word svarga is determined only as having reference to the unlimited delight which is mentioned in the supplementary passage the meaning is the same even in places where there is no such supplementary passage as in the case of the words "Wheat, pig, etc." There is no need to accept any other significance regarding the worldly limited delight, since secondary significance alone is sufficient.

Nor can it be stated that the word svarga may have its significance only in respect of delight in general, while yet in the Veda it may mean the unlimited delight; because the part of the meaning (limitless) is not known otherwise, and therefore the power of signifying has to include that part also necessarily, and this will lead to accepting the word as having two meanings viz. (i) in the worldly usage and (ii) in the Vedic usage. When, however, the significance is in respect of the limitless delight known through the Vedic usage, then the worldly limited delight is indicated through secondary significance on account of its also having the common nature of being delight.

Since thus the Mimāmsakas have established that the word svarga means the unlimited delight alone, it is not reasonable to question the equation of svarga with liberation (abode of liberation). Just as the word 'Pārtha' is (not) frequently used in respect of other sons of Kunti as in respect of Arjuna and yet signifies others also through its primary significance, so also, though the word svarga is not frequently used to denote anything other than the delight obtainable in the world lying between the Sun and the Pole Star, yet it does not lose its primary signifying power to signify that thing (i.e. abode of liberation).

The words barhis, ājya and others, though they are not used by Āryas in respect of unpurified grass, ghee and others, yet they retain their primary significance in respect of them, because their non-usage by some (persons) cannot establish the absence of their signifying power. Therefore it is established in the Barhirājyādhikaraṇa (PMS. I. 4.10) that the words barhis etc., have their signifying power in respect of the genus, tṛṇatva, grassness. This is stated in the Vārtika.¹ "In respect of a word which is seen at some places at least determined in its genus-sense, there is no reason to postulate¹ any other condition (nimitta), that word not losing that condition (i.e., genus-sense)."

Therefore the word svarga is verily a common general term denoting liberation also.

Pūrvapaksa (Objection): If it be asked: though the words barhis, ajya and others are not used by Aryas so as to indicate unpurified grass, ghee and others, still they may have their signifying power in respect of the unpurified things also, since there is such a use by non-Aryas. But in the case of svarga, its signifying power is to be accepted so as to exclude anything other than that delight that is obtainable in the world lying between the Sun and the Pole star, since the word is never used to signify anything other than that. Otherwise2 the conclusion arrived at in the Prodgatradhikarana (PMS, III. v. 23-26) is that the word Udgātr nominally means the particular rtvik who is the head of the four rtviks officiating as Samansingers, since it is never used in respect of any other of the group. But that particular rtvik being only one, the plural in the mantra "Let the Hotr's cup come forward, also the Brahman's · cup, the Udgātr's cup. the Yajamāna's cup, and the sadasya's cup" is inconsistent. Therefore we have to assume for it a secondary significance based upon the nominal significance, so

- 1. Kumārila's Tantra-Vārtīka (p. 343 Poona ed.)
- 2 Sat. B. Jl. IV. 2.1.29 & Apastamba Śr. S. XII. 23.13 cf. The text here of this śloka has the variant gamika instead of the word kalpana in the Vārtika text.

as to make the word to mean the three in the group excluding Subrahmanya, or including Him, all the four of the group. Further in the Ahinadhikarana, (PMS. III. iii. 15-16) it is stated that the number twelve relating to Upaşad-sacrifices enjoined in the sentence "Twelve for the Ahina" which occurs in the context of Jyotistoma, is however to be taken away to a particular group of sacrifices to be performed for many days (ahargana-viśeṣa) because the word ahina is to be etymologically explained as having been formed according to Vartika under Panini IV. 2.43, with Kha-suffix after the base ahan and is to be taken as meaning through nominal significance $(r\bar{u}dhi)$ some particular group of sacrifices performed during a number of days, since it is not at all used in respect of Sattra sacrifices, and therefore it cannot refer to Jyotistoma, it not being an ahina (group of sacrifices performed in a number of days).

Further though the word 'dhayiya' is formed according to Pāṇini (III. i. 129) and used to mean the rks called samidhāni (Rks used at the time of kindling the sacrificial fire), yet it does not mean the Samidhani Rks in general, nor does it mean according to etymological explanation, namely, that which is used (dhiyamāna) in all the Rks that are used (in any rite), for it (the word) is not used in respect of the Rks that are utilized for praise with sāman and praise without sāman (stotra and śastra) as it is not used in respect of samidhāni Rks in general. But it means some particular rks such as "Those with the terms 'Prthu', 'Pāja' which are specially mentioned in Vedic passages" "The rks with Prthu and Paja are the dhāyiya ones". This is found in the Adhikarana having the sūtia (PMS V. iii. 3). All this will get contradicted if the word. svarga could have its primary significance (in respect of liberation or abode of liberation) as stated by you (the siddhantin). There would be no need to accept the words Udgatr etc., as having nominal significance in respect of a particular Rtvik and others.

1. Gangenath Jha's translation.

Reply (Siddhanta):-

True. If the word 'svarga' was never used in respect of anything other than the ordinary svarga (that is the place between the Sun and the Pole star), then it would be necessary to accept a nominal significance for it, so restricted as not to apply to it (liberation). But it is used to denote that (liberation) also. For it is found to be used in the following passages in the spiritual scriptures (adhyātma śāstreṣu such as the Taittirīya Āranyaka I. 27.3. Bṛhadāranyaka and Talavakāra

Upaniṣads:—

- 1. In that city there is a world-sheath of golden colour surrounded by light. Whoever knows that city of Brahman surrounded by nectar (bliss), to him the Supreme Self and Brahman grant long life, fame and progeny.
- 2. "By it the wise, the knowers of Brahman, go up hence to the heavenly world, released"." (Brh. U., 4.48)
- 3. "He verily who knows it, thus striking off evil becomes established in the most excellent, endless heavenly world ... 2" (Kena U., IV. 9.)

The nominal significance (of the word 'svarga') postulated by the Paurānikas must be disregarded even like that of the word 'avyakta' postulated by the Sāmkhyas, since in the very context (of the Katha Up.) in the mantra (I. i. 18) the word 'svargaloka' which signifies the resultant of the combined jñāna and karma is explained even by others as meaning the 'abode called Vairāja,' which is distinct from the world lying between the Sun and the Pole star.

Now, it may be said "The principle of signification (i. e. being a world that is above the world of the Sun) being the same with regard to the place of Vairaja, it is not a secondary meaning. (It is a primary one)." (To this we reply), the world of Brahman (abode of liberation) being the one that is above is not other than the primary sense. The usage such as "By the two paths of svarga and apavarga (liberation)", can be

- 1. Hume's trans.
- 2. Hume's trans.

justified on the principle of Brāhmaṇa-parivrāja a i. e. the general term gets restricted on account of the particular).

Or else let it be a secondary meaning since there is something to (contradict) its primary sense. What is it that contradicts it? Listen attentively. In the first of the question mantras "svarge loke na bhayam kiñcanāsti: there is no fear whatsoever in the world of svarga", there is mentioned the complete riddance of sin by the words 'There is no fear whatsoever'. The absence of such fear of the form 'when through what sin would I fall' indicated by the passage "There is no comfort even to one who is in svarga it being transitory and having the fear of fall always," is meant here. Indeed it will happen to one that is altogether free from sin. By the passages "There you are not" (K.U. I. i. 12b) and "One does not fear old age" are indicated freedom from old age and freedom from death. By the passage "Having crossed over hunger and thirst" (K.U I. i. 12 c) are mentioned absence of hunger and absence of thirst. And so freedom from sorrow is mentioned by the word "sokātigah" getting beyond sorrow (K.U. I. i. 12d); by the words "One enjoys in the world of Svarga" (K.U. I. i. 12) are mentioned the nature of being one whose desires get always realized and whose volition is always true (satya). This is (also) mentioned in the scriptural passage "If he becomes desirous of the world of the fathers, verily through his volition there come his fathers (samuthistanti) (He) attaining that world of fathers gets glorified" (Ch. U. VIII. 2. 1.) Since therefore the manifestation of the eight Brahman-qualities (freedom from sin etc) taught in the scriptures is found here, it is not proper to hold (that the word svarga means) attainment of relative absence of death and birth available in the 'Svarga'-world of the Purānas.

For the same reason, in the Vidhyantādhikarana (of the seventh Chapter of Jaimini's Pūrva Mīmāmsā Sūtras, it is stated that vikṛtis (sacrifices that take subsidiaries from prakṛtisacrifices which have their own established subsidiaries), such

^{1.} It is very similar to the Go-balwarda nyāya.

as saurya (sacrifice enjoined in the passage: sauryam carum nirvap, t brahmavarcasakāmaḥ (PMS. VII. iv. I), which have no subsidiaries enjoined in their contexts, which therefore, need subsidiaries and only those (subsidiaries) that are Vedic and belong to Darśapūrnamāsa-sacrifices on account of there being the similarity of enjoinment by the three Vedas, the purpose of which is to enjoin actions to be done with the help of sacrificial fires (vaitanika). This is stated in the Śāstra Dīpika (of Pārthasārathi Miśra) thus: "The group of Vedic subsidiaries presents itself through similarity of being Vedic (to the vikṛtis) but the group of non-Vedic (laukiki) sacrifices being dissimilar cannot present itself".

It cannot be said: "Now for the recitation of formula (mantra) "Esa te Vāyo iti brūyāt: This is thine, O Vāyu!" which is enjoined by the passage" If one touches one sacrificial post, one should utter (the mantra) "This is thine O Vāyu!", the cause must be 'the touch of the post', that is made according to Vedic injunction, on account of the similarity of being Vedic. Now one cannot accept this view as this will refute the adhikaraṇa of the ninth chapter beginning with the $s\bar{u}tra$ " (It is) in connection with ordinary (touch) since it is connected with sin (doṣa).." (IX 3.9.),

(Reply): The recital enjoined as stated above in the passage "If one touches the sacrificial post he should say 'This is thine O, Vāyu!', is preceded by the prohibition 'Verily the sacrifical post puts on itself what went wrong during the sacrifice. Therefore the sacrificial post must not be touched.' Therefore the recitation enjoined must have reference to ordinary touch which is prohibited and requires expiation." Though thus there is no possibility of having anything to do with things that are Vedic, it is only reasonable to accept reference to things that are Vedic where there is nothing going against it.

For this very reason, it is concluded asvapratigrahesty-adhirarana that the sacrifice (isti) enjoined in the passage (Yajurveda Sam. Kṛṣṇa II. iii. 12) "As many horses as he accepts (as gifts), so many (oblations cooked on four pans)

(offerings) to Varuna should he offer" is on account of only the gift of horse during the performance of Vedic sacrifies and not in respect of gift of horses to friends out of love etc. which is forbidden by the passage "One should not present animals with manes $-m\bar{a}$ kesarıno dadāti", and so requires expiation. Similarly it has been said by others in the sūtra "The rules as to dying by day and so on in order not to return are given by Smrtis for Yogins only. And those two viz. Yoga and Sāmkhya are mere Smṛti, not of scriptured character"2, that there is no recognition in Vedanta of what is enjoined in the Smrtis. Now therefore in the mantra beginning with "Svarge loke" it is only proper to take it to mean only the eight qualities of Brahman, voidness of sin etc., which are established by the mystic literature (adhyātma śāstra) Further, (i) since in the second question the attainment of immortality is mentioned thus "svargalokā amṛtatvam bhajante: those living in the world of svarga attain immortality," (ii) since the word amṛtatva-"immortality" is used in the Upanisadic (adhyātma) literature, the word 'immortal' in the passage (K.U. I. i. 28) "Having gone to those who never grow old and are immortal," means only the freed (souls) and therefore could not mean 'relatively immortal' (beings) (iii) since later on in the passages "Therefore the Fire (altar) Nāciketa was piled by me. I have attained the eternal by means of the transitory things' (K.U. I. ii. 10); and "Let us be capable of meditating upon the fire that to which Nāciketa (altar) leads, which is the fearless shore for those who want to cross (the ocean of $sams\bar{a}ra$)" (K.U. I. iii. 2); and only the Brahman is said to be attained by means of the Nāciketa Fire-altar, the word 'svarga' cannot mean the ordinary svarga'.

^{1.} PMS. III iv. 28 and 29. This is the translation that one can make but according to PMS III. iv. 31 the word 'pratigrhniyāt' in the passage means 'would give' rather than 'accept'. The oblations are to be offered by him who gives and not by him that receives or accepts. The above is the translation of MM Ganganatha Jha

^{2.} The Vedānta Sūtra IV. ii. 21 Sankara Bhāsya.

Moreover it is not consistent on the part of Naciketas who is described as one who has turned away from everything other than Brahman- 'Naciketas would not choose anything but that "(K.U. I. i. 29)—to ask for this 'svarga' that is transitory. Further it is firmly established by the Mimāmsakas in the Sūtra "Mukhyain va pūrvacodana lokavat; on the other hand, the first, by reason of the first command as in ordinary life" (PMS. XII ii. 25) that what is mentioned first is strong only where there is mutual contradiction between things that are equal in number, since the enjoinment (prayogavacana or prayoga-vidhi! does not permit non-performance of greater number of subsidiaries when it is possible to abandon only a smaller number of subsidiaries. Where therefore things that are mentioned at the end are of greater number the principle that is taught in the Sūtra "Bhūyasam syāt svadharmatvam" alone is to be accepted. And it is said in the Vedānta Sūtra (I i. 34) regarding the characteristics of the Soul, (jiva linga), mentioned in the text, that it is to be renounced on account of there being many more qualities pertaining to the Supreme Self which are mentioned in the text (of the Pratardana Vidya) at the end. "He verily makes one do the right act"; "This is the Over-Lord of the world"; "This is the protecter of the world"; "The bliss, the Unaging, the Immortal". Enough now of this lengthy exposition.

I. i. 20.

yeyam prete vicikitsā manuşye 'stītyeke nāyam aslīti caike | etad vidyām anuśiṣṭas tvayāham varāṇām eṣa varas tṛtīyaḥ !!

The doubt which exists in respect of the mansome hold he is and others he is not—I wish to know this taught by you. This is the third of the boons.

COMMENTARY

Let me take up the subject. Naciketas says "Ye-yam prete..." What follows is stated by Bhagavan Ramanuja

touching this mantia in the adhikarana beginning with "The eater because of the mention of the mobile and the immobile' (Śrī Bhāṣya I. ii. 9). He writes "It is evident that his question" is prompted by the desire to acquire knowledge of the true nature of the highest Self - which knowledge has the form of meditation on the highest Self-, and by means thereof knowledge of the true nature of final Release which consists in obtaing the highest Brahman. The passage, therefore, is not merely concerned with the problem as to the separation of the soul from the body, but rather with the problem of the Self freeing itself from all bondage whatever, the same problem, in fact, with which another scriptural passage also is concerned, viz "when he has departed there is no more knowledge" (Brh. Up. II. 4. 12).2 The full purport of Naciketas's question, therefore, is as follows: When a man qualified for Release has died and thus freed himself from all bondage, there arises a doubt as to his existence or non-existence—a doubt due to the disagreement of philosopher's as to the true nature of Release; in order to clear up this doubt I wish to learn from thee the true nature "of the state of Release." Philosophers, indeed, hold meny widely differing opi. ions as to what constitutes Release. Some hold that the Self is constituted by consciousness only, and that Release consists in the total destruction of this essential nature of the Self. Others, while holding the same opinion as to the nature of the Self, define Release as the passing away of Nescience (avidyā). Others hold that the Self is in itself non-sentient, like a stone, but possesses, in the state of bondage, certain distinctive qualities, such as knowledge and so on. Release then consists in the total removal of all these qualities, the Self remaining in a state of pure isolation (kaivalya). Others, again, who acknowledge a highest Self free from all imperfection,

- 1. The entire passage is quoted from $Sr\bar{\imath}$ $Bh\bar{a}sya$ I. ii. 12. It is here extracted from Thibaut's translation of the $Sr\bar{\imath}$ $Bh\bar{a}sya$ (pp. 270 271)
- 2 Thibaut has wrongly translated the Brh. Up. quotation, for it should be translated visistādvaitically as 'There is no more confusion of the individual soul with its body "

maintain that through connexion with limiting adjuncts that Self enters on the condition of an individual soul, Release then means the pure existence of the highest self, consequent on the passing away of the limiting adjunct Those, however, who understand the Vedānta, teach as follows: There is a highest Brahman which is the sole cause of the entire universe, which is antagonistic to all evil, whose essential nature is infinite knowledge and blessedness, which comprises within itself numberless auspicious qualities of supieme excellence, which is different in nature from all other beings, and which constitutes the inner Self of all Of this Brahman, the individual souls—whose nature is unlimited knowledge, and whose only attribute is the intuition of the supreme Self—are modes in so fa, namely as they constitute its body. The true nature of these souls is, however, obscured by Nescience, ie the influence of the beginningless chain of works; and by Release then we have to understand that intuition of the highest Self, which is the natural state of the individual souls, and which follows on the destruction of Nescience When Naciketas desires Yama graciously to teach him the true nature of Kel ase and the means to attain it, Yama at first tests him by divelling on the difficulty of comprehending Release, and by tempting him with various worldly enjoyments".

It is also stated under the $S\bar{u}tra$ "And of three only there is this mention and question" (\hat{S} . B. I. iv. 6) thus: "As his third boon he, in the form of a question referring to final release, actually enquires about three things, viz. the nature of the end to be reached, i.e. Release, the nature of him who wishes to reach that end, and the nature of the means to leach it, i.e. of meditation assisted by certain works."

And also in the Śrutaprakāśika, it is stated thus: "The question of the nature of liberation is expressly stated as contained in the question-passage "Yeyam." (K. U. I. i. 20).

The question relating to meditation and others is implicit in it from the manner of the answer given. If liberation is the

¹ p. 361 Thibaut.

attainment of a qualitiless state, the means to it would be the cognition of the sentence-meaning (vākyārtha). If the attainable is that possessing two characteristics (ubhayalingaka) the means would be the meditation of it as such. Therefore knowledge of the nature of liberation requires the knowledge of what is related to it."

Therefore the mantra "Yeyam prete..." has reference only to the question pertaining to the nature of the freed but not merely to the nature of the individual soul, who is the agent and enjoyer required for the performance of actions, which have results enjoyable in the other world, and (who is) distinct from its body. Otherwise it must be noted that the test of allurings, offers of provisions, manifold enjoyments and showing that the object of his (Naciketas's) quest is extremely difficult to attain, will be foiled. Verily, what Naciketas means here is as follows: Having heard from good souls that the individual soul on departing from its last body, becomes as one manifest with eight qualities of freedom from sin, & etc, "I questioned (Yama) about the Fire leading to liberation by the two questions beginning with 'There is no fear at all in the world of Svarga'. But now owing to contradictory statements of the disputants there arises the doubt in respect of it. Some maintain there is that soul of the form of one that is free from sin which is described in the "Svarge loke...". But there are others who assert "He is not"., Taught by you I would know this." This is the interpretation with which the passage in the reply "having heard of this nature of this Self and well studied it the knower enjoys abandoning his body which is the result of his actions, attaining this subtlest (anumatra), and attaining his own nature with the eight characteristics of freedom from sin and etc." (K.U. I. ii. 13), is in full accord. The meaning therefore is the same as is given below.

But some say that it is seen "In the Vedānta Sūtra (III. ii. 4): It (the nature of the individual soul) is hidden on account of the Will of the Supreme. Bondage and its reverse are truly due to It", that the concealment subordinately

mentioned in the past participle (tirohitam—hidden) in the $S\bar{u}tra$ is seen to be subsequently referred to in the next $S\bar{u}tra$ (III. ii. 5) "Or it is through conjunction with its body", by the pronoun in the masculine gender. In the Vāmana's Sūtra (Tāvyālankāra V. i. 11) "Reference by a pronoun to what is hidden in samāsa (compound) (is permissible)", the reference to that which is subordinately mentioned in words formed with Krt and Taddhita and other vrttis is accepted. Therefore let there be reference by the word' I am this' in the passage "Nāyam asti..." (K U. I. i. 20) to $pr\bar{a}yana$, liberation, subordinately indicated in the past participle 'preta'. in the mantra Yeyam prete..." etc. It cannot be said that the raising of such a doubt as to whether there is liberation or not in respect of one who is liberated is self-contradictory, even as the doubt as to whether there is or is not eating in the man who has eaten, because we can accept that there is liberation in general but we can reasonably raise a doubt in respect of the particular nature of liberation; and so the word 'this' can refer to that particular nature. Now if it be asked where is the word 'prayana' seen as signifying liberation, as it signifies only departure from one's body. In the Śrutaprakāsika, the word prāyana is interpreted as signifying departure from the final (or last karmic) body, accepting the word as signifying departure from its body (in general). (We reply) Be it so. However let the doubt be only in respect of the departure from one's final body, since the word 'this' could refer to it. If further it be said that it having been well determined already there can be no doubt about it. (We But it would be reasonable to raise the doubt reply) True. as to whether departure from its final body happens just before the manifestation of the nature of Biahman (in him) (or after).

I. i. 21.

devair atrāpi vicikitsītam purā nahi suvijneyam aņu cṣa dīarmaḥ l anyam varam Naciketo vṛṇīṣva mā moparotsīr ati mā sṛjainam " In respect of this, even by Gods doubts were entertained before. Verily this is not easily comprehensible. This truth (dharma) is subtle. O Naciketas, ask for a different boon. Press me not. Please press me not. Leave me please.

COMMENTARY:

Having been thus asked (to explain) the nature of the freed (mukta), Death (Mrtyu), deeming that one is not capable of reaching it since the thing to be taught was very difficult (to understand) but is likely to fall down in the middle (of the stream), speaks thus "Devair atrāpi..."

devair api: Even by those Gods who know much

aira asmin: in respect of the soul that is freed,

wicikitsitam: doubts were entertained, namely,

nahi: the truth about the soul is not easily comprehensible.

anuresa dharmah: (because it is) a very subtle truth (dharma). Dharma (truth) in general itself is difficult to comprehend. This is particularly very much so. This is the intention.

anyam varam: different boon. The meaning is clear.

mā moparotsīr: mā mā means prohibition. Duplication signifies vīpsā, emphasis. Do not press further.

Enam ati srja: This, leave me.

I. i. 22.

devair atrāpi vīcikitsitam kila
tvan ca mṛtyo yan na suvijneyam āttha |
vaktā cāsya tvādṛganyo na labhyo
nānyo varas tulya etasya kaścit. ||

Did not even the Gods entertain doubts about this, as Thou sayest? U Death, that which thou sayest is not easily comprehensible, none elve like Thee to teach could be got. No other han whatever is equal to this.

COMMENTARY:

Spoken to thus Naciketas says:

devair atrāpi: the meaning is clear.

tvan ca: even you O Death who have spoken of the nature of the soul as not easily comprehensible

tvādrk: Like you, such as you. The rest is clear.

I. i. 23.

śatāyuṣaḥ putrapautrān vṛṇiṣva
bahūn paśūn hastihiraṇyam aśvān |
bhūmer mahadāyatanam vṛṇiṣva
svayañ ca jīva śarado yāvad icchasi | 23 |

Choose sons and grandsons that live a hundred years; a great number of cattle, elephants, gold, and horses. Choose big empire on the earth. You yourself live as many years as you wish.

COMMENTARY:

Thus spoken to by Naciketas, Death (Mrtyu), having made it certain that he (Naciketas) will not be leaving it in the middle on account of the subject being difficult and thinking that in spite of his having (the power or) ability to understand, the truth of the treed soul such as this is not fit to be imparted to one whose mind is bent on worldly pleasures, spoke seductively so that the desire to be liberated (on the part of Naciketas) may get confirmed and steady.

śatāyuṣaḥ: the meaning is clear

 $bh\bar{u}meh$: of the earth

āyatanam: wide area or kingdom

vrnisva: choose.

or $bh\bar{u}meh$: on the earth

mahad ayatanam: abode with beautiful halls and stairs

vrnisva: choose.

svayam ca..: for yourself: as many years as you wish to live is the meaning.

I. i. 24.

etattulyam yadi manyase varam
vṛṇṇṣva vittam cirajīvikāñ ca |
mahābhūmau Naciketas tvam edhi
kāmānām tvā kāmabhājan karomi || 24 ||

If you think of any boon on a par with this, choose wealth and long life. O Naciketas! Be you on the wide earth. I shall make you the object of desire of all desirable ones.

COMMENTARY:

etat tulyam: If you think even of any other boon similar to the one mentioued, ask for that also. Enormous gold, precious stones and the like and long life; this is the meaning.

edhī: Become; 'a king' has to be supplied. (This is the form of) Second Person singular of the Imperative (lot) of the root as: to be.

kāmānām: Objects that are desired, that is, divine maids etc.

kāmabhājam': kāma means desire; kāmabhāk means one who comes into contact with desire as its object. The meaning is "I shall make you one who will be the object of desire on the part of those such as divine maids who are themselves objects of desire."

I. i. 25:

ye ye kāmā durlabhā martyaloke
sarvān kāmāmschandatah prārthayasva |
imā rāmāh sarathāh satūryā
na hīdṛśā lambhanīyā manuṣyaih |
ābhir matprattābhih paricārayasva
Naciketo maraṇam mānu prākṣīḥ || 25 ||

Whatever desirable things there are rare in the mortal would, ask for all those desirable things freely; these damsels with chariots and with musical instruments. Verily, things like these could hardly be

attained by men. With these given by me get your-self served. O Naciketas! do not put any question regarding after-death.

COMMENTARY:

chandatah: As you please is the meaning.

imā rāmāh: Damsels with chariots and musical instruments given by me are difficult to get by men. This is the meaning.

ābhiḥ: with these servant-women gifted by me get service done, such as shampooing the feet, etc.

maranam anu: After-death. In other words, the nature of the freed soul. It may be seen that there is no harm if the word 'death' though signifying departure from one's body in general, signifies the particular one (departure) according to the context.

I. i. 26.

śvo'bhāvā martyasya yad Amtakaitat sarvendi iyānām jarayanti tejah api sarvam jīvilam alpam eva tavaiva vāhās tava nṛtyagīte | 26 |

O Death! (all those enjoyable things) of man will be non-existent tomorrow. These will wear out whatever power the sense-organs have. All life is but brief. Let the conveyances, dance and music be only for Thee.

COMMENTARY:

Though thus allured Naciketas with unswerving heart speaks:

svo 'bhā vā: O Death! expatiated by thee these enjoyable things of men are such that they will become non-existent tomorrow. They will not last for two days. This is the meaning. Whatever power all the sense organs have, they will destroy. The enjoyment of celestial maids etc. will bring about weakness of all the senses. This is what is meant here.

api sarvam: even the life of Brahman is very brief, not to speak of the (tenure of) life of those like ourselves. The intention is that even the longest life is not fit to be courted.

vāhān: chariots etc.

Let be; this is to be supplied.

I. i. 27.

na vittena tarpaņīyo manuṣyo
lapsyāmahe vittam adrāksma cet tvā |
jīviṣyāmo yāvad īśiṣyasi tvam
varas tu me varaṇīyah sa eva || 27 ||

Man is not to be satisfied with wealth. We shall have wealth if we saw Thee. We shall live so long as Thou art lord. But the boon to be chosen by me is that alone.

COMMENTARY:

na vittena: Truly no satisfaction is seen in any on account of wealth amassed since there is the axiom "Never has desire been quenched through enjoying the desired objects". This is meant. Besides,

lapsyamahe vittam: If we have seen you we shall get wealth. What is meant is if there is seeing of you what difficulty is there in getting wealth? Then, if it be said that long life may be sought, he (Naciketas) replies,

Jivisyāmo...During which time you sit (preside) as the Lord on the seat of Yama. (The Parasmipadi) isisyasi is according to Vedic exception (vyatyaya). All that period our life will last. Verily there is nobody who transgressing your command will bring about termination of our life. What is meant is that life will be the same whether a boon is got or not (to this effect). Therefore the boon mentioned already in the mantra 'yeyam prete...' is the only one to be sought.

I. i. 28.

ajīryatām amṛtānām upetya
jīryan martyaḥ kva tadāsthaḥ prajānan |
abhidhyāyan varṇaratipramodān
anatidīrghe jīvitz ko rameta | 28 ||

Having become aware (of the nature) of those that never age and are immortal, how can a man that ages have consciously any desire for that (which is transient enjoyment)? Who realising the splendour and ecstacies (of the immortal) will have delight in life that is none too long?

COMMENTARY:

ajiryatām: knowing the nature of the freed who have neither old age nor death

prajanan: discriminating

jīr yan martyah: afflicted with old age and death; this being

tadāsthaḥ: Desirous of the objects such as divine damsels, which get afflicted with old age and death and others

kva: How can be? is the meaning

abhidyāyan varna....: The splendours of the form of those of the sclar orb

 $ratipramod\bar{a}n$: Different sorts of ecstacies caused by the enjoyment of Brahman; all these

abhidhyāyan: intelligently understanding

anatidirghe: atyalpe: too brief

aihike jivite: with (or in) this worldly life (which is too brief) who can be pleased? is the meaning.

I. i. 29:

yasminnidam vicikitsanti Mṛtyo yat sāmparāye mahati brūhi nas tat | yo'yam varo gūḍham anupraviṣṭo nānyam tasmān Naciketā vṛṇīte | 29 ||

O Death! Tell me that regarding which (they) have doubts thus and which exists in the great After-death. Naciketas will not elect anything other than the boon which concerns the most esoteric.

COMMENTARY:

yasmin: About which: concerning which the great and other-worldly nature of the freed souls, (they) have doubts that alone teach me

yo'yam: Other than the boon which relates to the esoteric truth of the truth, Naciketas did not elect (to have) (na vṛnīte). This is the word of the scripture (as it is neither the word of Yama nor of Naciketas).

This concludes the First Valli of the First Adhyāya of the Kaṭhopaniṣad

II VALLI.

I. i. 1.

anyacchreyo'nyad utaiva preyas

te ubhe nānārthe puruṣam sinītaḥ |
tayoḥ śreya ādadānasya sādhu bhavati
hīyate'rthād ya u preyo vṛṇīte. ||¹

(What is) good is different and verily (what is) pleasant is different; these two with different ends bind man. He who takes up the good among them does the right. But he who elects the pleasant verily falls away from the supreme end.

COMMENTARY:

Having thus tested the disciple (Naciketas) and ascertained his firmness in the desire for liberation, Yama, deeming him fit for instruction, praises the desire for liberation:

anyat śreyah: The way of liberation that is praiseworthy is different, the way of enjoyment that is pleasant is quite different

1. Sri Krishna Prem translates 'Sreyas' as 'better.'

te. The Good and the Pleasant

nānārthe: having ends distinct from each other

purusam: the man

sinītaḥ: bind. Make the man subject (vasyatām) to them-

selves

tayoh: Among these two

śreyah: the good, liberation

ādadānasya: to him who strives after

sādhu bhavati: well-being happens.

ya u preyo vṛṇīte: But he who chooses the pleasant

u: eva: alone, indicates emphasis (avadhāraṇa)

arthāt hīyate: falls down from the supreme end (puruṣ-ārthāt).

I. ii. 2.

śreyaś ca preyaś ca manusyam etah tau samparītya vivinakti dhīrah | śreyo hi dhiro 'bhi preyaso vṛṇīte preyo mando yogakṣemād vṛṇīte || 2 ||

The good and the pleasant approach man. These the courageous (brave)¹ one contemplating discriminates. Verily the brave prefers the good to the pleasant. The dull-witted chooses the pleasant for the sake of worldly welfare.

COMMENTARY:

śreyaś ca preyaś ca: the good and the pleasant

manusyam etah: approach the man

tau: these two things, the good and the pleasant samparitya: discriminating, critically considering

vivinakti: divides (separates), as the swan (separates) milk and water

dhīrah: Brave,—one that is pleased with his intellect, one who is intelligent

^{1.} It can also be the "wiseman" as the commentary indicates that aspect also.

preyasah abhi: preferable to the pleasant

śreyah hi: the good alone

vrnīte: chooses.

mandah: one of dull-wit

yogakṣemāt: for the sake of worldly welfare '(literally: earning welfare). Yoga means the increase of the body and kṣema, its protection.)

preyah: the pleasant

vṛṇite: chooses.

I. ii. 3.

sa tvam priyān priyarūpāmsca kāmān abhidhyāyan Naciketo'tyasrākṣiḥ | naitām sṛnkām vittamayim avāpto yasyām majjantī bahavo manuṣyāḥ || 3 ||

You O Naciketas! who are such a one deeply considering, left the delightful enjoyments of delightful forms. You did not accept this path of riches in which many men are lost.

COMMENTARY:

sa tvam: You of such nature

priyān: pleasant in themselves and (delightful) in respect of their form

kāmān; the desirable, women and others is the meaning abhidhyāyan: understanding as having the faults of being followed by pain and mixed with pain,

atyasrākṣiḥ: left, is the meaning

etam; this

vittamayim: of riches

srnkām: low path trodden by foolish men

na avāptaḥ: have not taken up

yasyām etc.: in which many men are lost: the meaning is clear.

1. cf. Ananda K. Coomaraswami · Notes on Kathopanisad, New Indian Antiquary Vol I, p 85 holds yoga and ksema are "two very different habits." "It is between Yoga and Ksema that the sluggard makes his choice." cf T. S. V. 2. 12 · yoge 'nyāsām Prajānām manah—Therefore it means Yogāc ca Ksemāc ca. But see Gīta's usage "Yogakṣema"

I. 1i 4

dūram ete viparīte visūcī

avidyā yā ca vidyeti jīnātā |

vidyābhīpsinam Naciketasam manye

na tvā kāmā baharo klupanta || 4 |

These two are far apait and mutually contradictory that which is known as ignorance and that which is knowledge. I think (you), O Naciketa, as one that seeks knowledge. Many enjoyments did not allure you.

COMMENTARY .

Avidyā That which is known as non-knowledge having the form of actions leading to enjoyment

yā ca vidyetī jñātā. and that which is known as knowledge having the form of the awareness of truth

ete: Two

daram altogether

visūcī. (are) having opposite directions viparīte contradictory to each other

vidyābhīpsinam Him that seeks knowledge In case the reading is vidyābhīpsitam (the meaning is) one by whom knowledge is desired The Past participle becomes the second member of the compound word, since it is included in Ahitāgni gana (Pānini, II, ii 37), or else it is a case of Vedic exception (vyatyaya).

kāmāh: enjoyments

bahavah: though many

tvā· you

na lolupanta. Did not detract from the path of the Good (śreyah) You are not subject to temptation: this is the meaning. lolupanta is an Imperfect from the root lip with the suffix yan according to Pānini (III. i. 23) But the omission of ya is a case of Vedic exception. Or else this is the Vedic form of Almanepadi derived from the root with the

suffix yan omitted; also the absence of at (is to be explained in the same way).

I. ii 5.

avidyāyām antare vartamānāḥ svayam dhīrāḥ þaṇḍitammanyamānāḥ | dandramyamānāḥ þarīyanti mūḍhā andhenaiva nīyamānā yathāndhāḥ. || 5 ||

Being amidst ignorance, considering themselves as intelligent and learned, fools wander afflicted (with pains, such as old age, illness etc.) even as the blind led by the blind.

COMMENTARY:

Of the two paths referred to (in the previous mantra) "Avidyā yā ca vidyeti....." he (Death) denounces the path of desireful actions:

avidyāyām: Non-knowledge of the form of desireful actions

antare: in the midst of

vartamānāh: existing as in the dense darkness

svayam (eva); by themselves

dhīrāḥ panditammanyamānāḥ: considering themselves as inte ligent and proficient (learned) in the scriptures

dandramyamānāh: suffering from pains caused by old age diseases and others

mūdhāh: fools

pariyanti: wander. The rest is clear. But some give the meaning taking the reading "dandravyamānāh" (instead of "dandramyamānāh") as "those whose minds are melted by the fire of lust for objects."

I. ii. 6.

na sāmparāyah pratībhāti bālam
pramādyantam vittamohena mūdham |
ayam loko nāsti para iti mānī
punah punar vašam āpadyate me || 6 ||

The seeking for the other world never happens to the immature, the inattentive and the deluded by desire for wealth. One who thinks that this world is and no other, again and again comes under my subjection.

COMMENTARY.

sāmparāyah: seeking the means to the other world
bālam to one who is incapable of discrimination
pramādyantam with inattentive mind
vitamohena mūdham. one whose mental activities are
subject to desire for objects

na pratibhati does not occur

other world exists. One who thinks thus becomes subject to extreme torture done by me. This is the meaning. That there is neither this world nor the other world is the meaning given under the Vedānta Sūtra III 1 13 "In respect or others, there are ascent and descent after experiencing at the command of Death (samyamanam) because it is seen (in the scripture) that they go there 'by Vyāsārya' who adopts the reading "ayam loko nāsti para wa mānī" In that case 'to him' (tasya) is to be supplied So also the particle 'and' (ca).

mānī means the anogant (durmānī) The explanation for the statement that this world does not exist for him, is to be gleaned from the fact of his excommunication from society by the orthodox (śisṭa). The world durmānī goes with the passage punah punai vasam āpadyate me—again and again comes under my subjection?

- 1 This reading is not found in the text of Śiutaprakāśikā Referring to the passage quoted in the Sri B 'ayam loko nāsti para iti mānī," the author of the Siuta P gives the intended meaning in these words "atra amutra ca sukham nāsti ityaithah"
- 2 Rangarāmānuja thinks that to have this meaning the text must read ayam loko nāsti para uta mānī. So he says that the author of the Srutaprakāsikā followed this reading. It must be noted here that in all the editions of the Śrībhāsya and the Si P the reading of the mantra text is ayam loko nāsti na para iti mānī. The negative particle na before para is undoubtedly a scribal error

I. 1i. 7.

śravanāyāpi bahubhir yo na labhyah śrnvanto'pi bahavo yan na vidyuh | āścaryo vaktā kuśalo'sya labdhā--ścaryo jñātā kuśalānuśistah | 7 |

Who is not attainable by men even for hearing, whom many though hearing about cannot know, of whom rate is an able expounder and rare is one that attains Him, and rare is one that knows Him, guided by well-trained (men).

COMMENTARY .

yah the well-known supreme Self bahubhih by many men śravanāyāpi for even being heard

na labhyah could not be attained, this is the meaning. The intention is that even the gain in hearing of about Him is itself the fruit of great and good deeds

smeanto'pi Though hearing etc The intention is, surely it is not easy for all those that hear to attain clear knowledge of Him

āscaryo vaktā. an able expounder and an able attainer of Him are i are. This is the meaning

ascaryo jñātā A knower of Him) also taught by a proficient teacher (Guiu) is iaie since it is stated (in the Gītā VII 3) 'Among thousands of men a certain one strives after realization among those that have made attempts and realized a certain one knows Me as I am" This is the intention.

I. 1i 8

na nareņāvarena prokta esa
suvijneyo bahudhā cintyamānah |
ananyaprokte gatir atia nāstyaṇīyān hyatarkyam anupramānāt | 8 ||

This (supreme self) is not knowable easily when taught by a man of inferior order (since it is) con-

sidered in different ways (by disputants). There is no access to it when it is not taught by another, since it is more subtle than any thing of the subtle measure and is beyond reason.

COMMENTARY:

avarena: by any of not superior order; by an ordinary person, by one the result of whose study of Vedānta is only scholarship

narena: by one who deems his body to be himself

eşah:- the (Supreme) ātman (self)

suvijneyo na: is not easily knowable

What is the reason?

bahudhā cintyamānaḥ: considered in different ways; vādibhiḥ: by disputants, is to be supplied

ananyaprokte: ananyena: by one who is not other than (who is one with) the soul that is taught. that is, whose sole subject of knowledge is the Supreme alone (ekantin) - who has the perception of his soul as Brahman.

prokte: when the soul is taught

gatih: what understanding there will be that understanding will not be there when it is taught by a person of inferior understanding. This is the meaning. Or else,

atra: here in the cycle of samsāra

gatih: wheeling about $n\bar{a}sti$: there is not; this is the meaning. Or else

ananyaprokte: when it is not taught by another but known by oneself. atra gatir nāsti: there is no understanding.

When the reading is anyaprokte: taught by another, the meaning is that when it is taught by an inferior person there is no understanding of the (nature of the) Self. If it be said that by whomsoever it is taught it is possible (to know) for one well-versed in reasoning (ūhāpohaśālīnaḥ), the answer is, anīyan etc., because the 'soul is more subtle than the subtle, therefore its nature is beyond reasoning.

^{1,} Srībhāsya I. ii. 9.

fire (altar) Nāciketa was constructed by me with transitory things. I have therefore attained the eternal.

COMMENTARY:

And again (Death) pleased says:

sevadhih: treasure. The lordship such as that of Kubera and others, i.e., which are similar to (what I have shown), which are results of actions, are transitory. This I know.

dhruvam tat: the truth of the Self that is eternal

adhruvaih: By actions that are means of (getting) transitory ends, or that are performed with transitory things. This is the meaning.

tatah: therefore

mayā: by me who know this

Nāciketah agnih: The fire-altar Nāciketah

anityaih dravyaih: with transitory things

cital: was constructed with a view to acquire knowledge leading to the attainment of Brahman

Therefore

nityam: the knowledge which leads to the imperishable goal

prāptavān asmi: I have attained; this is the meaning.

For this reason there is no contradiction with the fact that the attainment of Brahman is brought about by knowledge $(j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na)$ alone.

I. ii, 11.

kāmasyāptim jagatah pratisthām
krator ānantyam abhayasya pāram |
stemam mahad urugāyam pratisthām
dṛṣṭvā dhṛtyā dhīro Naciketo'tyasrākṣīḥ || 11 ||

Having perceived the attainment of desires by the world which is the result of action and the far shore of searlessness, endless, full of great qualities, famous and eternal, O Naciketas! you, the intelligent, rejected the desirables with firmness.

COMMENTARY:

Death describes Naciketas' fitness for hearing (for being taught), which was mentioned in the previous mantra I. ii. 9d).

kratoh: of karma, action (sacrifice)

pratistham: the result

jagatah kāmasyāptim: the attainment by the world of its desires of the form of objects such as women, existing in all the worlds upto the abode of the fourfaced Brahman

dṛṣṭvā: having perceived (this)

Now he apeaks of the nature of Liberation (mokṣa).

 $\bar{a}nantyam = avin\bar{a}\dot{s}itvam : non-destructibility$

abhayasya $p\bar{a}ram = atyantanirbhayatvam$: absolute freedom from fear¹

stomam mahat: The group of great qualities such af freedom from sin, unfailing desires & etc.,

urugāyam²=urukīrtim: Fame and stability

Perceiving all these also as belonging to liberation, you have rejected the worldly desirables due to your keen discrimination. This is the meaning. Or else all these (adjectives) may be construed as belonging to the Supreme Self. Seeing the attainment of all desires in the nature of the Supreme Self itself which is of the form of liberation (mokṣa) and that It is the support of all the worlds and that It is itself of the form of infinite results of sacrifice (you have rejected the worldly desirables).

I. ii. 12.

tam durdarśam gūdham anuþraviṣṭam guhāhitam gahvareṣṭham þurāṇam | adhyātmayogādhigamena devam matvā dhīro harṣaśokau jahāti || 12 ||

- 1. Ananda K Coomaraswami ibid. abhayam pāram abhayam titī satām pāram in III,—that is svargaloke (yatra) na bhayam kıncanācti I. 12. cf. AV. X, 8, 44; T. V II. 9—Prs Up. 1. 10.
- 2, Cf. Ananda K. Coomaraswamı · stoma mahadyurugāyam—' The exceedingly praised far-going (stride or step) of Viṣṇu."

The brave (soul) knowing, through the realisation attained by meditation upon the Self, the God difficult of being perceived, hidden, entering (and) residing in the cave (heart), indwelling, (and) beginningless, abandons both pleasure and sorrow.

COMMENTARY:

(Death) answers the third question with the following two mantras:—

durdarsam: incapable of being perceived as stated in "Who is not attainable by men even for hearing...(I. ii. 7a.)"

 $g\bar{u}dham$: hidden by non-knowledge which is of the form of action that obscures

anupravistam: that has entered into all beings guhāhitam: residing in the cave of the heart purānam: beginningless (aucient)

adhyātmayogādhigamena: by means of adhyātmayoga, that is, concentration of the mind, having withdrawn it from objects, on one's self which is to be described (hereafter) in passages "An intelligent person should put his speech into his mind" (I. iii. 13) and "When these five sense-organs along with the mind are still" (I. iii. 10.) By means of that means, by means of the knowledge of the individual selī.

devam: the Supreme Self. matvā: knowing; this is the intention. What is meant is that that knowledge of the individual soul is the means to the knowledge of the Supreme Self.

harşaśokau: both pleasure and grief incident upon the attainment and non-attainment of the desires of objects of sense¹

I. ii. 13.

etacchrutvā samparigṛhya martyaḥ

pravṛhya āharmyam aṇum etam āþya |
sa modate modanīyam hi labdhvā

vivṛtam sadma Naciketasam manye. || 13 ||

1. cf. Isa Up. comm. by Venkatanatha.

Having thus heard this, the man, pondering over, abandoning the body and others resulting from action, and attaining the subtle self, enjoys achieving the enjoyable. I think the abode has been open to Naciketas.

COMMENTARY:

etat: the truth of the Self

śrutvā having heard

samparigrhya: having pondered over. This is the meaning.

dharmyam: the result of action, body etc,

pravrhya: having separated; abandoning is the meaning etam: this, one that is one's self

anum: subtle, beyond the reach of the eyes etc. on account of subtlity; the Supreme Self mentioned as "subtler and beyond reasoning" (I. ii. 8d).

 $\bar{a}pya$: having attained in a particular place

sah: he, the knower

modanijam: enjoyable, viz. one's own nature with the eight qualities such as freedom from sin etc.

labdhvā: having got

modate: enjoys; becomes delighted, is the meaning.

Here (in this context) is to be remembered the meaning of the scriptural passage "The individual soul, departing from this (its) body and attaining the Supreme Light gets its own nature manifested. (Ch. U. VIII. 3. 4) There he moves about eating and playing and enjoying." (Ch. U. VIII. 12. 3).

Having thus replied to the question, Death praises Naciketas as one fit for liberation:

vivitam sadma: I think the abode of the form of Brahman is open, fit for entry of Naciketas, is the meaning, since there is the scriptural passage: "This soul of his enters the Brahman abode" (Mu. U. III. 2. 4.)

^{1.} Ananda K. Coomaraswami · ibid "I consider Naciketas an opened house" Prof. Rawson. "An open house I think is Naciketas". Prem. "For Naciketas, I think, the Dwelling is open."

"Knowing and realising the soul born of Brahman" (I. i. 17c.), let the individual soul with the supreme Self as its Self be meant in the passage "adhyātmayogādhigamena matvā—realisation attained by meditation upon his Self" (I. ii. 12), and consequently, let the previous portion "Him that is unperceivable" (ibid) also refer to the individual soul. And further let the previous context "Who is not attainable by men even for hearing, whom many though hearing could not know... (I. ii 7) also refer to the nature of the purified individual soul. Thus it will follow that this will be in accordance with the Gītā passage:

"Some one sees this which is wonderful: Some other speaks of this which is wonderful: Yet some other hears of this which is wonderful:

And even after hearing nobody knows this." (B. G. II. 29) which applies to the purified soul alone.

(We reply) Not so. Though in the mantra Brahmajajna... (I. i. 17c.) on account of this characteristic (lingam) of the individual soul of the form of having birth from Brahman which is mentioned at the beginning, we take the word 'deva' mentioned at the end as meaning one whose self is the Lord (God), there is no reason for taking the word 'deva' in the mantra "Tam durdarśam (I. ii. 12) as meaning one whose soul is God, since there is no mention of such a characteristic of the individual soul here. Holding this very view. Śri Rāmānuja has stated under the Sūtra "Guhām pravisthauthe two that have entered the Cave" (I. ii, 11). "The entry into the 'Cave' (of the heart) by the Supreme Self is seen (mentioned in the Scripture) "tam durdarsam ... " (K U. I. ii. 12). In the same manner this mantra has been explained as referring to the Supreme Self by Vyāsārya. But the Supreme Self is indicated by the word gahvarestham as one whose body is the nature of the purified soul difficult to be known here mentioned as gahvara. But the difference is as follows: In the mantra "Brahma. jajnam..." (l. i. 17c.) the nature of the purified individual soul with the Supreme Self as its soul is meant, whereas in the mantia 'Tam durdar'sam..." (I. ii. 12) the nature of the Supreme Self with the individual as its body is referred to. Thus there is no lack of identity in meaning.

I. ii. i4

Now (Naciketas) asks, in order to get clear knowledge, for instruction regarding the Nature of that (Self) which has to be attained, mentioned as that which is distinct from the result of sacrificial works (dharma) in the passages: adhyāt mayogādhigamena devam marvā dhīro harṣaśokau jahāti (I. ii. 12cd.); etacchrutvā samparigṛhya martyah pravṛhya dharmyam anum etam āpya sa modate modanīyam hi labdhvā .. (I. ii. 13abc); na hy adhruvaih prāpyate hi dhruvam tat (I. ii. 10b.); and the nature of the means (to the attainment of that) indicated in the same places by the word 'matvā' pondering over) as distinct from dharma (sacrificial works) and the attainer indicated as 'courageous' (dhīraḥ) in the passage 'dhīro harṣaśokau jahāti' (I ii. 12d.) (thus):—

anyatra dharmād anyatrādharmād anyatrāsmāt kṛtākṛtāt | anyatra bhūtācca bhavyācca yat tat þasyasi tad vada. || 14 ||

Tell me that which verily thou seest that which is other than the right (dharma) other than the non-right (adharma) other than this which is done and is not done and other than the past as well as the future.

COMMENTARY:

anyatra dharmād etc.

If it be asked: When it is said in the Śrī Bhāṣya (I. iv 6) that "Naciketas) asked again (of Yama) for getting clear knowledge of the nature of the Godhead to be attained and mentioned as the object of meditation in (the passage) 'devam matvā" (I. ii. 12) and of the individual soul the attainer, mentioned as one who is to be known in (the passage) 'adhyātmayogādhigamena...(I. ii. 12), and of the meditation on Brahman mentioned in "matvā dhīro harṣaśokāu jahāti" (I. ii. 12), how could it be said in contradiction to it that, attainer is

indicated by the word 'dhirah', we reply, not say this. That which is mentioned as that which is to be known (meditated upon) in the passage 'matva' (I. ii. 12c) and which is signified by the word atman (self), is only that of the purified vature taught in the Prajāpati-Vidyā' which is the object of meditation and which is to be attained. Therefore it (passage) also is one which instructs that which is to be attained. Since it is in reality non-different from the att ainer, the Bhāsya words (aforesaid) " prāptuḥ pratyagātmanaśca" are not in contradiction. Therefore the subsequent Bhāsya passage "na jāyate mriyate vā vipaścit: to begin with (he) teaches the nature of the individual soul who is the attainer in the mantra "the intelligent is neither born nor dies" (I ii. 18) too is not in contradiction (with this). Verily it cannot be that the nature of the purified (soul) mentioned as the intelligent (vipaścit) which is taught in the mantra. "The knower is neither born nor dies" (K. U. I. ii 18), is of the form of the attainer, since that which is taught in the passages "The learned call as the enjoyer the soul together with its body, senses, and mind". "But the man who has intelligence for charioteer and mind as bridle attains the final end of the path, that Supreme abode of Visnu" (I. ii. 4 and 9) is one who is of the nature of the attainer. And so it is explained in the $Sr\bar{\imath}$ $Bh\bar{a}sya$ under the $S\bar{\imath}tra$ "Because again of the qualification' (I. ii. 12.)

For the same reason in the Guha (Cave) passage (I iii. 1) which refers to the identity of the place of residence of both attainable and attainer, it is seen that reference is made (to the individual soul) as chāyā which means the non-intelligent, but not as viþaścit (intelligent). The meaning therefore is the same as said above. This mantra has been explained by Vyāsārya under the Sūtra (I. iv. 6) "Of the three".

dharmah: upāyah: the means

dharmad anyatra: different from the well-known means is the meaning

1. Prajāpatī Vidyā is in the last part of Chāndogya Up. VIII. describing the instruction of Prajāpati to Indra and Virocana.

adharmah: other than dharma, means that which is to be attained

adharmād anyatra: the result which is different from the well-known results

asmāt: by this term is intended the practiser who is kept in mind. The same (person) is the attainer. He indeed it different from the well-known practiser-attainer (viz. he who performs yagña and attains svarga etc.,) since he is detached from any other ends when practising (yoga), and since at the attainment of the Ultimats End he is of the nature that manifests eight qualities (such ae freedom from sin etc.);

kṛtākṛtāt: done and not done; qualifies means (dharma) and others. The meaning is "which is different from means and others which are done and not done and which is different from dharma and others, past and future."

Having thus commented upon (this passage) in one way" (Vyāsārya) gives another explanation beginning with "Or else in consideration of the fact that in that case one 'different from' (in the 3rd line) becomes superfluous in as much as the three 'different froms' viz. (1) different from means done and not-done, and past and future; (2) different from results of the same kind and (3) different from this practiser of the same qualification, are sufficient. Now it will be stated: Or else "that which is different from dharma and adharma" is the question regarding the meditation ($up\bar{a}san\bar{a}$), since the meditation is different from the means of the form of merit and demerit (punyapāpa). By the passage "That which is different from that which is done and not-done" and from the past and future, what is enquired is the attainable (end), that is not conditioned by time (kālaparicchinna). The question of the attainer also is implicit in it, since the conscious attainer also is eternal and included in the attainable. It will be said (in the Śrī Bhāṣya) that the nature of the attainer is also included in What is meant is that the words 'which' and 'that' refer to the triad (the means, attainer and attainable).

If it be said that even according to this view the acceptance of the statement as referring to the two propositions is strained,

because it appears that as the double usage of the word 'anyatra' appearing at the beginning is co-ordinate (sāmānādhīkaranya), even so the subsequent double usage of the same is If there the particle 'and' (ca) was used twice co-ordinate and which is different from dharma and adharma and which is different from the three times (past, present and future)', then, the natural co-ordination of the word 'different from 'used four times subsequently could be ignored. Since therefore there is no reason for rejecting the co-ordination that appears to be in accordance with the method of expression (of this twice-used word anyatra at the beginning - prakrama rīti anusāri), let the portion 'different from dharma and different from adharma' be one referring to Brahman. the attainable. If it be asked (by any objector of the objector) since the question of the particular means of attainment is to be included here, on account of there being a reply in respect of the means of attainment in the mantra "This self is attainable neither by thinking nor by meditation nor by good deal of hearing (I. ii. 23), the co-ordination of the word 'anyatra' used four times (sabdayugadvayasya) must be rejected, in spite of the absence of the particle 'and' (ca); (we reply) No. Because in the reply to only teaching in respect of a particular quality of the attainable, that is, the attainability only through such knowledge as has become of the form of 'Love' (prīti-rūpāpanna), is seen in the passage "This self is not to be attained through thinking." (1. ii. 23), and a reply referring chiefly to the means is not seen. (Otherwise) since such a reply as "Nor one whose mind is not quiet could attain this through knowledge" (I. ii. 24). "But who is without knowledge, absent-minded and always impure does not attain that abode" (I. iii. 7) is seen, why should not the question 'anyatra dharma anyatra, refer to the opposite of the commonly known means.

If it be said that the saying that the means to the attainable is only the knowledge that has become of the nature of love, results in saying that the means must become of the nature of love, (we reply) so let it be. But this would not

lead to the acceptance that the question and the answer have reference chiefly to the means.

Nor can one accept either the question 'Which is Devadatta's house?', or the answer to it "That is Devadatta's house which has a garden full of many Campaka trees, and with conch, discus and lotus inscribed on the sides of the doorway", as chiefly referring to the garden or the sides of the doorway.

Now therefore that part of the passage "anyatra dharmād anyatrādharmād" must be (taken as) one referring only to Brahman, different from the result of dharma and adharma, with a view to make the four-times-used word 'anyatra', co-ordinate

Siddhanta: We reply: It is not seen that the statement "Do inform me of him whom you see to be other than Devadatta" said after the statement that this (man) is not born of Devadatta but of Yajñadatta, is a question that refers through secondary significance to one other, than Devadatta's son just as it is a question referring to Yajnadatta who is other than Devadatta. Even so (it is) proper to say that the question dharmad anyatra etc. which follows the instruction that (it is) not the result of action, refers to the means of the form of knowledge, that is different from dharma but not that the question refers through secondary significance of dharma, to Brahman which is different from the result of dharma. So also it is determined by co-ordination in the passage 'adharmād anyatra' that it refers to the means only. But in respect of the following twice-used word 'anyatra' which signifies that which is different from that which is conditioned by the threefold time it is reasonable to accept that it refers to the attainable alone, rejecting co-ordination because it is impossible that it refers to a means that is not conditioned by the threefold time. When it is said that 'Who (is) the black-tall red-short "there, is co-ordination between black and tall because of lack of contradiction. Likewise there is co-ordination

^{1.} Lit, 'Step': Ananda K. Coomaraswami says that "A correlation of the three forms" with the "Three strides" of Visnu is maintained throughout our text and must always be borne in mind."

between red and short because of lack of mutual contradiction. But co-ordination is not seen between all the four (i. e., black, tall, red, short), in spite of the absence of the particle 'and' (ca). But the words form questions relating to two persons (one who is black and tall and the other who is red and short). Similarly here too since the particle 'and' (ca) is not used twice, no co-ordination is to be accepted. Or let there be co-ordination as you say. Even then, since according to the second interpretation of the question and reply the means also, like the attainer, is included in the question pertaining to the attainable, the question and reply pertaining to the means and attainer referred to in the Sūtra (I. iv. 6) "the reply and questions are in reference to three things alone" are appropriate and thus there is nothing wrong. Also therefore it is apparent that what is explained in the reply is only the attainable which is mentioned as 'padam' in the passage "That abode I shall teach you briefly" (I. ii. 15d).

This long discourse is enough. Let us now proceed with the commentary.

T ii. 15.

Thus asked (by Naciketas), Death with a view to teach it in detail beginning with "Neither is (one) born nor dies", now to intensify the attention of the hearer introduces the brief exposition revealing the greatness of the Attainable thus:

sarve vedā yat padam āmananti tapāmsi sarvāni ca yad vadanti ¦ yadicchanto brahmacaryam caranti tat te padam samgrahena bravīmi || Omityetat || 15 ||

Which abode all the Vedas speak of, which abode all the Āraṇyakas and Upanisads mention, desiring which (they) observe brahmacarya (celibacy), that abode I shall briefly teach. This is OM.

COMVENTARY.

sarve vedāh...: The word 'pada' (abode) signifies the nature of the attainable as according to etymological derivation

'padyate' means 'is attained' (gamyate). "Which nature all the Vedas directly or indirectly (paramparayā) deal with, this is the meaning.

By this the following doubts or hypotheses are replied: Let this upanisad like the Prajāpati-Vidyā (Ch. U. VIII.) refer to the nature of the purified individual soul because (i) it is accepted by all that "Na jāyate mriyate vā vipaścit" and "Hantā cenmanyate hantum" both the mantras (I- ii. 18 and 19) refer to the nature of the purified individual soul, (ii) because the (two) mantras (I, ii. 20) "anoranīyān mahato mahīyān..." well apply to the individual soul described in it as so subtle as to be capable of entering into all (things) and as omnipresent, by the Smrti passages "Know that Unperishing by which all this is pervaded" (Gitā II. 17) and "Actionless, unnameable. merely pervading, Unequalled "(?), (iii) because in accordance with the Gita passage "It is unknowable because subtle it is far and near" (XIII. 15), the passage here "Sitting wanders afar and lying goes all round" (K. U. I. ii. 21), is also compatible with it, (iv) because the mantra (I. ii. 25) "To whom the Brahman and Ksatriya both become food..." is capable of referring to it in consonance (Upabrahmana) explanatory passage "The devourer and begetter" (Gitā XIII. 16), (v) because the mantra (I. iii, 9) "He attains the end of the way that Supreme Abode of Vișnu" also can have reference to the nature of the purified soul as stated in the Smrti passages "The second is the transcendent abode of Him whose name is Vișnu meditated upon by Yogins"; "You alone are the Lord, the cause of creation, destruction and existence, and which is the most Supreme Abode (and) nothing else." (vi) because according to the Smrti (Gitā VIII. 21) "Unmanifest, Imperishable", the mantra "That is the I ltimate Means, that is the Ultimate End" (K. U. I. iii, 11), also can refer to the purified soul, (vii) because according to the Smrti (Gītā XIII. 27) "The Supreme Lord residing equally in all beings", the Mantra "He Hidden in all beings" (I. iii. 12) can refer reasonably to the nature of the purified soul, (viii) because according to the Gita(XIII. 27), the mantra "The Lord of the past and future" (K. U. II. i. 5) signifies a meaning that can go with the nature of the purified soul since the individual soul alone is indicated as the subject-matter in the context in the mantra (II. i. 1) "The senses are extraverted..." through despising outward things, (ix) because even the negative statement 'There is nothing distinct in it here' can be reconciled with the same nature of the individual soul where there is a chance of making distinction, (x) because also the mantra "Just as the wind, the one has pervaded the world" (II. ii. 10) which recalls the Smrti text "The differences of the wind which blows uniformly caused by (its passing through) the different holes of the flute is named sadja etc, the same is the case with the difference of the Supreme Selt (when it enters the things" can refer possibly to the nature of the purified soul; (xi) because there is nothing incongrous in the mantra "Who makes manifold the One seed ". (Sv. U. V. 12) having reference to the purified soul since in the Gītā Bhāṣya under the passage It has its feet and hands everywhere" (Gita XIII. 13) it has been explained (by Śri Rāmānuja) that the purified soul that has attained Supreme Equality with Brahman (parama sāmya) is the agent of the actions done by hands and feet etc., every where, (xii) because the mantra "There the Sun does not shine" (K. U. II. ii 15) is compatible with the nature of the purified soul on the strength of passages of the Gitā "The Sun does not illuminate" (XV. 6), and "That light of lights is mentioned as being beyond darkness" (XIII. 17); (xiii) because the mantra at the end (K. U. II. iii. 17) "One should discriminate Him from one's own body 'naturally can refer to the purified soul, and (xiv) because while the whole of this Upanisad could like the Prajāpati-passages (Ch. U. VIII.) be taken as referring to the purified soul-alone, it is quite unnecessary to take the trouble of explaining it as referring to two attainables, namely,

^{1,} Vișnu Purăna: Venurandhrādhibhedena bhedah șadjādi samjūatah abhedavyāpino vā yostathā' sau paramātmaņaḥ.

Varient reading in Śāstra Dīpikā (Nirnaya Sagar ed. p. 125: tasya mahātmanah; Anandāśrama ed. gives the reading Paramesvarah

the individual soul and the Supreme Self (these above doubts are replied). It may be seen that the statement "That abode I shall teach you briefly" is to the effect that what is dealt with in all the Vedas is going to be taught, and the nature of the purified soul cannot be that which is dealt with in the portions of the Vedas that deal with the nature of the Supreme Self, though the nature of the Supreme Self which is the Inner Ruler (antaryāmin) of the purified soul can be dealt with in the portions that deal with the nature of purified soul.

tapāmsi: This is explained by Vyāsārya as meaning later portions of the Veda which are chiefly concerned with penances

yad icchantah: desiring which

brahmacaryam: continence of the form of stay at the Teacher's residence, abstinence from sexual life, etc.

caranti: observe

sangrahena: briefly

It may be noted that since this mantra which is chiefly a statement in respect of the teaching of the attainable, means by implication a praise of *Pranava* (Om), there is no incongruity when the Bhāṣya says 'after praising *Pranava*', and (when) the *Srutaprakāśikā* says "after praising as that which indicates the Brahman spoken of in the first three lines (of the mantra) beginning with 'All the Vedas' is the meaning.

What is that (word) which indicates that briefly? The reply is Om ityetat: Om that is. According to (the Gitā) "The mention of Brahman is traditionally spoken of as of three forms. Om Tat Sat". Pranava is the word that indicates Brahman. It may be noted that since the parts of Pranava akāra and makāra indicate the Supreme Self and individual soul respectively, there is instruction also with regard to the means and the attainer.

I. ii. 16.

Now he (Yama) praises Pranava with the following two mantras:—

etaddhyevākṣaram Brahma etadhyevākṣaram param | etadevākṣaram jñātvā yo yadicchati tasya tat || 16 ||

This very syllable indeed is Brahman; This very syllable is indeed supreme; whoever, knowing this syllable indeed, whatever wants gets it.

COMMENTARY:

This very syllable is Brahman on account of its being the means of attainment of Brahman, since in accordance with the text "One should meditate on the Transcendent Person with this very name syllable Om" (Pr. U. V. 5), this is the object of meditation which leads to realisation of Brahman.

etadevākṣaram param: The best among those (words) fit to be muttered and fit to be meditated upon

etadevākṣaram jñātvā: He, who practises this syllable through this practice whatever he desires (of the form) "Let this fruit be attained by me" he realises. This is the meaning.

I. ii. 17:

etadālambanam šrestham etadālambanam param | etadālambanam jīrātvā brahmaloke mahīyate || 17 ||

This is the best support; this is the highest support; knowing this support, one is glorified in the Brahmanworld.

COMMENTARY:

This support means, of the form of OM is the best, better than meditation etc. is to be supplied.

For this reason Etadālambanam param: Meditation and others having this as their object are the best. This is the meaning. The meaning of the second half is clear.

I. ii. 18:

na jāyate mriyate vā vipaścinnāyam kutaścinna babhūva kaścit |
ajo nityah śāśvato'yam purāņo
na hanyate hanyamāne śarīre || 18 ||

The knower is neither born nor dies: he comes from out of nothing nor was he ever born. This birthless, endless, everlasting ancient is not destroyed when the body is destroyed.

COMMENTARY:

To begin with He (Death) teaches the nature of the individual soul with two mantras. In connection with this the following has been said by Vyāsārya; These two mantras deal with one subject matter. Since the second (mantra) is only an explanation of "is not destroyed when the body is destroyed", the following mantra (verse. 19) also refers only to individual soul, because in respect of the Supreme Self the world has no idea of his being the killer or the killed. Surely the Supreme Self transcends perception. How could there be any idea or being killed etc., in respect of Him? The idea of egoism such as the killer and killed as expressed in statements "I kill this", "This seeks to kill me" in embodied souls, is only with reference to the individual soul.

If it be asked: the negation relating to killing is quite reasonable in respect of the supreme Self as there is the negation in "It does not get old through its body getting old", (We reply) True. The negation of change which was suggested by daharākāśa (subtle ether) dwelling in the body is reasonable. But here the common false notion is referred to and rejected. Surely there is no false notion on the part of any one of his being killed or the killer in respect of the Supreme Self. Therefore there is no place for either assertion or negation (of killer or killed). Also the mantra "Neither is one born nor dies" has the same meaning as that. Therefore

both the mantras refer to the individual soul. Now to the literal meaning:—

vipascit: Being fit to be omniscient. This (being) who is even now free from birth and death. This is the meaning.

Nāyam kutaścit: having no cause (utpādaka)

na babhūva kaścit: even in old times having no birth in the forms of man etc.,

He gives the reason for the statement "Neither is born nor dies"

ajah: having no birth. He then gives the reason for his. non-death

nitya: having no end. He next gives the reason for his coming out of Nothing as śāśvata: eternal. Then he gives the reason for his never having been born as Purāna: ancient. If it be asked: How could it (the individual soul) be deathless, since its death should necessarily follow on the destruction of its body, due to its dwelling in the body, He (Death) replies:

na hanyate: is not destroyed when his body is destroyed. The meaning is clear.

I. ii. 19.

The same is explained further.

hantā cenmanyate hantum hataścenmanyate hatam | ubhau tau na vijānīto nāyam hanti na hanyate. || 19 ||

If the killer thinks that I shall kill him, and if the killed thinks that he is kllled, both these do not know (the nature of the soul). (He) does not kill nor (is the other) killed.

COMMENTARY:

hanta cet: The meaning is if one taking the body for the soul thinks 'I shall kill this'

hatascenmanyate hatam: the meaning is if one whose body and limbs are cut off, deeming his body as soul, thinks within himself "I am mortally injured" ubhau tau na vijānītaḥ: Both of them do not know, 'The nature of the soul' is to be supplied.

nāyam hanti: He does not kill. 'The soul' is to be supplied.

na hanyate: Is not killed. 'The nature of the soul' is to be supplied.

It could not be said "How could there be any suggestion and negation of killing etc., in respect of the purified soul taught in the Vedānta, since it may be seen that he himself is the possessor of the body (kṣetra), and they (suggestion and negation) could be on account of this very fact (of embodiedness)."

A discussion is carried on in the Vedanta Śūtras (II. iii. 18.) touching these two mantras, The objector's view is that "inspite of the scriptural text "The wind, and other (antariksa)these are immortal" (Brh U. II. 3. 3.), which teaches the (immortality of) wind and atmosphere, because there is the scriptural passage, "The ether comes from out of the soul (and) the wind from out of the ether" (Tait. Up. II. 1.) indicating the origination of them, their origination is accepted, and because it must be accepted that all things are modifications of Brahman so as to explain (the passage) "from the knowledge of the One the knowledge of all occurs" (taught in the scripture). So also, in spite of there being texts describing individual souls as eternal, as there are texts "He created the individual souls on earth with water" (Tai. U. II) Lord of creatures (Prajapati) created the creatures," which teach that individual souls are created, one has to accept creation even in respect of the individual souls, with a view to explain "the arising of knowledge of all from the knowledge of One."

Against this (objection) it has been established in the Vedānta Sūtra (II. iii. 10) "The soul is not created because of the Scripture (statement), and because of its endlessness, on account of scripture (statement)", that the soul does not originate, since the texts "The knower is neither born nor dies" (K. U. I, ii. 18). "The knower and the ignorant are

birthless." (Śvet. Up. I. ii.) negate origination. And therefore from the scriptures themselves its eternity is known. Nor should one doubt that therefore there will result contradiction to the passage (already quoted) from the texts which teach origination and make the assertion that 'all is known when that One is known', because though its nature is eternal yet it undergoes changes of state of the form of contraction and expansion of knowledge. And thus the text teaching its origination and the statement regarding all knowledge can well be in accord with each other and because the text negating its origination can be reconciled (with it) it as referring to its not having origination of the form of its very nature (substance) undergoing any change.

The difference is this much. There is undoubtedly change of the form of getting into different states in respect of all the three (categories), conscient, inconscient and the Ruler -but then the inconscients have origination of the form of substantial modification, while there is no such origination for the conscients. Their origination, however, is of the form of changes such as expansion and contraction in their characteristic of consciousness. For the Ruler, however, though there is change of state as the Ruler of such (modified) conscients and inconscients, the above mentioned two-fold changes (that happen in respect of inconscients and conscients) do not happen. Therefore the Supreme Self is described as the Eternal in a different sense (than what applies to the individual soul) as mentioned in the passage "Eternal of the eternals" (K. U. II. ii, 13). The meaning of the Sūtra has been explained."

If it be as asked whether the origination of the individual soul negatived by the text "He is neither born nor dies" is taught in the $P\bar{a}ncar\bar{a}tra$ (passage) "From Vāsudeva the individual soul called Sankarṣaṇa is born", (we reply) it cannot be in respect of the jīva ((individual soul).

Similarly origination of the mind, which is an organ, from the individual soul, that is the agent, which is taught in

the passage "From Samkarsana is born the mind called Pradyumna", cannot happen, because the origination of an organ from the individual soul is contrary to the text which teaches that the mind originates from Brahman: "From this is born breath, mind and all organs" (Mun. U. ii. 3). This is the objector's view which is brought forward by the two Vedānta Sūtras: "Because of the impossibility of origination" and "Nor the organ from the Agent" (II. ii. 39 and 40), and the Siddhānta view is given by the two Śū ras "Or (it) being knowledge and destroyer (vijnānam ca tat ādi ca) it cannot be refuted" (II. ii. 41) and "Also because of refutation (of jiva-origination)" (II. ii, 42. "Or" means the setting aside of the Pūrvapakṣa view. Vijnānādi means in the Śūtra 'that which is knowledge and the Eater (destroyer.)'

If it be asked since the word 'adi' ca being a form according to Lingānuśana sūtra "kyanto ghuḥ," is always masculine, how could this explanation be given? We reply this is not a ghu, but a form derived from the root'ada: to eat, with suffix meaning the necessary (āvaśyaka). Accordingly it can be seen that here is described (Brahman's) causality and its nature as destroyer of the world.

ādivijnānam: eater-intelligence means the Supreme Self.

The word 'jiva' mentioned in the passage "The individual soul called Samkarṣaṇa is born" means the Supreme Soul who presides over it. When this is so there can be no refutation of the anthority of the Śāstra (pāñcarātra).

The notion of birth as applied to God (Supreme Self) means the wearing of a body out of His own free will. Since in the same Pāñcarātra the origination of the jiva is refuted (as in the passage) "He verily is beginningless and endless," there can be no statement contradictory to it. The passage "The individual soul called Samkarṣana is born" mentions only the wearing of the individual soul by Samkaraṣana its

^{1.} Kyanto ghuh the forms derived from Ghu: da or dha (to give or to bear or to nourish) adding with suffix ki ($P\bar{a}nini$ S.. III. iii, 92) is masculine.

presiding deity, out of His Free Will, as the body. Consequently there is no lack of authoritativeness to Pāncarātia. This is the meaning of the two Śūtras.

If it be asked, like the Sāmkhya and Pāsupata and other adhikaranas should not this adhikarana also be taken as refuting the authority of the Pāncarātra, we reply that it is not compatible with facts. It is incredible that Bādarāyaṇa who has written the Mahābhārata for expounding the Vedas and who has established in it at many places the authority of the Pañcaratra, should refute the authority of the Pāucarātra in the Brahma Śūtras. (The statements in the Mahābhārata are) (i) "This has been extracted like ghee from curd from the extensive Epic Mahābhārata of a hundred thousand (verses) using His mind as a churning-rod." (ii) "Just as butter (is) extracted from curd, Brāhmaņa from bipeds, the Aranyaka from the Vedas, and chyle from plants (this Sastra had been extracted)" (iii) "This is the great Upanisad equal to the four Vedas with views similar to Sāmkhya and Yoga, and is called Pāncarātra", (iv) "This is beneficial, this is Brahman, this is good without a superior" (v) "Associated with R, Yajus and Sāman, as well as Atharvāngirasa, this very teaching will become the authority indeed "This alone is the instruction (vi) By Brāhmanas, Kṣatriyas, Vaiśyas and Sūdras, wearing signs, is (Mādhava) to be adored, worshipped and served; who is sung by Samkarṣaṇa in accordance with Śāttvata injunction (Pāncatātra). (vii) From this, Svayambhuva Manu is going to promulgate the Dharmas.

If it be asked. (i) since similarly in the passages such as "This is the complete truth of the learned Sāmkhyas, which has been taught by chief ascetics such as Kapila and other accomplished souls, where O best of men there appear no false ideas, where there are very many excellences, with absolute absence of faults", the absence of all faults such as wrong notion is mentioned in the Mahābhārata with regard to the School of Kapila, and (ii) since it is declared

that Nārāyana is the ultimate Object of Sāmkhya, Yoga Pāśupata and others in passages such as: "O Best among Kings, in all these sciences the Ultimate Object is the Lord Nārāyaṇa according to Scripture and Reasoning;" and (iii) since it is stated in (the passage) "The intelligent authors of the śāstras speak of Him alone" that the authors of these schools (śāstras) also deal with Nārāyaņa; and (iv) since it is stated in (the passage) "The Sāmkhya Yoga, Pāñcarātra, the Vedas. Pāśupata, these authorities on the Self should not be destroyed (with the help of reasons.)" that all these are authorities on the self, and (v) since according to the example of Pancaratra, other schools also are said to be authorities as (in the passage) "all are authorities as this excellent śāstra is", in that pāda (V. S. II. ii.) the authority of such scriptures (āgama) such as Sāmkhya, and Pāśupata is not refuted; (we reply) the absence of illusion and deception and others and the having of Nārāyaṇa as the Ultimate Object on the part of the authors of (these) śāśtras are common Against those who however owing to insufficient study not knowing the heart of the propounders of these śāstras, and taking as true only their surface-features, come forward (with objections), the author of the Sūtras granting that the schools of Sāmkhya and others refer only to those surface-features made the refutation. But the Pancaratra school even superficially appears to teach the Supreme Truth, the means and the goal, and since there appears nothing in contradiction with Vedas such as difference between material and efficient causes, it is wholly authoritative, and there is no room for doubting the contrary, in respect of any portion of it. This can be seen." This is what Vyāsārya has said (in the Śrutaprakāśika). Let us no w proceed.

I. ii. 20.

Thus having clearly expounded the nature of the individual by the preceding two mantras, (now Death) teaches the nature of the Supreme Self who is the self of that (individual soul) (thus): anor aniyān¹ mahato mahiyān ātmasya jantor nihito guhāyām tām akratuḥ þaśyati vītaśoko Dhātuḥ þrasādān mahīmānam ātmanaḥ. || 20 ||

Subtler than the subtle, vaster than the vast, the Soul of this creature is put in the Cave (of the heart); Him the greatness of the individual soul the actionless sees, beieft of sorrow, through the grace of the Sustainer.

COMMENTARY:

anoraniyān: More subtle than the conscient (soul) which is subtle when compared to all the unconscient things Subtler than that. That is, He is capable of 'entering into it,

maha!o mahiyan: Greater than the ether etc., that is. there is nothing not pervaded by it

asya jantoh: Of the individual souls spoken of by the earlier two mantras (defining jiva) as 'Neither born nor dies'

 $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$: One that enters and controls—this is the meaning.

It is clear therefore that he who is dealt with in this mantra "Subtler than the subtle" is different from the nature of the individual soul, mentioned in the two previous mantras. It should not be presumed that 'asya jantoh' "of this creature" need not be construed with "self", since it qualifies 'guhā' meaning the cave of the heart, which requires a possessor (sambandha(-i) sāpekṣene), because there is no harm in construing the words 'asya jantoh" along with something other than the word 'ātma', though it is construed with that also, according to the maxim of 'crow's eye' (kākākṣi-nyāya), for in the passage "Cutting the branch at the bottom, he makes the upaveśa (a small stick used in the sacrifice)" (P.M.S. IV. ii. 8). The word mūlatah 'at the bottom taken as going with 'makes an upavesā' while it is taken also as going with

^{1.} cf. Ch. [U. VI. 6, 6], VI. 12. 2, Mund, U. II. 2. 2.

'cutting, cutting the branch at the bottom, one makes upaveşa from the bottom". Besides even though the passage means that It (Brahman) resides in the heart-cave of the jiva (individual soul), there results the difference. Indeed there is no use in teaching that the jiva (the individual soul) is himself residing in his heart-cave.

If it be asked that the selfmentioned above as one that is placed in the jiva's cave may be the jiva himself, because 'asya jantoh' is to be accepted only as referring to its body which is made known by perception and other (sources of knowledge), due to the fact that individual soul who has been described as 'Neither boin nor dies' cannot be spoken of as a 'creature (jantu)' which means the created. It cannot be held that the subsequent contexts "Who other than myself is fit to know that God who is free from both pleasure and sorrow" (I. ii. 21b.) and "How He is, this who can know" (I. ii. 25b.), which describe the difficulty of knowing, cannot be compatible with the individual soul who always is known as 'I' and as one who possesses agency and enjoyerness etc.. because, though he is known by all the world as one who possesses agency etc.,... he could be such as to be difficult to be known as one who is of the nature of Brahman that is to be attained by the Freed, (we reply) No. Because the word 'jantu being a synonym for sentient (cetana) according to the Lexicon (of Amara Simha)-" prānī tu cetano janmī jantu-janya śarīranah "-can signify the individual soul, and the pronominal 'asya' can be taken to refer to the individual soul, spoken of in the previous context and so should not be taken to mean the body known through perception and other (sources of knowledge). And since as stated in the (passages) "This Self is in my inner heart, smaller than corn, barley or mustard or millet or their kernal. this self (is) in my inner heart greater than the earth, greater than the sky, greater than Heaven, greater than these worlds" (Ch. U. III. 14. 3), the extreme subtlity and vastness, are qualities of the Supreme Self, there can be no doubt that what is described in this mantra "Subtler than the subtle..." is the Supreme Self.

If it be asked since in the Srt Bhāṣya under the Sūtra "Not the individual soul because of incompatibility" (I. i, 17), the state of being intelligent mentioned in the mantra "With Brahman the intelligent" (Tait. U) is said to be the unique characteristic of Brahman, the mantra the "intelligent is neither born nor dies" may be construed as referring to the Supreme Self. Whilst it is so, there is no resort to the difficulty in explaining the question and answer "Different from dharma" (I. ii. 14) as referring to two attainables, and the mantra "neither born nor dies" as referring to the nature of the attainable individual soul and the present context "subtler than the subtle" as dealing with the Supreme Self; we reply No. Because it is necessary, to reject the primary meaning (mukhyārtha) of the word Intelligent', as otherwise the negativation of 'killing' etc., would be irrelevant, therefore the mantras the "intelligent neither is born nor dies..." and "If the killer thinks to kill..." on the one hand and the mantra in this context namely 'subtler than the subtle...' on the other hand, cannot refer to the same topic.

The rest will be clarified later on.

tam: such a Supreme Self

akratuli: Actionless, remaining without performing any Kāmya action, action done for getting any result.

dhātuḥ: of the Supreme Self who supports

prasādāt: due to the Grace

ātmanaḥ mahīmānam: One that brings about greatness to the soul; that is the self who is the cause of manifesting the qualities such as omniscience etc., of the individual soul – that is the Supreme Self.

yadā paśyati: When one sees

vitasoka: bereft of sorrow. Then one becomes bereft of sorrow.

In the Dyubhvādyadhikarana (Śrī Bhāṣya I, iii. 1) introducing the portion of the mantra "When one sees the Lord

distinct and pleased" (Śvet U iv 7), the Bhāsyakāra (Śrī Rāmanuja) makes the following comment: "When this (self) sees the Lord of all, as distinct from himself and pleased and also (sees) the Lord's greatness of the form of control over all existence, then he becomes bereft of sorrow" Following that the meaning here may also be "He who sees also the Supreme Self's greatness of the form of control over all existence, becomes bereft of sorrow"

Or else the construction may be: (he) becomes bereft of sorrow due to the Grace of the Supporter, since it may be seen there is the Smṛti-passage of. "Acyuta (He who falls not nor permits others falling) is pleased with him, when He is pleased there is banishment of sorrow".

When the reading (of the Upanisad text) is as follows: akratum pasyati dhātuh prasādāt mahīmānam īsam akratum means void of superiority and inferiority due to action

dhātuh · of the Lord.

I. 11 21

Death shows that the truth of the Supieme Self on account of Its being fully transcendent is difficult of being grasped by one who is lacking the Grace of the Lord which is described (in the previous mantia) as the Grace of the Supporter.

āsīno dūram vrajati sayāno yāti sarvatah | kastam madāmadam devam madanyo jñātum arhati || 21

Sitting he goes afar, lying down he moves everywhere. Who except me can know Him the God free from pleasure and unpleasure.

COMMENTARY:

āsino dūram.. Sitting. What is meant here is that functions such as sitting and going afar which ordinarily to be appear contradictory elsewhere, can be present in Him through the individual souls whose Self He is 1

1 cf Isa U 4 and 5.

kas tam: Who Him who remains between (i.e. free from) the pairs of opposite qualities such as pleasure and unpleasure, who can know except a person like me who is favoured with the Grace of the Supreme Self. This is the meaning.

I. ii. 22.

aśarīram śarīreṣvanavastheṣvavasthitam | mahāntam vibhum ātmānam matvā dhīro na śocati. || 22 ||

Contemplating upon the Self, bodiless but always established in transitory bodies, possessing vast powers, the brave (intelligent) one does not grieve.

COMMENTARY:

aśarīram: Without a body brought about by actions,

anavastheșu: transitory

avasthitam: being eternally established

mahāntam vibhum: possessing vast powers. Meditating upon the Self (as described above) the intelligent (man) does not grieve.

I. ii. 23.

Death shows the means of attaining that (Supreme) Self:

nāyamālmā pravacanena labhyo namedhayā na bahunā śrutena | yam evaiṣa vṛṇute tena labhyas tasyaiṣa ātmā vivṛṇute tanūm svām. || 23 ||

This Self is attainable neither by thinking nor by meditation nor by good deal of hearing. Whom He chooses by that very person is He attainable. To him this Self reveals His form.¹

COMMENTARY:

pravacanena...: Since here it is only reasonable to render the word pravacana as manana, thinking, and since there is no likelihood of pravacana which means teaching being considered as the cause (of attainment) and since this is explained

^{1.} Ch. U. VI. 12.2: Mund. U. II 2,2.

by Vyāsārya (author of the Śrutaprakāśikā) in this manner alone, pravacana means thinking.

eşah: The Supreme Self

yam: which practiser

vinute: chooses

tena labhyah: By the person sought by Him, is attainable. The state of being sought after by Him (the Lord), can only be in respect of a person who is His Beloved. To be His Beloved can happen only to one who loves Him (alone). Therefore the Love of God on the part of the practiser creates love of him on the part of God and thus it becomes the cause of the attainment of Him. This is the meaning.

tasya eşah: To him, to that practiser, the Supreme Self tanum: svarupam, His nature (form)

vivrun te: reveals. The meaning is (He) gives Himself. The same is the meaning when the reading is vrnute.

I. ii 24.

Now Death teaches certain functions (dharmas) as subsidiaries to meditation that leads to the attainment of the Supreme Self:

nāvirato duścaritān nāśanto nāsamāhitah | nāśāntamānaso vāpi prajnānenainam āpnuyāt. || 24 ||

No one who has not abstained from bad deeds, attains This through knowledge, nor he who is not free (from desire, anger and others) nor one who is not self recollected, nor one who has not controlled his mind.

COMMENTARY:

duscaritat aviratah: One who has not withdrawn from seducing other's wives and stealing other's properties

1. Tanum Svām: Svarūpam cf. RV. V. 72.4, Some writers like Ānaud K. Coomaraswami and Dr. S. K. Maitra write "It hardly appears that any doctrine of "Grace" is necessarily involved." But it appears to the writer here that it is inescapable.

aśāntah one whose passions of desire and anger have not subsided,

asamahitah. one whose mind is not attentive due to distractions by manifold activities

aśāntamānasah one whose mind is not iestrained enam. The Supreme Self

prajnānena, through knowledge

nāpnuyāt. will not attain. This is the meaning.

It is quite proper to enjoin abstention from evil deeds, and others as the subsidiaries of the meditation, though all these are purusartha (i.e. there are already injunctions prescribing abstention from all these things, the transgression of which will result in sinfulness), just as there is prohibition (negative injunction) "One should not speak falsehood" which, though a puruṣārtha, is again prescribed in the context of the Darśapūiṇamāsa—sacrifices as a subsidiary to them.

Now therefore if one, transgressing this negative injunction, which is a purusartha (that which when transgressed result in sinfulness to that person), wants to perform correctly the meditation on the Supreme Self, then to that one, the meditation will not bear fruit, since this subsidiary is not acted upon. This is the meaning.

I. i1. 25.

yasya brahma ca ksatram ca ubhe bhāvata odanah | mrtyur yasyopasecanam ka itthā veda yatra sah || 25 ||

To Whom the brāhmaņa and ksatriya both become food, to Whom Death is curry spice, this, (Person) who knows as to how He is?

COMMENTARY.

of movables and immovables through secondary significance, the primary meaning being the two castes brāhmaṇa and kṣatriya

yasya odano bhavati. means by whom it is destroyable

-yasya mṛtyur upasecanam: for whom Death is helper in eating of others while he (Death) himself is eaten

sah: He, the Suprems Self, that destroys all the movables and the immovables,

kah...yatra: in which manner He is, that is how He is, that manner who knows

ittham: (so as to be able to express it) it is this. This is the meaning

If it be asked what is there to necessitate taking the words 'brahma' and 'kṣatra' to mean the entire world consisting of the moving and unmoving we shall explain:—

When it is said that brāhmaņa and kṣatriya are food, it is necessary that the word 'odana' (food) should through its secondary significance mean enjoyableness or destructibility, since the castes, brāhmana and kṣatriya, cannot be literally food to any body. There is not indeed any individual self or Supreme Self who is the eater of only the brāhmaņas and kṣatriyas or destroyer of them alone.

If it be objected that this can be an injunction prescribing the destruction of brāhmaṇas and kṣatriyas for the sake of meditation, though He is the destroyer of all, just as in the passage "He is Lord of all these worlds which are beyond Heaven" Antarāditya vidyā" (Ch. Up. II.) only overlordship in respect of a particular world is being taught for the sake of meditation though the Sūpreme Self is the Lord of all the worlds. Not so, because, like that, this is not a context of meditation. Therefore it is proper that the mention of the brāhmaṇa and kṣatriya should refer by secondary meaning to the moving and the unmoving. This is said bythe author of the Vedānta Sūtras "The eater, because of the mention of moving and unmoving" (I. ii. 9).

If it be asked, even so, how is it that the word 'Food' is taken to signify secondarily destructibility, (since) even the attribution of secondary significance to a word must be based upon a particular quality and not on a general one? Indeed in the

sentence "This pupil is fire" by the word 'fire' the substance-ness is not denoted unlike the golden colour and other qualities (which are recalled to mind). For this reason in the Adhvaryu's command (praisa) (P M.S. III. 6) "Let the Hotr's cup come forward, also the Brahman's cup, the Udgātr's cup, the Yajamāna's cup", where the word 'udgātrinām', because of the plural, is to be taken as referring to many, it is so accepted (in the Pürva Mimāmsā) through the secondary significance as referring only the group (of four) of Udgātr priests, and not as referring to the common characteristic of rtviks who are sixteen. Similarly, here also, though Brahma and Kṣatra cannot be what are primarily signified by 'food', yet it is only reasonable to take them through secondary significance as things edible or enjoyable and not as destructible. which is a remote meaning, and which will make the sentence refer to the Supreme Self, the destroyer of the mobile and immoble creatures.

We reply, even if the quality of destructibility is a general one (sādharaṇākāraḥ), even then, it is only reasonable to accept it as being referred to through secondary significance, since it accords with the remainder of the passage "For whom Death is curry-spice".

If it be asked, since the word 'food' precedes 'curry-spice', according to the primary significance of that word (odana), the particular' characteristic of being enjoyable alone must be accepted as indicated by it through secondary significance and the latter term 'curry-spice' may be taken as meaning "that which does not obstruct". Therefore "He who enjoys brāhmaṇas and kṣatriyas and to whom Death is no obstructor (in this enjoyment)" is that which is dealt with in this mantra. And the enjoyer can tuly be the individual soul; therefore let it be the subject-matter of this mantra.

- 1. All editions other than the Poona ed. omit the two lines at this point, without which the whole thing reads as a puzzle.
 - 2. yadyapı is only found in the Poona ed other editions have omitted it.
- 3. It should be asādhāraņa in the text but in all the editions it is found as sādhāraņa which is obviously a mistake. cf. earlier sentence.

The reply is: (If so) there will result the total rejection of the relation that is indicated between Death who is spoken of metaphorically as 'curry-spice' and brāhmaņa and kṣatriya, who are metaphorically spoken of as 'food', as between curd and rice. If it be said that (it means) 'to Whom brāhmaņas and ksatriyas are enjoyable and to Whom Death is no obstructor' then indeed no relationship between Death on the one hand and the brāhmaņas and kṣatriyas on the other hand, could be discerned. Therefore though the word 'curry-spice' is relatively a subsequent term in relation to the word 'food' (in this passage), it must be taken to mean only the particular thing with helps eating other things whilst it is also eaten up (along with them) rejecting the general meaning (of being not an obstuctor). Consequently, according to the word 'curryspice', occuring later in the same sentence the word 'food' also should signify in a secondary sense destructibility alone. decided in the Attradhikarana (I. ii. 2) that it is only correct to accept a sense that is indicated by another word occurring in the same sentence in preference to what is particularly indicated by the consideration of the word in question alone, because there is economy of inte lectual activity (buddhilaghavam) and because it harmonizes the other parts of the passage (in question). Enough of this discussion that sprouts like tender leaves on a branch (alam pallavitena).

This concludes the Second Valli of the First Adhyāya of the Kathopanişad.

THIRD VALLI.

I. iii. 1.1

rtam pibantau sukrtasya loke guhām praviṣṭau parame parārdhye | chāyātapau ²brahmavido vadanti pañcāgnayo ye ca triṇāciketāḥ. || 1 ||

Knowers of Brahman who have five-fires, and who have studied the three anuvākas (beginning with ayam vā va yaḥ þavate) speak of shade and sunshine, which drink Rta and which have entered the cave in the most supreme excellent place in the world of good deeds.

COMMENTARY:

If the Brahman is difficult of knowing as was stated in the last mantra (I. ii. 25. d.) "Who knows this as it is?", one cannot understand where and how He is and so we cannot meditate on Hin. To Naciketas who thought thus, (Death) shows by two mantras that since the meditator and the meditated upon have entered the same cave (of the heart) and so the Supreme Self can be easily meditated upon, we can therefore meditate on Him.

rtam pibantau: rta: the inevitable result of action which is spoken of as truth (satya), pibantau: enjoying

sukrtasya loke: existing in this very world which is attainable through good deeds

parame: in the most supreme ether

parārdhe: means the ultimate number; fit for it is pardhyam. That means the excellent, existing in such a heartether $(hrday\bar{a}k\bar{a}\dot{s}a)$

chāyātapau: indicate through secondary significance the ignorant and the intelligent. The idea in mentioning the individual soul as ignorant is this. There may be a doubt

^{1.} cf. RV. X. 177. 1-2:

^{2.} cf. K. U. VI. 5.

that if the meditator and the meditated upon dwell in the same cave and they are (as indeed they are) attainer and attainable, the attainable cannot be said to be existing in the body which is metaphorically spoken of as a chariot helping attainment of That (attainble Brahman), as the thing that is approached with the help of the chariot cannot indeed be in the chariot itself. This doubt need not be. Though the attainable Supreme Self is there (within the body-chariot), since on accunt of being enshrouded in the ignorance of the form of action, due to the will of the Supreme Self as stated in the Vedānta Sūtra (III. 11. 4) "Hidden on account of the Will of the Tianscendent", the attainment of the form of the 'experience of Him is lacking. Therefore there is no incongruity in saying that the individual soul and the Supreme Self, attainer and the attainable, dwell in the same cave, which is within the body, denoted by the metaphor 'chanot'

pañcāgnayah Those whose mind is purified through service (woiship) of the five fires

trınāciketāh: This has been already explained.

brahmavido vadanti. Such knowers of Biahman speak of, is the meaning Since meiely those who have woishipped (served) the five fires and mastered the three Naciketa-anuvākas, have no ability to describe such a transcendent Self, these (pancāgni and trināciketa) are qualifications going with the knowers of Brahman.

That this mantia refers to the two, the individual soul and transcendent Self, is said in the Vedānta Sūtra "Those two that have entered the cave are souls' (I ii. 11). If it be asked '(1) since there cannot happen the state of being an enjoyer of action mentioned in this mantia, that is diinking Rta, by the Supreme Self who is free from (any) enjoyment of results of actions, (ii) since existence in a world attainable through good deeds and delimitation by a cave, are impossible for the

¹ A K, Coomaraswami holds that these 'two' iefers to Mitra and Varuna 'Mitra is the day, Varuna the night' (P B XXV 19-10), apara and Para Brahman, the immanent and Transcendent selves, God and Godhead.

transcendent Brahman which is omnipresent, (iii) since the descriptions (in this mantra) as shade and sunshine (chāyā and ātapa) that is non-luminous and luminous, are not consistent if referred to the individual soul and the Supreme Self; (iv) since it the reference is to the intellect (buddhi) and jīva (the individual soul), all these (different views) will harmonize, and (v) since indeed the use of the word piba itau with reference to the organs of enjoyment of finits of actions can be explained by taking it as an agent through secondary significance, it is only proper to rold that this mantra refers to buddhi (intellect) and the jīva (individual soul)—

We reply — There is no room for the doubt raised by you since in the sūtra (I 11. 11) "Both entered the cave," the same question has been raised and answered in the following manner. "When there is (dual) number mentioned and one of them determined and the second requires determination it is only reasonable to decide it as belonging to the same genus (jāti) (as the former), since there is parsimony of thought, when the genus already known is adopted and the particular alone is to be determined. If, on the other hand, it is said to be a thing of a different genus the e is heaviness (gauravam) of thought, due to requiring two ideas, one of the genus and the other of the particular. Even in common usage in the statements such as "The second to cow is to be sought", it is seen to be similar Consequently the second to the individual soul who is definitely known through its characteristic of drinking Rta, is to be determined to be the Supreme Self alone who belongs to the same genus as the individual soul, being conscient.

Since (i) the Supreme Self being the causal agent can be spoken of as one of the two who drink, since (11) the inner organ (buddhi) is neither an independent agent nor a causal one, the word 'pibantau' (the two who drink) can in no way include it, since (iii) it is possible that the omnipresent Brahman does exist even in the world that is attained through good deeds, since (iv) the entering into the Cave also

happened to (the Brahman) who in this very context is mentioned as having entered into the Cave "Guhāhitam gahvaiestham" K. U. (I ii 12b), and since (v) the word "chāyātaþa" can indicate the a-little-knower and the All-knower, this mantra refers only to the individual soul and the Supreme Self.

Further the doubt that "because according to Paingirahasya Biāhmana (beginning with) the passage" 'Of these two the one eats the sweet Pippa (Mund. U. III i.) refers to the sattra, the mantia Dvā' suparnā the two birds (Mund. U. III. 1) refers to the intellect and soul (and) because in the adhikarana containing the Sūtra (V. S. III iii 34) "This much for the sake of meditation", this mantra is said to bear the same meaning as the mantra "The two birds", this man'ra has also to refer to the intellect and soul, is cleared by the author of the Vedānta Sūtras himself by the Sūtia (I. 11) "The two that have entered the Cave are sculs" Besides the entering into the Cave on the part of the individual souls, is stated to be due to the instinmentality or conditioning by intellect Its co-mention as entering the Cave with the intellect which itse'f has entered into the Cave is not valid I hough in respect of gold that has become heavier due to its being taken along with its base ("pasthambhaka), the statement "gold is heavier" is reasonable yet such statements as "The gold and the base are heavier", are not made For this reason according to the opponent's vie , the explanation given to this mantra in consonance with the Sūtra (Guhām pravistha!) (I 2 11) is not reasonable For. though according to the scriptural aassage ' With the form of Jiva (soul) entering into" (Ch. U. VI 3 2', the Supreme Self enters with the form of the soul (jiva), yet, it does not enter (in His own nature), as Supreme Self. The mention of the Supreme Self and individual soul as the two that have entered the Cave cannot be correct. Indeed though one can say that Brahman is a samsārın , e one who is caught up in the chain of buths and deaths) meaning by that that the Brahman is caught up in samsāra un his form as jīva, still one cannot say

that the two, individual soul and Brahman, undergo samsāra, With regard to the two views accepted in accordance with the scriptural statements (i) "(It) makes through its manifestation (ābhāsa) the particular soul and the God" and itself becomes Māyā and avidyā' (Nrsımha pūrvatapanīya Up. 9) and (ii) "Conditioned by the effect is this jira, conditioned by the cause is God", that either avidya or the inner organ (antahkarana) is adjunct (upādhi) of the jīva, it is not correct (to hold) that avidya and the antahkarana (inner organ) are the reflection containing reflexive adjuncts (pratibimba-upādhitva). Because it is not correct to hold that the individual soul is either the reflexion in avidy, or in the inner organ, since the consciousness (cartanya) which is non-perceptual (acaksu ā) cannot be reflected. And reflection means that which is grasped by one through the rays of light in the eyes deflected on account of obstruction by a transparent substance. Therefore only two views remain; that the jiva (individual soul) is that which is delimited by avidya or by antahkarana.1

Neither the scripture which describes the unconditioned Supreme Self as entering the Cave nor the Antaryāmi Brāhmaṇa is in accord with regard to these (two views) since (the soul) in the heart cave is (indeed) delimited by avidyā or antaḥkarāna (inner organ). Enough of this discussion. To proceed with the Commentary.

I. ini. 2.

yah setur ijānānām akşaram brahma yat param | abhayam titirsatām pāram Nāciketam śakemahi | 2 |

1. A K. Coommaraswāmi writes Sukrtasya loke means 'righteous world, . The Empyrean Biahma-world is more truly 'non-made' (akrta uncreated) than well made (Sukrta), unless we understand by 'well made' 'Self made' (Svakrta) in accordance with Taī Up II,? He contends that Sankara's interpretation and incidentally Rangaiamanuja's as Karma phala are impossible in this context with the paramaparārdha 'The Parama Vyoman . cf. RV. X 129, 7 ct. RV VII 164, 10 and Piaśna Up I II.

Know the self as the occupant of the chariot, the body as the chariot itself, know the intellect (buddhi) to be the charioteer and the mind (manas) as the reins.

COMMENTARY:

ātmānam rathinam: Him who presides over the body know as the occupant of the chariot

śarīram ...: Know this body itself is the chariot

buddhim: Since the activities of the body are dependent upon or due to determination called buddhi (intellect), the nature of being a charioteer (is attributed) to it. This is the idea.

pragrahah: rein (or bridle, raśanā)

I. iii. 4.

indriyani hayan ahur vişayams t.şu gocaran | atmendriyamanoyuktam bhoktety ahur manişinah ||

(The intelligent) speak of the senses as the horses, their objects as their fields: (and they) speak of the soul along with its body, senses and the mind, as the enjoyer $(bhokt\bar{a})$.

COMMENTARY:

indriyāni hayān āhuh: the intelligent speak of the senses as the horses; the meaning is clear.

vişayān teşu gocarān: teşu: in respect of the senses which are expressed by the metaphor of horses. gocarān: as the paths (roads: know the sense-objects of the sound etc. to be these. This is the meaning.

Now (Death) shows as a quite well-known fact that in the absence of its body, senses, mind and intellect which are metaphorically referred to as chariot, charioteer, horses and reins, there is no agency on the part of the inactive self who is metaphorically spoken of as the rider in the chariot in respect of actions, both mundane and scriptural, of the form of movement.

The word manas refers through secondary significance also to the intellect, which is its effect, since in the previous mantra buddhi is mentioned as the charioteer.

bhoktā: One who is the agent as well as enjoyer (of experiences). The idea is that the pure self has neither agency nor enjoyment.

I. iii. 5 and 6.

Now He (Death) speaks of the purpose of the metaphor of chariot etc. in respect of the body etc. in the following two mantras.

yas tv avijnānvān bhavaty ayuktena manasā sadā tasyendriyāny avasyāni dustāsvā iva sāratheh | 5 |

yas tu vijnānavān bhavati yuktena manasā sadā | tasyendriyāni vasyāni sadasvā iva sāratheḥ. || 6 |

For him, who always remains ignorant with his mind unconcentrated, his senses become uncontrollable just as wild horses for the charioteer; but for him who becomes intelligent always with his mind concentrated, his senses become controllable, just as trained horses for the charioteer, (are controllable).

COMMENTARY:

In this world indeed to one who has got a good charioteer and reins, the horses become obedient. In the same manner only when the intellect and mind, metaphorically spoken of as charioteer and bridle are good (trained and disciplined), the senses, metaphorically spoken of as horses, become obedient and not otherwise.

1. Vicious : Krishna Prem.

I. iii. 7 and 8.

With the following two mantras (Death) reveals the effects of subjugating or non-subjugating the senses mentioned as horses.

yas tv avijnāvān bhavaty
amanaskah sadāsucih |
na sa tat padam āpnoti
sa samsāram cādhigaechati || 7 ||
yas tu vijnānavān bhavati
samanaskah sadā sucih |
sa tu tat padam āpnoti
yasmād bhūyo na jāyate || 8 ||

He who remains ignorant, always absent-minded and impure, he does not attain that abode but gets more entangled in samsāra. But he who becomes intelligent and vigilant (in mind) and pure attains that abode, as he is not born again.

COMMENTARY:

amanaskah: he whose mind is unsubjugated etc.

asucih: impure for the same reason because of his constant inclination towards thinking evil This is the meaning.

samsāram ca adhigacchati: the meaning is that not only is there the failure to attain the desired abode but on the contrary it leads to the same dense jungle of samsāra.

I. iii. 9.

He (Death) concludes answering the question (viz. Which is that abode?)

vijnānasārathir yas tu
manaḥþragrahavān naraḥ |
so'dhvanaḥ þāram āþnoti
tad viṣṇoḥ þaramam þadam || 9 ||

But that man who has his intellect as charioteer and mind as bridle, he attains the supreme abode of Vișnu, which is the goal of the path.

COMMENTARY:

vijnāna...: The meaning is that he who has a trained intellect and mind attains the nature of the Supreme Self which is the end of the path of samsāra.

Now those among body and others, metaphorically spoken of as chariot-and others for the sake of controlling, as to which are relatively more important than others in respect of controlling, are being mentioned in the following two mantras.

I. iii. 10 and 11.

indriyebhyah parā hy arthā
arthebhyas ca param manah |
manasas tu parā buddhir
buddher ātmā mahān parah || 10 ||
mahatah param avyaktam
avyaktāt puruṣah parah |
puraṣān na param kincit
sā kāṣṭhā sā parā gatiḥ || 11 ||

The objects are more important than the organs, and more important indeed than the objects is the mind (manas), and more important than the mind is the buddhi (intellect), and more important than the buddhi is the Great soul.

More important than the Great (soul) is the unmanifest (body), more important than the unmanifest is the purusa (person). More important than the purusa there is nothing. It is the ultimate (means for the means). It is the final goal.

COMMENTARY:

The meaning of these two mantras has been stated by Bhagavān Rāmānuja in his Bhāṣya under the Anumānīkādhi-karaṇa (I. iv. 1). The text of the Śrī Bhāṣya is as follows:—

"It thereupon proceeds to declare which of the different things' enumerated and compared to a chariot, and so on, occupy a superior position to the others in so far, namely, as

^{1.} Thibaut's translation of the passage is given here. Thibaut has stated here 'beings', it should be 'things'.

they are that which require to be controlled - more important than the senses are the objects', and so on. More important' than the senses - compared to the horses, - are the objects - , compared to roads - because even a man who generally controls his senses finds it difficult to master them in presence of their objects; more important than the objects is the mind-compared to the reins because when the mind inclines towards the objects even the non-proximity to the latter does not make much difference; imore important than the mind (manas) is the inte'lect (buddhi) compared to the charioteer - because in the absence of decision (which is the characteristic quality of buddhi) the mind also has little power; more important than the intellect again is the (individual) self, for that self is the agent whom the intellect serves. And as all this is subject to the wishes of the self, the text characterises it as the Great (self). Superior to the self again is the body, compared to the chariot, for all activity whereby the individual self strives to bring about what is of advantage to itself depends on the body. And more important finally than the body is the highest Person, the inner Ruler and Self of all, the term and goal of the journey of the individual soul, for the activities of all the beings enumerated depend on the wishes of that highest Self. As the universal inner Ruler of that self brings about the meditation of the Devotee also; for the Sūtra (II. iii. 41) expressly declares that the activity of the individual soul depends on the Supreme Person. He 2alone is the Ultimate means for accomplishing the meditation upon that which is to be made amenable (vasīkarya) and that which is to be attained uitimately; hence the text says "More important than the Person there is nothing - It is the Ultimate means. It is the final goal".3

^{1.} Wherever, in Thibaut's translation, 'Higher' occurs 'More important' has been substituted as Rangarāmānuja renders param as more important,

^{2.} This sentence has been modified in this translation, as Thibant's is incorrect.

^{3.} Our translation of the Katha. text is substituted in the place of Thibaut's.

Analogously scripture, in the Antaryāmi Brāhmaṇa, at first declares that the highest Self within witnesses and rules everything, and thereupon negatives the existence of and further ruling principle 'There is no other seer but He'&c. Similarly in the Bhagavad Gitā: "The abode, the agent, the various senses, the different and manifold functions, and fifth the Divinity (i. e. the highest Person)" (XVIII. 14)¹ The Divinity meant here is the Highest Person (puruṣa) alone, because of the Gitā-statement "I dwell within the heart of all; memory, perception, apoha (absence of consciousness)"... (XV. 15)²; and making Him amenable means complete surrender to Him, as stated in "The Lord dwells in the heart of all creatures, as if mounted on a machine (body), causing them to turn round and round by His Māyā. Surrender unto Him alone with all your being, Arjuna..." (Bh. G. XVIII. 61-2)³,

I. iii. 12.

eşa sarveşu bhūteşu gūdho'tmā na þrākāśate | dṛṣyate tv agrya vā buddhyā sūkṣ nayā sūkṣmadarsibhih || 12 ||

This person residing in all beings as their Selfdoes not shine being hidden (by His Māyā), but He) is perceived by those subtle seeing seers with their intellects one-pointed and subtle.

COMMENTARY:

gudhah: hidden; because of being hidden by the maya of triple qualities.

na prakāṣate: does not shine; (as he is) to those who have n t controlled both their inner and outer sense-organs.

agryayā: being one-pointed, that is having no outer or inner activities.

 $s\bar{u}ksmadarsibhih$: by those experienced in perceiving intuitively.

drayate: is seen; this is the meaning.

- 1. Bh. G. translation is ours. And the sentence is modified by us.
- 2. Bh. G. translation is ours. And the sentence is modified by us.
- 3. Bh. G. trans. is modified to suit Sii Rāmānuja's meaning.

I. iii. 13.

yacched vān manasi prājnas tad yacchejjnāna ātmani |
jnānam ātmani mahati niyacchet tad yacchec chānta ātmani || 13 ||

The intelligent (man) must integrate his speech with mind, integrate the mind with the intellect in the soul, integrate the intellect with the soul that is great, (and) integrate the soul with the quiet self.

CUMMENTARY:

Now He (Death) shows the manner of making one's inner and outer organs actionless, and manner of knowing the nature of the individual soul mentioned in the mantra (K. U. I. ii. 12) "through attaining the Yoga of one's inner self".

yacched: In respect of this mantra Śii Rāmānuja has stated as follows: "The following describes the manner of controlling the senses, metaphorically described as horses and yacched vānmanasi: (One) must integrate one's speech with one's mind, that is, place one's organs of speech etc., and the organs of sense in the mind. The objective case after the noun vāk is omitted according to the (Pāninian) rule supām suluk. (VII. i. 39). The locative case in manasi is lengthened according to Vedic exception. tad yacched jnana atmani: tat: that mind one should integrate with the intellect. Jnana: here indicates intellect mentioned before. jnane atmani: these are two locatives which are not coordinate (vyadhikarana). The meaning is: with the intellect that is in the soul. Jnanam atmani mahati niyacchet: (one) should integrate (one's) intellect with the soul that is great and agent. Tad yacchet śanta ātmani: That agent one should integrate with the Supreme Self, the indwelling Ruler of all. The neuter 'tat' is according to Vedic exception. That abode belonging to Visnu is to be attained by such an occupant of the chariot (the body). This is the meaning."

This (above passage in the Śrī Bhāṣya) has been explained by the author of the Śrutaprakāśika (as follows). Integration of speech with mind means making (speech)

indifferent towards activities that are contrary to the mind. Integration of mind with intellect means making mind act in accord with the decisions of the intellect. Intellect is of the form of decision that the objects are renounceable (heya). The integration of that intellect with the soul means impelling the intellect towards the soul with a view to perceive it as something that has to be sought after. Quiescent means the state of being always opposed to the six waves of desire. Integration of the soul that is great (mahat) with the quiescent self means the consciousness of its being subservient to that (Supreme) Self".

Since the word $\bar{a}tman$ is masculine, the word must be used as such, but it is used (as the $Bh\bar{a}sya$ says) in the neuter according to Vedic exception.

If it be asked that the statement in the Bhāṣya— that the two locatives jnane atmani are not co-ordinate, (and that) the meaning is that (one) should integrate (the mind) with the intellect which is in the soul—is not correct, since the qualification 'which is in the soul' serves no purpose, there being no knowledge which is not in the soul. It cannot be stated that in case this much is said that one should integrate that with the intellect (that is, if in the mantra the word 'atmani' is omitted), there is a possibility of mistaking this jnana for the nature of the soul (ātma-svarūpa or dharmi-bhūta-jnāna); therefore it is said (in the mantra) jāāna ātmani, intellect which is in the soul, because it (delusion) will get strouger by taking them i. e. jnane and atmani as co-ordinate words. Verily the word atmani cannot exclude the acceptance mistakenly of jnana to be the soul. Nor can it be said that the meaning of the Bhāsya" which is in the soul" is that which is in the soul in the relation of cognition and cognized (viṣaya-viṣayi-bhāva-sambandha), that is jñāna ātmani means jnane that has the soul as its object; since thus it serves the purpose of distinguishing this from the substantive consciousness there is no fault of purposelessness ; because then the mantra-passage "jnanam atmani mahati niyacchet" becomes superfluous, this meaning is already implicit. We reply: This

is what Rāmānuja means. In the statement " tad yacched · jñane ātmani" the locative 'ātmani' has the meaning of the cognized (viṣaya). And that knowledge of the soul i.e., with a soul as its object is of the form 'the soul is that which is to be sought after'. All others are to be renounced. And this means that this knowledge which is of the form of decision that the objects are to be renounced, is clear from the Srutapia. kāsikā. The integration of the soul which is great, of such knowledge that is of the form of decision to seek after the soul and renounce all else that are other than that, means to direct the consciousness to turn towards the purpose of seeing the soul alone, which is the object to be sought after. This is also clear from the Srataprakāśikā. Since thus both the passages have their respective purposes, there is no room for doubting that they are superfluous as maintained by you (the objector).

I. iii. 14.

uttisthata jā grata prāpya varān nibodhata | kṣurasya dhārā niśitā duratyayā durgam pathas tat kavayo vadanti || 14 ||

Rise up! Be awake! Approach superiors (and) learn! The knife edge is sharp and difficult to walk on. The learned speak of this path as difficult to attain.

COMMENTARY:

Having thus instructed the manner of attracting (Him), He (Death) now calls the attention of the well-equipped persons (adhikāri puruṣaḥ):—

uttistata: Rise Up: become inclined towards the know-ledge of the Self

jāgrata: Be awake: bring about destruction of the sleep of ignorance

varān prāpya: approaching great teachers nibodhata: learn the truth of the Self. Or else

varān prapya: obtaining boons from the Godhead who has been well-meditated upon, or from those that know the

boons, such as those mentioned in the passage "You will correctly understand the real nature of God"

nibodhata: learn the nature of the Self that is to, be known. The intention is that one should not be indifferent (to the knowledge of the Self)

kavayah: knowers

tat: the truth of the Self

durgam pathah: as the difficult path

vadanti: speak of. For what reason? for the reason the truth of the Self is

ksurasya dhārā: edge of a particular weapon

niśitā: sharp

duratyayā: difficult to walk upon.

What is meant here is that just as he who walks on a knife-edge has to lose his life if there is least inattention (on his part), even so at the time of knowing the nature of the Self if there is committed the blunder of inattention there happens loss of one's self.

I. iii. 15.

asabdam asparsam arūpam avyayam
tathārasan nityam agandhavac ca yat |
anādyanantam mahataḥ param dhruvam
nicāyya tan mṛtyumukhāt pramucyate || 15 ||

Having perceived that (Self) which is eternally soundless, touchless, colourless, imperishable and tasteless, odourless, and beginningless and endless, and higher than the great (soul), fixed, one gets released from the mouth of death.

COMMENTARY:

Now He (Death) concludes here (with this mantra). The word 'eternally' goes with every one of the adjectives, viz. soundless, etc. For the same reason of being soundless etc. It is imperishable like time (kālarat). It means having no diminution of parts

mahatah: the soul. With the word mahatah reference is made to the individual mentioned in the previous mantra (KU. I. iii. 13.) ātmani mahati niyacchet.

dhruvam: Fixed (immutable)

nicāyya: Having perceived, i.e. having contacted (God) through the meditation of the form similar to perception

mṛtyumukhāt: from the mouth of Death, means from the terrible samsāra.

I. iii. 16.

Nāciketam upākhyānam Mṛtyuproktam sanātanam | uktvā śrutvā ca medhāvī brahmaloke mahīyate || 16 ||

Having spoken or heard this eternal story (vidyā) of Naciketas told by Death, the intelligent is glorified in the world of Brahman.

COMMENTARY:

To conclude: Nāciketam: This vidyā (upākhyānam) received by Naciketas

Mṛtyproktam: taught by Death, that is, Death is only the teacher and not the author (of this $vidy\bar{a}$). Therefore

sanātanam: eternal. The meaning is being of non-human origin, it is eternal because of uninterrupted transmission (of this instruction)

I. iii. 17.

ya idam paramam guhyam śrāvayed brahmasamsadi | prayatah śrāddhakāle vā tad ānantyāya kalpate

tad anantyaya kalpate | 17 ||

If one who 'purified makes this extreme esoteric heard in an assembly of Brāhmanas or at the time of Śrāddha (then) that is capable of granting infinite fruits.

COMMENTARY:

brahmasamsadii: in the assembly of Brāhmanas.

This concludes the Third Valli of the First Adhyaya of the Kathopanisad.

SECOND SECTION:

IV VALLI.

II. i. 1.

parānci khāni vyatṛṇat svayambhūs tasmāt parān pasyati nāntarātṛṇan ļ kascid dhīraḥ pratyagātmānam aikṣad āvṛttacakṣur amṛtatvam icchan || 1 ||

The Self-born (independent lord) condemned the senses (to) extraversion: therefore they see (outward objects) and not the inner self: some intelligent man with his eyes turned inward seeking immortality sees the inward self.

COMMENTARY:

(Yama) seeing those that are indifferent to the nature, of the Self in spite of the inspiring instruction 'Rise up and be awake...(I. iii 14.) expresses (his) grief (thus):

khāni: senses

parānci: means parān ancanti: which are extraverted, that is, those which reveal outer objects but not the self.

Then he gives the reason (for their extravertness):

svayambhūl: Self-born: independent Lord

vyatrnat: tortured (condemned): from root tr: to torture (himsa). Or else the meaning is (the Lord) has created the sense-organs which reveal objects, since roots have more than one meaning.

tasmāt: therefore

 $par\bar{a}\bar{n}$: is the same as $par\bar{a}cah$ (objective plural). The meaning is they see or grasp the outward objects and not the inner self. Or else " $Par\bar{a}\bar{n}$: becoming extraverted (they) see the objects alone" is the meaning.

If the reading is parān pasyati: the singular refers to the world (in general).

Death says that though the nature (svabhāva) of the world is like this, there is some extraordinary person who inclines towards the inner self like one who is swimming upstream in a river.

kaścit dhirah etc: The meaning is someone sees the self that is inward (pratyańcam ātmānam). The Parasmaipada is Vedic usage. The same is the reason for the use of the Impersect tense instead of the Present tense.

caksus: eye; refers to or stands for all the sense-organs. This (āvṛttacakṣus etc.) means one seeking after liberation with all his sense-organs withdrawn from their respective objects.

II. i 2.

parācaḥ kāmān anuyanti bālās

te mṛtyor yanti vitatasya pāśam

atha dhīrā amṛtatvam viditvā

dhruvam adhruveṣv iha na prārthayante | 2 ||

The immature follow the outward objects of desire. They get into the noose of the omnipotent Death. But the intelligent knowing the immortality, the everlasting, seek not (for anything) among the transitory (objects) here.

COMMENTARY:

bālāh: those of small intelligence

parācah kāmān: outward objects of desire alone

anuyanti: know1

te intyor...: They get bound in the wide sainsara; or else the meaning is that they fall into the noose of mine (Death) whose authority is unquestioned everywhere

atha: the word 'atha' (then) means taking up a different aspect of the present topic

dhirāh: the intelligent

dhruvam amṛtatvam viditvā: knowing the everlasting immortality in the inner self alone

iha: here in this world of samsara

^{1.} anupanti is rendered as avagacchanti by RR. But in no edition do we have the reading auugacchanti: follow, which is better than the commentator's reading.

adhruveşu: among the transitory (objects)

na prārthayante: hanker after nothing. What is meant is one who has known the truth of the inner self has to abandon everything else. It must be noted here 'hat since the I-ness (ahamtva) of all the individuals has reference to the Supreme Self and consequently the Supreme Self is denoted primarily by 'I' (aham) He (the Supreme Self) has the (quality of) Selfness (pratyaktva)

II. i. 3.

yena rūpam rasam gandham sabdān sparšāms ca maithunān | etenaiva vijānāti kim atra parisisyate! etad vai tat || 3 ||

With regard to this by which alone one perceives colours tastes, smells sounds and touch on account of contact between two what remains there? This verily is That.

COMMENTARY:

maithunān: the particular pleasures brought about by

yena etenaiva vijānāti: the meaning is by which this means alone one knows completely (ie without remainder). The idea is that the sense organs which reveal colour and others are able to do their functions only when permitted by Him as in (the passage) "Him, the light of lights, the Gods adore" (Br Up. IV iv. 16.)

kim atra parisisyate: The idea is what is there that is not revealed by Him

etad vai tat: This is That. The supreme abode which was already mentioned as that which is the attainable is This alone that is, the nature of the Supreme Self which is described in this mantra.

II. i. 4.

svapnān'am jāgaritāntam ca ubhau yenānupasyati | mahāntam vibhum ātmānam matvā dhīro na socati || 4 ||

By which (one) perceives both the worlds of dream and waking consciousness, meditating on the Self, (Him), great and infinite, the intelligent (one) does not grieve.

COMMENTARY: ,

svapnāntam: (the state of dream): the meaning is by which the Supreme Self having the form of the senses, mind and others, men $(lok\bar{a}h)$ perceive all the dream and waking worlds. Him has to be supplied before 'mahāntam'-the great. This has been already explained (under K.U. I. ii. 22.

II. i. 5.

ya idam madhvadam veda ātmānam jīvamantikāt | išānam bhūtabhavyasya na tato vijugupsate |

etad vai tat || 5 ||

Him who knows this (individual soul) the eater of honey (results of actions) and the lord of the past and future near (1t), one should not despise. This is that.

COMMENTARY:

idam: this, the neuter usage is Vedic exception (It has to be taken as imam: this?

madhvadam: the eater of the results of actions mentioned in the passage rtam pibantau (KU. I. iii. 1. a)

jivam ātmānam: the individual soul as jiva

an iketāt išānam bhūtabhavyasya: and the Loid of all conscient and inconscient at all the three times, that resides near him (the jīva) as said in the passage "guhām pravisṭau" (I. iii. 1. b)

yo veda: who knows

- 1 cf Iśavasyopanisad 6 d which is repeated here. Vehkatanātha has rendered it thus tato na vijugupsate brahmātmakatvenānudīstesu sarvesu svātmavibhūtinyāyāt kutaścid api na vijugupsate kvacid api nindām na karotīty arthah
 - 2 Reading given in Katha. text 'Aurobindo (trans) is imam.

na tato vijugupsate: him even though a doer of bad actions one should not despise The word jugupsā is stated, to mean despise under the Sūtra (Pāṇini III, i. 50.) "which enjoins the employment of the suffix 'san' after the three roots gup, tij and kit". The Ablative case tatah is in accordance with the Vārtika under II. iii. 88.

etad vai tat: the meaning is as explained before.

II. i. 6.

yah pürvam tapaso jātam adbhyah pürvam ajāyata | guhām pravisya tisthantam yo bhütebhir vyapasyata |

etad vai tati | 6 ||

Who was born first from waters, that Brahman first born out of will (tapas) residing after entering into cave (of the heart) with the elemenfs, Him who sees. This is indeed That.

COMMENTARY:

yah: who

adbhyah: from waters; as stated in Manu "First He created waters alone. In them he cast his seed. That became the golden egg brilliant like the Sun. Brahmā the grandfathen of all the worlds himself, was born from It". This adbhyah is in the Ablative case (apādāna: Pānini I. iv. 24.)

pūrvam: before individual creation (or particular creation, vyasti)

yah ajāyata: who was born

tam: Him

tapasah pūrvam jātam: first born out of sheer will alone as stated in the scriptural text (Tait. Nārā. 19.) "That divinity greater than all the worlds, Rudra, the chaser out of the diseases of samsāra, the unlimited omniscient (maharşi) saw Brahmā, the first among the Gods, while being born before the creation of anything else."

guhām pravisya tişthantam: having entered the cave of the heart and established (himself) there bhūtebhih: with the elements, that is, having body, senseorgans and inner organs etc.—such a Brahman, the fourfaced

vyapaśyata. He saw with the benediction "This must be the creator of the world".

etad vai tat This indeed is That: (this) has already been explained.

IV. 7.

yā prāņena sambhavaty Aditir devatāmayi |
guhām pravisya tiṣṭhantī yā bhūtebhir vyajāyata |
etad vai tat | 7 |

Which Aditi (eater) remains with breath possessing many sense-organs (devatāmayi) remaining in the cave after entering into it: (and) which (Aditi) is born with elements. This indeed is that.

COMMENTARY:

This mantra has been commented upon by Śri Rāmānuja under the Vedānta Sūtra (I. ii. 11) "The two have entered the Cave". To quote the Bhāṣya: "Aditi means jīva (the etymology being) he who eats (atti) the fruits of actions. Prāṇena sambhavali: remains with the breath, āevatāmayī: having enjoyments dependent upon the sense-organs. Guhām pravišya tisṭhantī: residing in the hole in the lotus of the heart; bhūtebhih vyajāyata: having contact with elements earth etc., is born with the manifold form of gods and others."

etad vai tat: This indeed is that, that is, tat: That. This means that this is one which has That as its self. It may be noted that since in this very context in the passage (KU. I. i. 17.) "the word devam was explained as meaning that which has the Supreme Self as its self, since in the Gita passage elucidating this scriptural passage (XIII. 2.) kṣetrajāa etc.: know me also as the knower of the body" the word mām has been explained by Śri Rāmānuja himself to mean madātmakam: that which has me as its self, and since just as the word indicating the inseparable quality is capable of denoting the substance, even so the word indicating a substance having

inseparable attributes also is well known as capable of denoting (signifying) its quality, therefore the explanation of the word 'tat' (that) as meaning that which has that as its self is appropriate.

II. i. 8.

aranyor nihito jātavedā garbha iva subhrto garbhinībhih | dive diva īdyo jāgrvadbhir havişmadbhir manuşyebhir agnih | etad vai tat | 8 | |

Fire, called Jātavedas, is placed in the two aranis adorable day by day by devoted men with oblatious, kept carefully like fetus in the womb by pregnant women. This indeed is That.

COMMENTARY:

aranyoh : Fire that is in the two aranis

garbha iva...: Like the fetus carefully kept (protected) by pregnant woman, with food and drink. This goes with the preceding nihita; is placed.

dive dive : day by day

jagrvadbhih: by the wakeful that is not inattentive havişmadbhih: such as offer oblations like ghee etc.

idyah: fit to be praised by such Riviks

agnih: Fire, one who takes (praisers) to the forefront. This is to be construed as going with (placed in the aranis). etad vai: This nature of Agni indeed

tat: is that which has Brahman mentioned before as its Self.

II, i. 9.

yata's codeti sūryo'stain yatra ca gacchati | tam devāh sarve arpitās tad u nätyeti ka'scana |

etad vai tat | 9 |

From which rises the Sun and where he sets; in Him all the gods are set. That nobody can transgress. This indeed is That.

COMMENTARY:

yatah...: From which Brahman the Sun rises and in which he merges

tam devāh ...: The meaning is that all the gods are established in that Self.

tad u nātyeti kaścana: tat: That Brahman, the Self of all, nobody transgresses, since it is like (one s own) shadow that cannot be jumped over. This is the idea.

U; eva: emphasise the point.

etad vai tat: this has been already explained.

II. i. 10.

yad eveha tad amutra yad amutra tad anv iha | mṛtyoḥ sa mṛtyum ā pnoti ya iha nāneva paśyati || 10 ||

This same indeed which is here is youder. The same that is youder is here. From death to death goes he who sees here as if there is any difference.

COMMENTARY:

If it be doubted that since it is not possible for the Supreme Self to be the Self of all for the self is that which is experienced as possessing 'I-ness' that is 'I', and that self is experienced as absent from other places (in such statements as "I am here alone",) how can such a self be the self of all things at all places and at all times? The reply is as follows:

yad eva: which truth of the Supreme Self

iha: here in this world is experienced as 'I' and therefore is the Self

tad eva: that very same

amutra: is the self of all those that exist in the other worlds. Consequently there is no difference in self. This is the meaning. To elucidate further: the question here can be considered in two ways: (i) whether the experience that I am here alone which has been stated as opposed to the Supreme Self being the self of all things at all places and times, is that of those who know the truth of the Supreme Self or (ii) that of

those who do not (know): Not the first (view), since there cannot be such an experience on their part as 'I am here alone'. On the other hand, their experience is of that Being as in all things as stated in the passage "I was the Manu and the Sun". Nor the second view, for the experience of the non-knowers of the truth being limited to them, the individual souls alone, their experiences having reference to their being absent at other places cannot contradict the Supreme Self being the self of all things, He being not grapsed by them.

mṛtyoh...: iha: In this supreme Self

naneva: as if there is difference

yah pasyati: who sees

sah: He

H , Z

is the meaning.

II. i. 11.

manasairedam āptavyam neha nānāsti kimcana | mṛtyoh sa mṛtyum gacchati ya iha nāneva paśyati || 11 ||

This is to be attained by the mind alone. There is no difference whatever here. From death to death he goes who sees here as if there is difference.

COMMENTARY:

If it be asked how is this truth of the Supreme Self that is the self of all, attainable by us, He (Death) replies:

idam: The nature of the Self

manasaiva: graspable by the purified mind alone. This is the meaning. The same thing already mentioned he repeats for the sake of emphasis. ya iha etc.: the meaning is clear.;

II. i. 12.

anguşthamatrah puruşo madhya atmani tişthati | isano bhūtabhævyasya na tato vijugupsate | etad vai tat | 12

The Person of the size of the thumb, the Lord of the past and the future, resides in the middle of the body. He therefore does not despise. This indeed is That.

COMMENTARY:

iśāno bhūtabhavyasya: the Lord of all the conscient and the inconscient existing at the three times

madhya ātmani: in the middle portion of the meditator's body

anguşthamātrah tişthati: resides having the size of the thumb.

na tato vijugupsate; tatali: Therefore, for the same reason that He is the Lord of the past and the future, due to extreme kindness benevolence (vātsalya) na vijugupṣate: He takes all the defects that pertain to the body as enjoyable things.

Objection (1) If it be asked whether (it is not) the individual soul alone that is described in this mantra because he is described as having the size of the thumb in the Sruti texts such as "lord of the Breath, wanders about (bound) by his actions;" "having the size of the thumb and with brilliant form similar to the Sun" (Svet, Up. V. 7, 8) and Smrti texts (such as) " Death pulled out forcibly the man of the size of the thumb" (MhB. Vana 281. 16); it cannot be said that the Lordship over all the past and the future cannot go with him (the individual soul), since in accordance with the characteristic first mentioned the said overlordship mentioned at the end can be explained (to be) relatively (so); we reply Not (so) Because in the Adhikarana beginning with the Sūtra (Vedānta) "Sabdad eva pramital.—On account of the word (Īśāna) itself, the measured" (I. 3. 21), raising the same objection (pūr vapakṣa) it has been established that since the measure 'thumb' due to delimitation by the heart can happen to the Supreme Self also, and since such a measure, is mentioned also in connection with the Supreme Self in the Taittiriya passage "The person is of the size of the thumb and resting on the thumb

(heart) (of that size)" (Tait. Nārāyanīya 53) and in the Svetāśvataropaniṣad "The person of the size of the thumb, the inner self, is always residing in the hearts of the people" (III. 13), and since the unlimited lordship over the past and the future is the unique characteristic of Brahman alone, this mantra refers only to the Supreme Self.

Objection (2) But what some here say is "The measure of the thumb is the characteristic of the individual soul alone; however, the first half of this mantra simply restates the (nature of the) individual soul, and the third quarter informs that he is himself the Supreme Self." This is not correct, since in that case the next Sūtra (I. iii. 25) "In relation (to the human heart since he resides) in the heart, this is so since human beings are qualified (for the meditation)" the purpose of which is to show that the measure of the thumb can apply to the Supreme, will become incongruous.

Objection (3) If it be asked "One may doubt that in this mantra there is no mention of jiva being the Brahman, since there is no reason to postulate the measure of the thumb to the individual soul who is known as having the measure of "the point of the awl $(\bar{a}r\bar{a}gra)$, to clear which doubt this Sūtra has come into existence to prove its thumb-size", we reply that this explanation is a strained one.

Objection (4) If it be asked "Since on account of the lexicographical passage" "Īśvarāś śarva Īśānah." the word Īśāna is established as signifying a particular god, and since the author of the Śrutaprakāsikā, who has commented upon the Śrī Bhāṣya passage under the same sūtra Śabdād eva pramitah" On account of the word īśāno bhūtabhavyasya: verily the Lordship over all!the past and the future cannot belong to the individual who is subject to karma" as follows "Since by the word (śabda) itself (in the Sūtra I iii. 24) Īśāna is referred to, the conclusion arrived at here is not due to any characteristic (linga), but due to the word applying to the Lord Himself. This is the significance of the particle 'eva' (itself)," accepts the word Īśāna as Śnuti (one of the six

pramānas of Jaimini such as śruti, linga etc.,), the same sūtra (i. e. word Īśāna) excludes Nārāyaṇa and the individual soul, and so this mantra has reference to Rudia alone. (We reply) Not so. When a word that has both Yoga and Rūdhi (etymologico-nominal) significance, has a word which qualifies that which is indicated by the Yoga-significance of the former (yoga-rūdhi-word), the nominal ignificance is not entertained, as seen in the examples such as the passage

padınāni yasyāgrasaroruhāni prabhodhayaty ūrdhvamukair mayūkhaih |

[The Lotuses growing in the lakes on the top of which (Himalayas) (the Sun) makes blossom forth with his rays that shoot upwards] (Kumārasambhava). Here in this passage it is seen that on account of the use of the word 'agra' (top) which qualifies the saras (lake) indicated by the first member of the compound saroruha, the nominal significance of the word saroruha is rejected. Otherwise the word padmāni need not be used. Therefore the word Īśāna is not a Śruti (of Jaimini). Only on account of lack of naturalness (in the interpretation) the author of the Śrutaprakāsikā himself has resorted to an alternative way of explanation beginning with "Or else", in accordance with the natural trend of the Śrī Bhāsya. This discussion is enough. To proceed.

etad vai tat: This indeed is That; this has been already explained,

II. i. 13.

anguşthamātraķ puruso jyotirivādhūmakaķ |
iśāno bhūtabhavyasya sa evādya sa u śvaķ | etad vai tat || 13 ||

The person of the size of the thumb like the light without smoke, the lord of the past and the future, He (is) alone today and He himself tomorrow. This indeed is that.

COMMENTARY:

jyotih...: Light. The meaning is He is shining like fire with dry fuel.

sa eva: He himself. the group of things of today and the group of things of tomorrow, the group of things that exist in the three times, all these have Him as Self. This is the meaning.

etad vai tat: This indeed is That. (the meaning) as before.

II. i. 14.

yathodakain durge vṛṣṭam parvateṣu vidhāvati | evam dharmān pṛthak paśyains tān evānuvidhāvati || 14 ||

As the water rained on the top of the mountain flows on all sides of the hills, even so one who sees dharmas differently runs after them alone.

COMMENTARY:

Just as the rain water showered on the top of the mountain flows on the adjacent hillocks falling down in cascades, being scattered, so also, one who perceives the states of being (dharmān) of the inner ruler of gods and of men, which belong to the Supreme Self, as those that pertain to different substrata, falls into the abyss of samsāra after the manner of the fall of mountain-streams. This is the meaning.

II. i. 15.

yathodakam śuddhe śuddham āsiktam tādṛg eva bhavati | evam, muner vijānata ātmā bhavati Gautama || 15 ||

Just as pure water poured into pure water remains the same, even so becomes the soul of the intelligent meditators, O Gautama!

COMMENTARY:

He (Death) speaks of the result of knowing all as having One Self.

yathodakam...: Just as pure water mixed with pure water remains like that alone, that is in no way different, even so

vijanatale munch: Of the intelligent one that practises meditation

ātmā: the soul becoming pure on accout of the knowledge of the Supreme Self

bhavati: becomes similar to the Pure Supreme Self. This is the meaning.

Gautama! O Gautama! He (Death) addresses him (Naciketas) as O Gautama, out of gladness, indicating the greatness of the Attainable.

This concludes the First Valli of the Second Adhyāya of the

Kathopanişad.

FIFTH VALLI.

II. ii. 1.

puram ekādaśadvāram ajasyāvakracetasaḥ | anuṣṭhāya na śocati vimuktaś ca vimucyate || etad vai tat || 1 ||

There is the City with eleven gates of the undevious-minded, unboin: One discriminating this does not grieve (He) being free gets freed. This indeed is That.

COMMENTARY:

puram: There is the city called the body with eleven gates for going out, which are of the form of eleven organs.

ajasya: of the soul that suffers no change of the kinds of birth etc.

avakracetasah: having his mind uncrooked, that is, straight-minded, that is, capable of discrimination.

Just as the city is distinct from its owner, so also the body becomes distinctly known from its self. The idea is that tor the undiscriminating person the body itself is the soul. (That is he suffers from dehātmabhrama)

anusthāya: knowing distinctly

na śocati; does not gievc. The meaning is he is free from grief, desire etc. which are related to the body

rimuktas ca vimucyate: Being free one gets freed. Getting free from sorrows, desires. hatreds etc. which are of the body and others (ādhyātmakādi), while living according to the maxim enunciated in the Vedānta Sūtra. "Then exhausting the others (merit and demerit) through experience one attains union" (IV. i,), at the end or lapse of prārabda karma attaining the river Viraja, through the path of the Arcis etc., one becomes freed from all contact with matter (prakṛti). This is the meaning.

etat vai tat: This indeed is That. The nature of the freed described in the mantra is one that has the Supreme Self as its Self. This is the meaning.

Once again He (Death) emphasizes the Selfness of Brahman of all.

II. ii, 2

²hamsah sucisad vasur antariksasad dhetā vedişad atithīr duroņasat |

nṛṣad varasad ṛtasad vyomasad abjā gojā ṛtajā adrijā ṛtam bṛhat || 2 ||

The Sun, the brilliant, the wind in the atmosphere, the fire on the altar, the guest in the house, the dweller in man, and dweller in those above them, resident in the world of truth, dweller in the celestial sky, there water-born, earth-born, sacrifice-born, mountain-born,—these are the great Truth.

COMMENTARY:

hamsah: The Sun

1. karma that has begun to bear fruit is prārabda karma.

2. This is a most used Mantra belonging as it does to all Vedas and śākhas. Closs references to this Mantra are given according to the VEDIC CONCORDANCE. Bloomfield. RV. IV. 10 5, Vaj. Sam X 24, XII. 14; Tait. Sam: I. 8. 15 2 IV. 2. 1. 5, Mait. S. II. 6. 12, II. 71. 14; III 2. 1: III. 16. 1: IV 4: 6, IV. 57. 3; Kath. S. 15. 8, 16. 8 Ait B. 4. 12. 5; Sat. B. 5. 4. 3 22. 6. 7. 3. 11; Tait Ar. 10 10 2. 10, 50. 1, Mah. Nār. Up, 9. 3 17. 8. etc.

This Mantra is known by the following names Durohanā rk, Hamsavatī, and Angirasapavitra:

* * * * *

śucişat: śuchan; in the Summer, sidati: There is. In other words, the brilliant.

Vasuh: The wind: vasayati: makes one live

antarikșasat: antarikșe sīdati: That which is in the atmosphere

hotā vedisat: The Hotr-priest or the Fire who is in the altar.

atithir duronasat: the guest that has come to the house,

nṛṣat: One that resides in men as their self-

varasat: One that resides similarly in those above men, that is the Gods,

rtasat: One that resides in the World of Truth (Satyaloka of Brahman).

vyomasat: Vyoma means the celestial sky. The individual soul that is there also

abjāh: water-born

gojāh: earth-born

rtajāh: sacrifice-born, i.e, the Svarga and other worlds brought into being by actions. Or else, born of the air which is mentioned here as rta on account of its long-lastingness.

adrijāķ: mountain-boru

All these are rtam Brhat, that is, are of the nature of the Brahman which is unlimited Truth. This is the meaning.

II. ii. 3.

ūrdhvam prānam unnayaty apānam pratyagasyati | madhye vāmanam āsīnam visve devā upāsate | 3 ||

(Brahman) uplifts the prana and presses down the apana. The Viśvedevas meditate upon (that) Dwarf sitting in the middle.

COMMENTARY:

The Supreme Self resting in the heart of all lifts the prana-breath upwards and throws the apana-breath downwards.

madhye asinam: sitting in the middle of the heart-lotus

wamanam: The adorable and worshippable. Or else the meaning is one who has the small size on account of limitation by the heart-lotus.

tain: Him

Viśve devāh: All those of the harmonious nature (sattva-guna)

upasate: Meditate upon. This is the meaning.

II. ii. 4.

asya visramānasya šarīrasthasya dehinah | dehād vimucyamānasya kim atra parišiṣyate, etad vai tat || 4 ||

For this embodied (meditator) whether he is in a good body or enfeebled body or is departing from it, what remains here? This indeed is That.

COMMENTARY.

(Death) says that for the meditator who thus meditates upon the Supreme Self there is only that much delay as the fall of the body as stated in the Scriptural text "For him there is only so much delay as the departure from the body" (Ch. U.) VI. 14.2), and that there is nothing more to be done.

asya dehinah: For the meditator

śarīrasthasya: whether he is established in the body that is strong, that is, strong-bodied, whether he is in this state

visramsamānasya: or else when he is enfeebled (in 'body) or dehād vimucyamānasya: or whether he is departing from the body

kim atra parisisyate: What is there that remains? The idea is he has done his duty (kṛtakṛtya), there is nothing more to be done (by him)

etad vai tat: This indeed is That; (this has been) explained previously. (that is, the individual soul described here has the Supreme Self as its self).

II. ii. 5.

na prāņena nāpānena martyor jīvati kascana | itareņa tu jīvanti yasminn etāv upāsritau || 5 ||

No man whosoever lives by prana or by apana: but all live by something other on which these two depend.

COMMENTARY:

(Death) here speaks of His greatness in being the cause of the breathing of all creatures;

na prānena...

Who is that another by whom they live? The reply is yasmin etau upāśritau: On whom these two depend, that on which the very functionings (jīvanam) of prāna and apāna depend on that very same depend the lives of all else. This is the idea. The rest of the mantra is clear.

II. ii. 6.

(Death) says I shall again teach you the Brahman, the most secret and eternal.

hanta ta idam pravaksyāmi guhyam brahma sanātanam | yathā ca maraṇam prāpya ātmā bhavati Gau'ama || 6 ||

O Gautama! surely I shall teach you now the secret eternal Brahman and what the soul becomes after departure.

COMMENTARY:

hanta: exclamation indicating wonder.

O Gautama ātmā etc.: The soul after departure, that is after liberation

yathā bhavati: of what nature it becomes

 $tath\bar{a}$: of that nature

punar api: Once again, to you seeking liberation uninfluenced by desires and others (and therefore) fit for the instruction, I shall teach, this is the meaning

II. ii. 7.

(Death) explains now as to what is meant by 'hanta te' in the previous mantra which has reference to a particular fit person:

yonim anye prapadyante sarīratvāya dehinah | sthāņum anye 'nusainyanti yathākarma yathāsrutam | 7 |

Some souls enter wombs for getting bodies, (and) others take up the form of the unmoving, in accordance with karma and in accordance with knowledge.

COMMENTARY:

anye: those unlike you who are indifferent towards learning, the truth about the Supreme Self

śarīratvāya: in order to take up bodies

yonim: womb of brāhmaņas etc.

prapadyante : enter

anye: others

sthānum: the state of being unmoving (trees etc.)

anusamyanti: attain:

yathākarma yathāśrutam: in accordance with the actions and sacrifices and meditations performed by each, since there are the passages "Those of good conduct" (Ch. Up. V. 10. 7) "Him follow knowledge and action" (Bṛh. Up. IV. iv. 2). This is the idea.

II. ii. 8.

He (Death) now takes up the question on hand after calling the attention of the disciple (Naciketas) by creating interest (in it):

ya eşa supteş i jāgarti
kāmam kāmam puruşo nirmimānah l
tad eva sukranı tad brahma
tad evâmrtam ucyate l
tasmin lokāh śritāh sarve
tadu nātyeti kascana || etad vai tat || 8 ||

That person who is awake whilst others are asleep creating through his willing and willing, that very same (being) effulgent is that Brahman. That same alone is spoken of as immortal. Therein rest all the worlds. That indeed none oversteps. This indeed is That.

COMMENTARY:

supieșu: Whilst the individual souls are asleep

· kāmam kāmam: This is a form with the suffix namul. It means willing and willing (again and again or successively, but this word does not mean desired objects such as sons etc.) mentioned in the sarvān kāmān (K.U. II, 23. etc) This meaning is clearly (seen) in the Srī Bhāṣya and the Śrutaprakāśika under the adhikaraṇa "Sandhye (III. iii. 1)

tad eva: That very same which is the person creating according to His personal desire willing and willing,

śukram: effulgent, revealing (objects)

tad eva: That itself, that is, not dependent upon anything else

tad eva amrtam: that itself is the Immortal

He is spoken of as Immortal. The rest (of the mantra) is clear. It may be noted that though those that are eternally free (nityamuktāh) are also immortal, yet because they are not independently so (that is, their immortality is dependent upon the Divine Grace as it is), the emphasis tad eva amrtam that alone is immortal is not incorrect. This enables the rejection of the view that the freed souls and the Divine Lord are identical, because of the exclusion of any other immortal, since the word amrtam here means only the Unconditioned Immortal Person.

II. ii. 9.

(Death) once again teaches that the One Self is the 'I' of all beings with a view to emphasise that fact, since it is difficult to comprehend.

Agnir yathaiko bhuvanam pravisto
rūpam rūpam pratirūpo babhūva |
ekas tathā sarvabhūtāntarātmā
rūpam rūpam pratirūpo bahis ca || 9 ||

Just as the one fire having entered the world has become such whose form is present in every form,

even so the one inner self of all beings has its presence in every form and outside.

COMMENTARY:

Agnih: Just as the one element fire on account of its presence in everything due to triplication, having entered the world with its cosmos

things. Duplication means vipsā (pervasion in all that belong to that class or genus)

pratirūpah: one with its form engraved in each. It may be noted that since on account of the element fire being mixed with all the material forms it is one with its form present every where, he is pratirūpa (in every form).

Similarly being One alone, the Supreme Self is such that His form as antaryāmin is present in every form.

bahis ca: He pervades them outside too. This is the meaning.

II. ii. 10.

Death gives another instance:

Vāyur yathaiko bhuvanam parvisto
rūpam rūpam pratirūpo babhūva |
ekastathā sarvabhūtāntarātmā
rūpam rūpam pratirūpo bahiś ca || 10 ||

Just as the one air having entered the world has become such whose form is present in every form, even so, the one inner self of all beings has its presence in every form and outside.

COMMENTARY:

The meaning is same as that of the previous mantra.

II. ii. 11.

He (Death) then teaches by means of an example that though there is no difference between the Supreme Self and the individual soul as soul, still the defects (of the individual souls) do not touch Him.

Sūryo yathā sarvalokasya cakşur
na lipyate cākṣuṣair bāhyadoṣaiḥ |
ekas tathā sarvabhūtāntarātmā
na lipyate lokaduḥkhena bāhyaḥ || 11 ||

Just as the sun is the eye of all the world but is not smeared by the eye-defects which are outside, even so the one luner Self of all beings is not smeared by the griefs of the world, He being outside them.

COMMINTARY:

Sūryo yathā...: Just as the Sun though within the eye as its divinity, according to the Scriptural passages "This (Sun) with his rays is established in this (eye)" "The Sun becoming the eye entered the eye-ball", is not touched by the impurities that have come out (of it), even so the Supreme Self though residing in all souls, is not touched by the defects that are in them since He is beyond everything other than Himself, on account of His unconditioned-unique Nature of being free from all sins etc.

II. ii. 12.

eko vašī sarvabhūtāntarātmā
ekam bījam¹ bahudhā yaḥ karoti |
tam ātmasthain ye'nuþasyanti dhīrās
teṣāin sukhain sāsvatain netareṣām || 12 ||

That One controller, the Inner Self of all beings, who makes one seed manifold, Him residing in the soul, those intelligent ones who see, to them there is eternal bliss (felicity), to none others.

COMMENTARY:

ekah: One who has neither an equal nor superior

vasī: vasaḥ: will: He who has it is Vasin or else it means one who has the universe at His command as stated in the passage "The world remains at His will." Or else it means that He is at the command of His devotees as stated

1. Some editions of the text have $r\bar{u}pam$ instead of bijam.

in the (Rāmāyaṇa Bālakñṇḍa) passage "We, the two servants, O best of Seers, are here".

ekam bijam: The (one) seed of the form of the ultimate Unmanifest (tamas) which is undistinguished, being one with Him as stated in the passage "The Darkness becomes one with the Divine". (Pr. Up. IV. 1.) "All that becomes one with the mind, the transcendent."

bahudhā yaḥ karoti: He who makes it into the forms of the manifold matter such as Mahat and other (categories)

tam: Him

ātmastham: the inner ruler as stated in the passage "Who residing in the Self" (Sud. Up.).

ye pasyanti. Who see

teṣām...: To them there is liberation. This is the meaning.

II. ii. 13.

nityo 'nityānām cetanas cetanānām eko bahūnām yo vidadhāti kāmān | tam ātmastham ye'nupasyanti dhīrās teṣām śāmtiḥ śāśvatī netareṣām || 13 ||

Eternal of the eternals, conscient of the conscients. one of the many, who accomplishes the desires, Him dwelling in the soul, which intelligent ones see, to them there is everlasting peace; to none others.

COMMENTARY:

(The Supreme Self) being eternal, conscient and one alone, grants with facility the desired objects to the many, eternal conscients.

The rest is clear.

II. ii. 14.

Spoken to thus (by Death), the disciple (Naciketas) asks: tad ctad iti manyante'nirdesyam paramam sukham | katham nu tad vijānīyām kim u bhāti vibhāti vā | 14 ||

^{1.} Here also most texts of other schools have it as Nityo'nityānām, the Eterual in the many transient. (cf Auandasrama ed.)

(The knowers) think the supreme bliss as fit to be pointed out as "this is that". How can I know that? Does it shine? Does it shine luminously too?

COMMENTARY:

paramain sukhain tat: That transcendent Brahman, the Supreme Self of the nature of Supreme Bliss

etad iti: perceptible like myrobalan fruit on the palm of the hand

manyante: those with accomplished-Yoga, like you, think. That is persons, like you, are able to perceive

katham: How con I who is incapable of perceiving can know the Brahman void of colour etc.

Does it shine having rays of light? even then does it shine indistinctly due to mixture of some other light (such as that of the Sun or of other luminaties)?

II. ii. 15.

na tatra Sūryo bhāti na Candratārakain nemā vidyuto bhānti kuto'yam agnih | tain eva bhāntam anubhāti sarvain tasya bhāsā sarvam idain vibhāti || 15 ||

There the Sun shines not nor the moon and stars nor do these lightnings shine. How (then) can the fire? Him shining only, all else shine after, with His light all these shine.

COMMENTARY:

(Yama) replies that for the sake of having an object for the yogins there is a form of the Supreme Lord, beneficent, on His divine, auspicious, as known from the scriptural authorites such as "Having the colon of the Sun He is beyond darknes"; "To Him whose form is ever the same"; and the Supreme Self with that body shines distinctly with His luminosity transcending all.

This mantra has been explained under the Vedanta Sūtra (I. iii. 41.) "Because of seeing light" with the following commentary: There is seen the light of Him that is measured with the size of thumb, the light which eclipses all other lights and is the cause of all other lights and helps (them to shine.)" And this Commentary (Bhāṣya) has been elucidated by Vyāsārya (author of the Srutaprakāśikā) (thus): "The first half of the mantra is stated thus: "That hides (eclipses) the other lights. The meaning of the first quarter of the second half (of the mantra) is stated (that) it is that which is the cause of the other lights. anubhanam shining after: by this is indicated the cause-effect relation (between Him and other lights). The idea is that the antecedent-consequent relation is indeed the cause-effect relation. The meaning of the fourth quarter (of the mantra) is stated to be "helps others to shine." This (meaning) is supported by the passage "Having whose light the Sun shines" (II?).1 In the same work (Śrutaprakāśikā) there is seen another interpretation which is as follows: The first half means that if and when His effulgent Light is perceived all other luminaries get eclipsed; the third quarter means that He is the efficient cause, that is, when the luminaries come into existence He helps the material-causal substances of those luminaries. The fourth quarter means that He helps the luminaties even after they have come into existence by giving them through His contact (indwellingness) the power to perform their functions as the rays of the moon (candra) help the rays of the eye.

Others say that the third quarter means that the illusory world has no separate appearance (bhānam) from that of the Brahman which is (its) substrate. But this is not correct, because though the active suffix (satr) meaning agent in the word (bhāntam) can somehow be explained inspite of there being no difference (between action and agent), as in the statement "The knowledge of the disciple shines", yet the

^{1.} The word others here refers to the Māyāv ādins.

word anubhāti" (shines after) is wrong, for when Yajūadatta stands having no action of going apart from the action of Devadatta, we have not seen any one making the statement that Yajūadatta follows Devadatta who goes.¹

If it be said that we have seen the statement that the iron burns after the fire (there), No. The statement is not accepted as a correct one, if it is intended to convey that idea by one who already knows that the iron has no separate agency of the action 'burning'.

If it be said: that the meaning accepted by you that 'if and when His Light is perceived the luminaries get eclipsed', is not appropriate, since the liberated ones who have got the perception of His Light, yet perceive other luminaries, and therefore in their cases there is no eclipsing which means the non-perception due to commingling of identical natures, (we reply) this question has reference only to bond souls (baddhas).

If it be said that it cannot be the case, since there is no perception (sākṣātkāra) of Him by bond souls, (we reply) No. Arjuna and others had the vision of Him and they were bond souls. Or else (it means) when the Brahman is considered, other luminaries such as the Sun do not shine, just as in comparison with Kālidāsa, lesser ones are bad poets or no poets at all. The meaning of the first half (of the mantra) (thus) is "That Brahman, therefore has the Form of the Supreme Light". The statement "That hides the other lights" also means the same.

This same idea is re-enforced by the second half (of the mantra) which shows that the coming into existence and the capacity to perform their functions by the other luminaries require the help of the Supreme Self. Thus it can be seen that there is no inconsistency here.

^{1.} The Telugu and Tamil Grantha printed texts are very corrupt here.
The Poona ed. gives the following text which is followed here:

Na hi Devadattagamane kriyāvyatiriktagamanakriyāśūnye tişihati Yajñadatte gacchantam.....

The Bhāṣya passage is as follows: "The pippal tree called Samsāra which the scriptures describe as having its roots above and branches below and eternal." The scriptural passages are "This eternal pippal tree has its roots above and branches below (K. U. II. iii 1.) and "He who knows exactly the tree with its roots above and branches below (Tait. Ār. I. II. 5.) The state of being with its roots above is on account of beginning with the fourfaced Brahman who is above the seven worlds, being the first Cause, and its having branches below is on account of ending with earth-dwelling men, cattle, beasts, worms, insects, birds and trees. Now he shows that Brahman is different from that. This mantra has already been explained. (K. U. II. ii. 8.)

II. iii. 2.

yad idam kiñca jagat sarvam

prāṇa ejati niḥsṛtam |

mahad bhayam vajram udyatam

ya etad vidur amṛtās te bhavanti || 2 ||

All this world whatsoever existing in the breath and emanating from it trembles with extreme fear as if from the uplifted thunder-bolt. Those know this become immortal.

COMMENTARY:

Yad idam...udyatam: This part of the mantra has been commented upon by Śrī Rāmānuja under the Vedānta Sūtra 'Kampanāt' (I. iii. 40). Introducing this mantra he has said: "There is mention in the Śruti of trembling due to great fear of Him of the entire world, that is of all creatures residing in the person of the size of the thumb, who is here mentioned as Breath (Prāṇa), having emanated from Him. The meaning is that the entire world trembles with great fear as if there is the uplifted Vajra with the feeling as to what would happen if His command is disobeyed. Mahad, bhayam, vajram, udyatam these Nominatives have the sense of Ablatives, since the meaning is the same as bhayād asyāgnis tapati (K. U. II. iii. 3).

This Bhāṣya is elucidated in the Śrutaprakāśika thus: "The word 'existing' is supplied on account of the Locative case 'prane', in order to reply to the question "Where from it (jagat) has emanated?" The author of the Bhāṣya (Śrī Rāmānuja) mentions that He Himself because of the context, He Himself is the source... Ejanam is explained as kampana, i. e. trembling, for the root is ejr: kampane: to tremble. Trembling here means the performance of one's own actions for fear of evil effects...On account of fear caused by the Supreme Person, as if by the uplifted Vajra-weapon, the whole world trembles. This is the meaning Here, in this mantra, it may be noted that the four words Mahad, Bhayam, Vajram, Udvatam in the Nominative case have the sense of Ablatives. The first two words in the Nominative case having Ablative sense indicate fear, the latter two words indicate the Brahman. called Breath, the cause of fear.

But some explain this mantra also as follows:—Bhayam means etymologically that of which one is afraid. That is that which causes fear. Like the uplifted highly fearful Vajra, the Supreme Self, herein called Breath, makes everything tremble. The verb ejati has here the causal sense.

Yas tad...: The meaning is clear, It may be noted according to the maxim enunciated in the adhikarana with the Sūtra. For the same reason Breath - ata eva prāṇa" (I. i. 24) the word prāṇa refers to Supreme Brahman alone. On this point there is no controversy (between the several schools).

II. iii. 3.

bhayād asyāgnis tapati bhayāt tapati Sūryaḥ | bhayād Indra's ca Vāyu's ca Mṛtyur dhāvati pañcamaḥ || 3 ||

For fear of Him fire burns, for fear of Him the Sun heats, for fear of Him Indra, Vāyu, and Death the fifth, run.

^{1.} The lacuna in the quotations is Rangaramanuja's.

COMMENTARY:

dhāvati: the root dhavu: to go, when referring to Indra and other (gods) indicates their respective functions. The rest of the mantra is clear.

II. iii. 4.

· iha ced asakad boddhum prāk surīrasya visrasaļi i tataļi sargesu lokesu sarīratvāya kalpate 4 i

If one before the body gets loosened here is not able to know (Him), then he becomes liable to take body in the created worlds.

COMMENTARY:

śarīrasya visrasah prāk: before the falling apart of the body. visrasah: visrainsanāt. iha loke: in this world

boddhum: to know Brahman

aśakat cet: aśaknuvamś cet: if unable: the change of conjugation is a case of Vedic exception

tatah: for that reason

. sargesu lokesu: in the created worlds

śarīratvāya kalpate · become subject to dissolution of the form of birth, old age, and death etc. : this is the meaning.

The idea there is that one should attempt to know the Self before the fall of one's body (1. e. one's death).

II. iii. 5.

That the Self is difficult to know (Death) says:

yathā darśe tathātmani

yathā svapne tathā pitrloke |

yathāpsu parīva dadṛśe tathā gandharvaloke chāyātapayor iva brahmaloke || 5 ||

As on the newmoon day so in the body (ātmani): as in the dream so in the world of the fathers: as in the waters as if appearing on all sides so in the world of the Gandharvas: as between the shade and sunshine so in the world of Brahman.

COMMENTARY:

yathā darśe: the meaning is "just as on the new-moon day there being no moon-shine the appearance (pratibhāsa) (of things) is not clear, so in this world with negard to the Self". Or else the meaning is: yathādarśe: just as the thing seen in the mirror is not seen as (it is) when seen directly, free from any modifications such as facing in the opposite direction, so is the cognition of the Self here (in this world).

Now he (Death) says that the same is the case in the other world: yathā svapne: just as the experiences in dream are, unlike the experiences in the waking state, incapable of being reviewed, so as to be free from all doubt in respect of them even so, is it (the experience of the Self) in the world of the fathers. This is the meaning.

yathāpsu ..: just as the thing under water is not clearly perceptible, as the things (outside water), even so

paridad saiva: looks as if perceived. The meaning is that it is not seen all round. That is even in the world of Gandharvas the appearance is superficial.

chāyātapa...: Just as in the admixture of shade and sunshine, the appearance is not such as would be in the unmixed sunshine, so also in the world of Brahman (the fourfaced), the appearance is not perfect. The idea is that therefore the truth of That (Supreme) Self is difficult to know. Or else, the idea is that though in the world of Brahman there is perfect discrimination between the self and the non-self, just as between shade and sunshine, still the Truth of the Supreme Self is not attainable for those that live here.

II. iii. 6

indriyāṇām pṛthagbhāvam udayāstamayau ca yat | pṛthagutpadyamānānām matvā dhīro na śocati || 6 ||

The intelligent man knowing that distinctness, origination and dissolution are of the sense organs which are separate and come into existence does not grieve.

COMMENTARY:

indriyāṇām: of the sense organs which are separate and come into existence The sense organs stand for the body and others also.

udayāstamayau ca yat: yat is an indeclinable, meaning yān: which origination and destruction are there, and which distinctness of the form of mutual difference, all these, the intelligent person knowing these as belonging to the sense organs, does not grieve. This means that one who knowing that mutual difference, origination and dissolution do not happen to the soul which is of the form of consciousness, (does not grieve).

Now Death describes with the following two mantras the very surrender of the soul already mentioned, since even with regard to knowing the truth of the individual soul as distinct from its body the only means is the surrender to the Divine Lord.¹

II. iii. 7. and 8.

indriyebhyah param mano manasah sattvam uttamam | satvādadhi mahān ātmā mahato'vyaktam uttamam | 7 || avyaktāt tu parah puruso vyāpako'linga eva ca | yam jñātvā mucyate jantur amṛtatvañ ca gacchati | 8 ||

Superior to the sense organs is the mind, superior to the mind is the intelligence, superior to the intelligence even is the great soul, superior to that great is the unmanifest.

Superior to the unmanifest is the person, the pervader, and verily uninferrable, which knowing, the creature gets liberated and attains immortality.

COMMENTARY:

indrivebhyah: stands here for objects also since this has to be in accordance with a previous mantra (K. U. I. iii. 10). Verily the objects are greater than the sense-organs and

1. cf. Piof. Maitra's denial of prapatti: Vedanta Kesari 1943

greater than the objects is the mind". The word 'sattva' (in the text) means intellect, since it was stated before that "greater than the mind is intellect" (ibid).

alingah: Unknowable. Superiority is intended in respect of making Him to condescend (to listen to our prayers). To make Him condescend means to surrender (to Him) alone,

The rest is clear.

II. iii. 9.

na saindrse tiṣṭhati rūpam asya
na cakṣuṣā pasyati kascanainam |
hṛdā manīsā manasābhiklpto
ya etad vidur amṛtās te bhavanti || 9 ||

His form is not for perception, no one else sees him with his eyes He is attained by mind through devotion, steadfastness. Those who know Him they become immortal.

COMMENTARY:

asya rūpam: His form. or else it means His body. The meaning is that being omnipervading He does not stand as an object of perception. Or else there is (for Him) no perceptible colour such as blue etc. For this very reason (it is next said)

na cakṣuṣā paśyati: with the eye no one sees Him. The meaning is clear.

hṛdā manīṣā.: This part (of the mantra) has been explained by Vyāsārya under the Sarvatra prasiddhi adhikaraṇa (of the Śrī Bhāṣya) (I. ii. l.) as follows: By the word hṛdā is signified devotion; by Manīṣā: steadfastness. In the Mahābhārata (?) taking the first half as it is here, the following is read as the second half:

bhaktyā ca dhṛtyā ca samāhitātmā jñānasvarūpam paripasyatīha.

"Through devotion and steadfastness one with one's mind concentrated, here perceives that of the form of know-ledge."

abhiklptah: graspable, attainable. The following is in the Vedārthasangraha "The meaning (of the above quoted Bhāsya passage) is that, one with one's mind concentrated through steadfastness sees the Supreme Person with devotion." 'Sees' means attains, since it has to be in accord with the Gītā passage "Through one-pointed devotion is capable.." (XI. 54).

ya enam viduh: the meaning is clear.

II. iii. 10.

yadā pañcāvatisthante jñānāni manasā saha |
buddhis ca na vicestati tām āhuḥ paramām gatim || 10 ||

When the five sense-organs with mind are static and the intellect does not move, that (state) they say is the Supreme movement.

COMMENTARY:

jñānāni: organs, according to derivation from jnā to know, with the suffix lyut (ana): meaning instrument. This has been so explained by Vyāsārya (Śrutaþrakāśikā) in the Saþta-gaty-adhikaraņa (II iv.). The mind itself with the function of determination is indicated by the word 'buddhi'. So is it in the Śrī Bhāṣya. "The mind itself is mentioned by the words 'buddhi' 'ahaikāra' and citta, due to its different functions, such as determination, egoity and reflection." It is clear there itself that the "paramagatim" mentioned here means movement towards liberation abandoning movements within the body.

II. iii. 11.

tām yoşam iti manyante sthirām indriyadhāraņām | apramattas tadā bhavati yogo hi prabhavāpyayau || 11 ||

The state of steady concentration of the senseorgans they deem as Yoga. Then one should be vigilant, since Yoga is the means to life-ends (namely) attainment, and removal (of evil).

COMMENTARY:

tām: That (state) mentioned in the previous mantra

indrivadhāraņām: the supreme movement of the nature of concentration of outer and inner organs

yogam: iti manyante: (They) deem as Yoga: Vyāsārya says that the meaning of paramagati is Yoga.

apramatah tadā bharati: Then that is when the organs are motionless, there happens the state of vigilance of the min i.

Of what use is this vigilence of the mind? (To this enquiry) He (Death) replies: Yogo hi prabhavāpyayau: Yoga is indeed origination and cessation. The idea is that since Yoga is in constant peril, vigilance is necessary.

Or else, the idea is that one should be vigilant in respect of Yoga since it is the means of all life ends of the form of attainment of desired things and removal of all undesirable things.

14. iii. 12.

naiva vācā na manasii prāptum šakyo na caksusā | asiīti bruvato'nyatra hatham tad upalabhyate || 12 ||

That is capable of attainment neither by speech nor by mind nor by the eye. How can that be realized except from one who teaches that it is?

COMMENTARY:

naiva vācā: the meaning is clear The following discussion is found in the Prānavāda of the Vedānta Sūtras) (II iv. 8) "Saptagater višesatvāc ca: the organs are only seven since only seven are mentioned in the scriptures as going to the other world." There are seven worlds in which the seven organs lying in the cave (placed in their respective places) move" (Mund. U. II 1. 3), and since only seven organs are enumerated when referring to the Yoga state in the Mantra (K. U. II iii. 10) "When the five sense-organs with mind are static and the intellect..." Against this prima facie view the siddlāntā is as follows: "But while living there are hands and others, therefore not o" (V. S. II. iv. 6), when there is the body, since hands and others are also useful in respect of taking up (things) and other activities hands and others

also are organs. Therefore it is not so. Because of the śruti and surti texts. "There are ten organs in a person and the ātman is the eleventh." (Brh. U. III. ix. 4) where the word 'ātman' means the 'mind'; "The organs are ten and one: the eleventh here is the mind" (Gitā. XIII. 5). Statements of lesser number have reference to particular uses: and statements of larger number are due to differences in mental functions. This state (stated in the first half of the mantra) is explained.

astiti...; except from the statement that 'It is,' this is the meaning. The idea is that it is attainable only from the Upanisad.

II. iii. 13.

astīty evopalabdhavyas tattvabhāvena cobhaych | astītyevopalabdhasya tattvabhāvah prasīdati | 13 ||

It is known through the statement that "it is" as well as through the mind: when one has known through these two that "it is," the mind becomes clear (lucid calm).

COMMENTARY:

knowing the truth: tattvabhāvah: inner organ. By this also the Supreme Self is to be known as 'It is.' What is meant is, after knowing Him as 'It is' by the Vedānta passages It is to be contemplated and meditated upon as 'It is' with the mind also.

ubhayoh: of the two means, that is, by the two means of knowing the statement above and by the mind

astīti eva upalabdhavyah: Of one who has known that It is: the use of the past participle in the word 'upalabdha' is similar to that in 'bhukta' in the statement 'bhuktā brāhmanāh: brāhmanas have eaten' (that is its meaning is active and not passive)

taitvabhavah prasidati: the mind becomes clear, that is, free from old faults.

II. iii. 14.

yadā sarve pramucyante kāmā ye'sya hṛdi śritāḥ | atha martyo'mṛto bhavaty atra-brahma samaśnute | 14 ||

When all the desires that are in the heart of this (soul) are removed, then the mortal becomes immortal and enjoys Brahman here alone.

COMMENTARY:

kāmāh: desires for bad objects that are in the heart yadā pramucyante: when they get removed, then atha: immediately,

martyah: this meditator (upāsakah)

amrto bhavati: attains immortality. The meaning is that he "becomes one whose past and future sins get removed and do not touch him respectively."

atra brahma samaśnute: this means here itself, at the time of meditation he enjoys Brahman.

The following is the Śri Bhāṣya under the Sūtra "And the same (is the departure) upto the beginning of the movement, and the immortality (is that which happens) before the burning up of the body" (IV. ii. 7). The meaning is anupoṣya means not burning the contact with the body of sense-organs and others. Which immortality is of the form of freedom from and destruction of the future and past sins, that same is mentioned in the scriptural passage beginning with "Yadā sarve pramucyante..." (K. U. II. iii. 14)

In respect of the (statement) atra brahma samasnute: here (he) enjoys the Brahman; the idea is that this has reference to that experience of Brahman which happens at the time of meditation.

Repeating that which was already said for the purpose of emphasis, He (Death) concludes that what is to be taught is only this much:—

II. iii. 15.

yadā sarve prabhidyante hrdayasyeha granthayah | atha martyo, mrto' bhavaty etāvad anuśāsanam || 15 ||

When all the knots of the heart are here broken, then man becomes immortal. This much is the teaching.

COMMENTARY:

granthayah: likes and dislikes and others which are not easily untieable like knots

yadā prabhidyante: that is when they are removed

etāvad anuśāsanam: that which is to be taught so as to be practised by the meditator is this much alone. What is to be stated namely which is the going out (of the body) through the nāḍi in the crown of head and passing through (the path of the) arcis and others is not of the meditator, but of the word of God, pleased with his meditation. This is the idea.

Now Death speaks of the final Liberation which is the second already referred to in (K.U. II. ii.) "Vimukta's ca..."

II, iii. 16

šatam caikā ca hṛdayasya nādyas
tāsām mūrdhānam abhiniḥsṛtaikā |
tayordhvam āyann amṛtatvam eti
viṣvann yā utkramane bhavanti | 16 ||

Hundred and one are the $n\bar{a}dis$ of the heart. Of these one is stretched to the crown (of the head): through that one $(n\bar{a}di)$ one going upward gets immortality. The others $(n\bar{a}dis)$ are such as help going towards all sides,

COMMENTARY:

satam ca...: there are hundred and one important nadis of the heart, among them the one Brahmanadi called Suşumna goes up towards the crown of the head. Through that nadi

urdhvam gacchan. going to the world of Brahman

amṛtatvam eti: means attains liberation of the form of the manifestation of his own nature following the attainment ot Brahman together with the particular place

anyāh: the other nādis

vișvan utkramane bhavanti: are useful for going out towards the path of the diversified samsara. But Vyāsārya (in the Srutaprakāśikā) interprets this as follows: 'Other nādis are scattered all round (the body). These are useful for those who seek not liberation to get out (of the body) (at the time of death)" (IV. ii. 7). This passage is considered by Bhagavan Badarayana in the Utkrantipada (IV. ii). To explain (the prima fucie view is this:) "The restriction that the going out happens to the knower through the head-nadi. other than the hundred, and to a non-knower through the others is not proper", since the nādis are very many and are too subtle to be distinctly seen, and so one cannot select. It is quite proper to hold that the statement tayordhvam āyannamṛtatvam eti vişvaini yā utkramane bhavanti- through that one (nādi) one going upward gets immortality. The other (nādis) are such as help going towards all sides" (K.U II iii. 16cd.) merely refers to the chance-going out. Against the prima fac e view the reply is given in the following Sūtra (IV. ii. 16) "The place of the individual soul that is the heart becomes illuminated just in front of it, having the passage revealed by it, favoured by the Grace of the Harda (the Supreme Dweller in the Heart), on account of the capacity of the Knowledge (on the part of the soul), and of the continuation of remembrance of the path which is a subsidiary of it through the one other than the Hundred." And the meaning of this (sūtra) is as follows:

tadokah: the place of the individual soul that is, the heart,

agra jvalanam: in front of which there is illumination

tatprakāsitadvārah: one becomes such that to whom the passage (of exit) is revealed by it, since there is the scriptural passage. "The top of the heart is illuminated; by that illumination the soul goes out either through the eye or the crown of the head or other parts of the body." (Brh. U. IV. iv. 3.)

This much is common to both the knower and the non-knower But the knower gets up only through the head-nādi,

different from the hundred (other nād's). It is not that that nādi is not distinguishable by the knower, since the knower is favoured by the Supreme Person resident in his heart, being pleased with his knowledge (upāsana) which is extremely blissful to him, and which is an adoration of the Supreme Self, and on account of the cortinuous recollection of the Path highly pleasant to him, as the subsidiary to the Knowledge. Therefore he knows that nādi and so his going out through it, is quite appropriate.

Now to proceed: -

II. iii. 17.

anguşthamātrah puruşo'natarātinā
sadā janānāin hṛdaye sanniviṣṭah'
tam svāc charīrāt pravṛhen
munjād iveṣīkām dhairyena |
tam vidyācchukram amṛtam
tam vidyācchukram amṛtam iti | 17 |

The person of the size of the thumb, the inner Self is always established in the heart of men. Him, one should pull out with courage from one's own body as the stalk from the munija grass. Him one should know as the brilliant immortal. Him one should know as the brilliant immortal.

COMMENTARY:

angusthamatrah: the meaning is clear.

distinct from his own body" the pronominal word 'sva' (his own) refers to a thing belonging to Devadatta indicated by the comentioned word (Devadatta). Even like that the word 'sva' (in the present mantra tam svāc charirāt) refers to that which belongs to the inner Self mentioned previously. Consequently the meaning is as follows: Him the inner Self of all men, one should pull out (that is, know distinctly from the individual soul, mentioned here as men, as that which is His body. That is after the manner mentioned in the scriptural text 'When one sees the Lord as different from oneself, and pleased,"

(Sv. Up. IV. 7) one should know (Him) as distinct on account of His being the supporter, controller and master (seși)

muñjāt: from the muñja-grass.

iṣikām iva: like the stalk in its midst.

dhairyena: (with courage) with skill in knowledge. This (word) goes with what preceded (i.e pravihet: should pull out).

tam vidyāt...: (This has been) already explained. Repetition indicates the conclusion of the teaching.

II. iii. 18.

Now concludes the subject-matter of the Story (of Naci-ketas):

Mṛtyuproktāin Naciketo'tha labdhvā
vidyām etāin yogavidhiin ca kṛtsnam |
brahmaprāpto virajo'bhūd vimṛtyur
anyo'pyevam yo vid adhyātmam eva || 18 ||

Then Naciketas having attained this knowledge taught by Death together with the method of Yoga completely attained brahman became free from rajas (desire) and from death. Any other who knows (this) esoteric doctrine will become also such an one.

COMMENTARY:

mṛtyu ..: Naciketas having attained the knowledge of the Self taught by Death and also the method of Yoga mentioned in the passage "yadā pañca.." (K. U. II. iii. 10) after the manner mentioned in the scriptural passage, "Attaining the transcendent Light one becomes manifest by His own nature" (Ch. Up VIII. 3 4), attaining Brahman became one in whom His eight qualities got manifested.

yo vid: The knowledge relating to the Self whoever else knows, he also becomes like Naciketas. This is the meaning:

Om sa ha nāvavatu sa ha nau bhunaktu. saha vīryam karavāvahai. tejasvi nāv adhītam astu mā vidviṣāvahai. Om śāntiḥ ṣāntiḥ śāntiḥ.

May He protect us. May He protect us together. Let us bring about the power together. Let our learning be luminous. Let us not hate (one another). Om Säntih, Säntih, Säntih.

COMMENTARY:

Now the śānti (peace chaut) is recited in order to abolish on the part of the taught and the teacher.

sa ha nau avatu sa ha: The Supreme Self revealed by Knowledge. ha indicates (His) well-knownness.

nau: Us, the disciple and the teacher

avatu: May protect revealing His form

saha nau bhunaktu: May (He) protect us together through increasing knowledge. Or else May He protect us so that we may be together without parting.

saha viryam karavāvahai: May we bring about the power of knowledge by imparting it in accordance with rules. The idea is knowledge becomes ineffective when the rules are not observed.

tejasvi nau.: This means may what we have now learnt be very luminous (effective)

mā vidvisāvahai: May there be no hatred: which means let there be no hatred between us due to learning and teaching in any unsanctioned way, as stated in the Smṛti text: "One who teaches not in accordance with rules (dharma) and one who questions in contravention of rules, one of them dies or develops animosity."

Śāntih...: The triple repetition is for the purpose of doing away with all sins of commission and omission in thought, word and deed.

Thus concludes the Sixth Valli in the Second Chapter of the Kathopanişad.

Commentator's conclusion:

That this Upanisad has reference only to God is determined by Bhagavan Badarayana in three Adhikaranas of the Samanvayadhyaya.

ADHIKARANA I

In the passage "yasya brahma ca kṣatram ca..." (K. U. I. ii. 25) "To whom the Brāhmaṇa and Kṣatriya both become food; to whom Death is curry spice; this who knows how He is?" the person indicated by the pronoun in the possessive 'yasya' is an eater or enjoyer, since Brāhmaṇa and Kṣatriya are spoken of on account of their being spoken of metaphorically as 'rice,' are to be taken as eatables or enjoyables. Now who is that eater (bhoktā)? The prima facie view is that He is the individual soul alone, since the Supreme Self cannot be the eater. Apainst this the Siddhānta view is expressed in the four Sūtras: (I). Attā carācaragrahaṇāt: (II) Prakaraṇāc ca: (III) Guhām praviṣṭāvātmānau hi taddarśaṇāt: (IV) Viseṣaṇāc ca: (Vedānta Sūtras: I. ii. 9, 10, 11, 12.)

The meanings of these are as follows.

- I. The eater indicated in respect of rice mentioned in the passage "the two are rice" is the Supreme Self alone since in the mantra (K. U. I. ii. 25) it is said that He is the killer (destroyer) of all the mobile and immobile which are indicated by the words Brahma and Kṣatra which through secondary significance signify all the mobile and the immobile, and now that the word 'odana', rice secondarily signifies the destroyable, has been shown when commenting upon this mantra, and may be seen there itself.
- II. And also because (this mantra) is in the context of Brahman which begins with 'The Great Omnipresent Soul..." (K. U. I. ii. 29).
- III. If it be said that since in the succeeding mantra, "Drinking rta. (I. iii. 1) only the two that are capable of enjoying fruits of actions are mentioned and the Supreme Self cannot have anything to do with that (enjoyment of the fruit of actions) either as Agent like the individual soul nor as